(2)

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
John Arntz, Director

SAN FRANCISCO FILED

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:17
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument A

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition A

Proponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☑ Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐ Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition A being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☑

Full Name (Print) LARRY MARSO Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [redacted]

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature [redacted] Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) LARRY MARSO Phone [redacted]

Mailing Address [redacted]

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, *I*, ***BI***	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	Proposition A has nothing to do with the "street safety" of commuters targeted in crimes of hate or violence. **Spending programs are no substitute for strict criminal law enforcement or the recall of District Attorney Chesa Boudin.** MUNI already has funding for upgrades, improvements and maintenance. According to San Francisco's 10-Year Capital Plan, **Proposition A adds only 7.3% to the SFMTA's budget. $4.8 billion is already funded from local, regional, state and federal sources.** Prioritize! If Proposition A passes, **bond-related property taxes will rise 15% over four years, with half the residential share charged to renters.** Property owners and renters already pay $265 million annually to bondholders. **San Francisco's general obligation bond capacity is nearly exhausted.** Voters approved $600 million (2019) for Affordable Housing and $245 million (2020) for Homeless Services, which are not infrastructure! **The City has only about $1.5 billion left, which spells trouble ahead for urgent infrastructure bonds: Earthquake Safety, Healthcare and Waterfront Safety.** Proposition A's $400 million is too big, ill-timed and poorly conceived – **pre-pandemic thinking.** The Controller predicts swift 85% return of the full-time downtown workforce, a pie-in-the-sky restart of the commuting economy. We can't afford to rebuild a costly MUNI fleet, routes and workforce designed for 2019. San Francisco must re-envision transit for the **2022 landscape of work-from-home, hybrid and flexible work**, and make smart choices that will enable MUNI to become more **self-sustaining.** **Vote NO on Proposition A** Larry Marso transitbond.com	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	236

Office Use Only

Total # of words=	**X $2/word =**	**+ $200 publication fee =**		**Staff Initials**
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X $0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		