Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition
- Proponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
- Paid Argument in Favor
- Paid Argument Against

Opponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee, or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee, or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [X]

Full Name (Print) [Hidden]
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)
Signature [Hidden]
Organization (Entity) [Hidden]
Email [Hidden]

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [ ]
Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Hidden]
Email [Hidden]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) [Hidden]
Mailing Address [Hidden]
Signature [Hidden]
Email [Hidden]

Paid Argument in Favor [ ]
Paid Argument Against [ ]
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. 

Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI

Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines

Here are the indisputable facts:

The city failed to find up to $200 million in unnecessary garbage rate hikes.

The individuals assigned to approve rate hikes at the Department of Public Works do not always have the expertise to conduct the complicated auditing required to spot waste, much less fraud or abuse.

According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, there was a pattern of corruption at the very top of the department tasked with making sure our garbage rates are fair.

And as the author of the "No" argument states himself, the system that failed to prevent these unnecessary charges is nearly 100 years old.

After nearly 100 years, and after the last few years where we saw a pattern of corruption and unjustified rate hikes – it is time for a change.

Proposition F brings oversight, professional auditing and requires ongoing ratepayer advocacy. It creates no new department – it simply moves oversight to experts who can help bring fair rates – and improved service.

The people who pick up our garbage and recycling do a great job. Proposition F helps these frontline workers by making sure the system is fair to everyone. And the union that represents these hard-working neighbors supports Proposition F.

These are tough times for working families. The cost of just about everything is going up. San Franciscans deserve professional and transparent oversight to make sure our garbage rates are fair.

Please Vote YES on F.

✓ Supervisor Connie Chan
✓ Supervisor Aaron Peskin
✓ San Francisco Labor Council

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office Use Only</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of words = X $2/word = + $200 publication fee =</td>
<td>Staff Initials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee</td>
<td>Receipt #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X $0.50/signature</td>
<td>Check #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Fee Total</td>
<td>Amount Paid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet B must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

Proponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ]
Opponent Argument [X] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ]

Paid Argument in Favor [ ]
Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [X]

Full Name (Print) Carrie Chan
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]
Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) [ ]
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [X]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. [ ] if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name (Print)</th>
<th>Title (If Applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Organization (Entity)**

(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Organization (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Section 3: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines</th>
<th># of words per line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B, I, BI</td>
<td>Here are the indisputable facts:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The city failed to find up to $200 million in unnecessary garbage rate hikes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The individuals assigned to approve rate hikes at the Department of Public Works do not always have the expertise to conduct the complicated auditing required to spot waste, much less fraud or abuse.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, there was a pattern of corruption at the very top of the department tasked with making sure our garbage rates are fair.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And as the author of the “No” argument states himself, the system that failed to prevent these unnecessary charges is nearly 100 years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After nearly 100 years, and after the last few years where we saw a pattern of corruption and unjustified rate hikes – it is time for a change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposition F brings oversight, professional auditing and requires ongoing ratepayer advocacy. It creates no new department – it simply moves oversight to experts who can help bring fair rates – and improved service.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The people who pick up our garbage and recycling do a great job, Proposition F helps these frontline workers by making sure the system is fair to everyone. And the union that represents these hard-working neighbors supports Proposition F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>These are tough times for working families. The cost of just about everything is going up. San Franciscans deserve professional and transparent oversight to make sure our garbage rates are fair.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please Vote YES on F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor Connie Chan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor Aaron Peskin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Francisco Labor Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition [ ]

Proponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ]
Opponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ]
Paid Argument in Favor [ ]
Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) Mike Casey
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]
Signature [ ]
Email [ ]

Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) SF Labor Council
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. [ ]

Signature [ ]
Email [ ]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
• Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
• Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print)  
Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  
Email

**Organization (Entity)** (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  
Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only.

if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  
Email

### Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines</th>
<th>No. of words per line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B, I, BI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here are the indisputable facts:

The city failed to find up to $200 million in unnecessary garbage rate hikes.

The individuals assigned to approve rate hikes at the Department of Public Works do not always have the expertise to conduct the complicated auditing required to spot waste, much less fraud or abuse.

According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, there was a pattern of corruption at the very top of the department tasked with making sure our garbage rates are fair.

And as the author of the “No” argument states himself, the system that failed to prevent these unnecessary charges is nearly 100 years old.

After nearly 100 years, and after the last few years where we saw a pattern of corruption and unjustified rate hikes – it is time for a change.

Proposition F brings oversight, professional auditing and requires ongoing ratepayer advocacy. It creates no new department – it simply moves oversight to experts who can help bring fair rates – and improved service.

The people who pick up our garbage and recycling do a great job. Proposition F helps these frontline workers by making sure the system is fair to everyone. And the union that represents these hard-working neighbors supports Proposition F.

These are tough times for working families. The cost of just about everything is going up. San Franciscans deserve professional and transparent oversight to make sure our garbage rates are fair.

Please Vote YES on F.

Supervisor Connor Chan  
Supervisor Aaron Peskin  
San Francisco Labor Council

---

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count