Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place Room 244
San Francisco, CA  94102-4689

RE:  File 200478 – Ordinance authorizing $487.5 Million General Obligation Bond Issuance for Health and Recovery

July 6, 2020

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Should the proposed $487.5 million in bonds be authorized and sold under current assumptions, the approximate costs will be as follows:

a) In fiscal year (FY) 2021-2022, following issuance of the first series of bonds, and the year with the lowest tax rate, the best estimate of the tax required to fund this bond issue would result in a property tax rate of $0.00095 per $100 ($0.95 per $100,000) of assessed valuation.

b) In FY 2029-2030, following issuance of the last series of bonds, and the year with the highest tax rate, the best estimate of the tax required to fund this bond issue would result in a property tax rate of $0.01402 per $100 ($14.02 per $100,000) of assessed valuation.

c) The best estimate of the average tax rate for these bonds from FY 2021-2022 through FY 2052-2053 is $0.01066 per $100 ($10.66 per $100,000) of assessed valuation.

d) Based on these estimates, the highest estimated annual property tax cost for these bonds for the owner of a home with an assessed value of $600,000 would be approximately $83.13.

The best estimate of total debt service, including principal and interest, that would be required to be repaid if all proposed $487.5 million in bonds are issued and sold, would be approximately $960 million. These estimates are based on projections only, which are not binding upon the City. Projections and estimates may vary due to the timing of bond sales, the amount of bonds sold at each sale, and actual assessed valuation over the term of repayment of the bonds. Hence, the actual tax rate and the years in which such rates are applicable may vary from those estimated above. The City’s current non-binding debt management policy is to keep the property tax rate for City general obligation bonds below the 2006 rate by issuing new bonds as older ones are retired and the tax base grows, though this property tax rate may vary based on other factors.

Sincerely,

Natasha Mishal
FOR Ben Rosenfield
Controller

Note: This analysis reflects our understanding of the proposal as of the date shown. At times further information is provided to us which may result in revisions being made to this analysis before the final Controller’s statement appears in the Voter Information Pamphlet.