REG BSC 7-25-22 Additional Parcel Tax for City College Recon Request DP 220726

I hereby request reconsideration of the Approved Digest for this ballot measure. I have included the text adopted by the Committee with my alternate language as tracked changes. I recommend these changes to increase accuracy and clarity. Thanks. DP

**The Way It Is Now:** City College of San Francisco (City College) is a public, two-year community college that receives funding from the state, the federal government, the state and the City.

San Francisco property owners pay an annual flat tax of \$99 per parcel to help fund City College. These tax revenues include funding for teachers, counselors and libraries. This tax <u>expires</u> will expire on June 30, 2032.

State law limits the amount of revenue, including tax revenue, that the City can spend each year. State law authorizes San Francisco voters to approve increases to this limit for up to four years.

**The Proposal:** Proposition <u>is an ordinance that would create impose a new parcel tax</u>, in addition to the <u>existing current</u> \$99 flat tax, on property in San Francisco property owners for 20 years, starting beginning on July 1, 2023, and <u>ending continuing until</u> June 30, 2043. The <u>new parcel</u> tax would be adjusted annually for inflation. <u>Initial The proposed 2023</u> tax rates would be:

Property Type	Rate
Single-family residential	<del>\$150</del>
Residential, <u>Single-family or</u> one <del>residential</del> unit (for example, a one-unit condominium)	\$150
Residential, two or more residential units	\$75 per unit
Nonresidential, under 5,000 square feet	\$150
Nonresidential, 5,000 to -24,999 square feet	\$1,250
Nonresidential, 25,000 to -100,000 square feet	\$2,500
Nonresidential, over 100,000 square feet	\$4,000

Proposition \_ would require the City to collect and transfer <u>to City College</u> all <u>revenues</u> from the <u>new additional</u> parcel tax to <u>City College</u>, which would be used as follows that must use these tax revenues for the following purposes:

• 25% for services and programs <u>and services</u> that support student enrollment, basic needs, retention and job placement;

• 25% for programs that address basic-skills needs, including supporting English proficiency, and technology use and obtaining United States citizenship;

• 25% for workforce development programs that support job training and placement; and

• 25% for programs that support the academic success and leadership development of historically underrepresented students.

Before receiving these tax revenues, City College <u>would must</u> submit an expenditure plan to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

Proposition \_ would require the City Controller to perform annual audits for the first five years of the <u>new parcel</u> tax and periodically thereafter, <u>and would allow the</u>. The Mayor or Board of Supervisors to <u>may</u> suspend the transfer of revenues from the <u>new parcel</u> additional tax if City College has not adopted the Controller's audit recommendations.

Proposition \_ would require City College to establish an independent oversight committee to ensure that tax-revenues from the new parcel tax are used only for designated purposes.

Proposition \_ would increase the City's spending limit, set by state law, for four years.

A "YES" Vote Means: If you vote "yes," you want to <u>create a new impose an additional parcel tax on</u> property in <u>some</u>-San Francisco for 20 years property owners-based on its use and the square footage, and use of their properties and transfer <u>revenues from the those</u> tax funds to City College for student and workforce development programs, and increase the City's spending limit for four years.

A "NO" Vote Means: If you vote "no," you do not want to make these changes.