Voting Accessibility Advisory Committee Meeting Notes – Tuesday 3/13/2018

In Attendance:

Hillary Brown
Nicole Bohn, Mayor’s Office on Disability
Jan Ferguson Flout, Catholic Charities San Francisco
John Arntz, San Francisco Department of Elections
Fiona Hinze, Independent Living Resource Center
Fred Nisen, Disability Rights California
Andy Pastalaniec, Department of Elections
Bill Hershon, Disability Rights California
Jonathan Aaberg, Department of Elections
Elizabeth Ann Dunlap
Aurora Livingston, Department of Elections
Iva Maurin, Department of Elections
Poppaea Dere, Department of Elections

Meeting Minutes:

1. Nicole informed the group of the next Mayor’s Disability Council (MDC), scheduled for Friday, March 16, from 1 p.m. – 4 p.m. at City Hall in Room 400. This meeting is also streamed on SFGovTV. The following items will appear on the agenda:
   a. Curb ramps, which provide pedestrian access between the sidewalk and the roadway.
   b. An accessible building ordinance proposed by Supervisor Tang which discusses barrier removal, sidewalk improvement, and appropriate inspections of buildings and entries.
   c. Vision Zero SF, a road safety policy committed to building better and safer streets, educating the public on traffic safety, and enforcing traffic laws in an effort to eliminate pedestrian fatalities by 2024

2. Nicole updated the group on some agenda items discussed in previous VAAC meetings and indicated that she would like to hear from the community about these issues.
   a. Senator Hill has drafted preliminary legislation for making Transportation Network Companies (TNC), such as Uber and Lyft, accountable for providing accessible services. For more information, refer to the text of the Senate Bill SB-1376 Transportation network companies: accessibility plans
   b. Statewide accessible parking placard reform – Nicole indicated that she is anticipating legislation moving forward to recommend a two-tiered system that takes into account different levels of disability. Some would be exempt from payment while others would be required to pay. If any group members would like to participate in the comment period, please be aware that this period will take place in the near future. For more information, refer to the text of the Senate Bill at: SB-611 Vehicles

3. John re-emphasized modeling accessible practices within VAAC meetings, such as sending materials for review to the group beforehand, which will remain a standing item on the VAAC agenda. The Department of Elections is always interested in learning about these accessible practices and encourages group members to share information and experiences.
4. The Department of Elections incorporated the group’s initial feedback and has redrafted some FAQs related to the Remote Accessible Vote-by-Mail System.

5. The VAAC should consider creating sub-groups that focus on specific areas of focus and provide feedback to the Department of Elections. It will be a group effort to determine what subject matter requires a sub-group. This approach has been utilized in the Language Accessibility Advisory Committee (LAAC). The Department of Elections, Conference Room 48, is a location option for these sub-groups to meet.

6. Remote Accessible Vote-by-Mail System (RAV) Sub-Group
   a. Interested Members: Bill, Fred, Nicole, Jane, John
   b. Potential Meeting Time: Tuesdays or Wednesdays at 2 p.m.
   c. The group briefly discussed the feedback related to the Democracy Live RAV Demo. General comments were related to the language and how the information was presented.
   d. The Department of Elections is currently working with Democracy Live to make a unique San Francisco ballot. The demo was specific to San Mateo County.
   e. The group discussed the importance of outreach and how to inform voters about this new voting option.
   f. Fred or John will reach out to the San Mateo VAAC to see if they can provide any written feedback about their experience with the RAV in 2017.
   g. Nicole mentioned the importance of collecting feedback from Blind and low vision users of the RAV.
   h. Fiona indicated that the Independent Living Resource Center, located at 825 Howard St, could potentially serve as a host site for trials and will arrange the details with John and Nicole.
      i. This would provide a place where users could use screen reading software to test the RAV.
   i. John explained some of the details related to RAV.
      i. Eligible voters will include vote-by-mail voters and voters in the military or residing overseas.
      ii. Ballot content will be displayed in a digital format allowing the voter to mark contests electronically using assistive devices.
      iii. Once the voter is in the ballot, they are no longer connected to a server or the internet. There is no storing of information.
   j. Nicole requested additional information about how voter registration information is kept separate and secure. Specifically if a voter indicates that they are eligible to use this system but are not in the military or residing overseas. What does the Department of Elections do with the information?
      i. It is important to create a unified message about this sensitive topic. Perhaps the group can reach out to San Mateo to see what message they used to address these concerns.
k. The San Francisco Public Library (SFPL) is a potential partner for offering access to computers and printers for the RAV. However, voters will have the option of completing the ballot from their home computers, if available.
   i. Nicole mentioned that Marti from SFPL is on leave and a different person will likely need to be involved with promoting this service at the library.

7. The group discussed Help America Vote Act (HAVA) grant funds and the list of items provided by the Department of Elections which included items which may be purchased to increase accessible options for voting.
   a. Some items that have been purchased include traffic cones, barriers, ADA door bells (“big bells”), accessible voting booths, additional lighting equipment, threshold mats, surveying tools used to evaluate polling places. The group is encouraged to identify additional items that the Department may research to provide in future elections.
   b. The Department is researching customizable signage, and more “big bells” which are used as doorbells at polling places.
   c. Suggestions for future elections include: blue traffic cones, privacy panels for voting booths at polling places, and light pens.

8. The group discussed some outreach ideas related to Accessible Voting
   a. How San Francisco is supporting accessible voting
   b. Targeted message related to the new Remote Accessible Vote-by-Mail System
   c. Commercials on TV (PSA category) – while being quite expensive, this is something the City could potentially fund
      i. Disability Rights CA has created several videos related to accessible voting options
      ii. The Department of Elections is required to provide all public outreach messages to be translated into Chinese, Spanish, and Filipino, in addition to English.
   d. Educational presentations which demonstrate how to use the RAV
   e. Information available on accessible websites
      i. Nicole mentioned the need for a manual assessment of the Department of Elections website especially if there are key links that all voters should be aware of.
      ii. Consider moving information about the RAV to “Topics in Focus” on homepage
   f. Advertise accessible voting options in the Voter Information Pamphlet

9. The group discussed the Request for Proposals (RFP) to Lease or Rent a Voting System
   a. The submission deadline was February 28 and the Department received one response from Dominion Voting which met the minimum qualifications described in the contract. Once the protest period ends the Department will formally initiate contract discussions
   b. Next, a pilot program and public testing will be established during the June election cycle. An additional Sub-Group could assist with the assessment of this voting system as well as inform the implementation. The Department would like to know about any accessibility issues as early as possible. The June election cycle allows people who are already coming
to City Hall for voting to publicly test this system. Fred mentioned this might confuse some voters to have this going on at the same time as the June 5 Primary Election.

c. This system will include four voting options that will need to be tested:
   i. Paper Ballot Tabulator (polling place)
   ii. Accessible Voting Machine
   iii. Vote-by-Mail
   iv. Remote Accessible Vote-by-Mail System

d. The group considered using a future scheduled VAAC meeting for this assessment and testing. The group is encouraged to invite people from their communities so there is a diversity of testers.

e. The group discussed how the testers will provide feedback:
   i. Written
   ii. Provide staff who are responsible for facilitating user testing, have them ask questions orally and record the information right after the experience. This information can later be fed into a survey tool to produce data.

10. The group briefly discussed the California Voter’s Choice Act. For more information, refer to the text of the Senate Bill [SB-450 Elections: vote by mail voting and mail ballot elections](http://www.fredthompson.com/senate/sb450/).
   a. All registered voters would receive a vote-by-mail ballot but the voter can choose if they want to vote at a Vote Center instead.
   b. The City is currently not actively planning this however this could be a possibility for San Francisco starting in 2020.
   c. Vote Centers would replace polling places. Every 10,000 voters requires a Vote Center.
   d. The Board of Supervisors and the Mayor would likely provide guiding ordinances and be part of this implementation.
   e. Every county involved must submit a plan to the California Secretary of State, which they must approve. After each election, the county must also provide the State with an action report. [County Administration Plans](http://www.fredthompson.com/senate/sb450/) are available on the California Secretary of State’s website.
   f. The group will consider having a joint VAAC meeting with San Mateo in the future.

11. The last item discussed was the Census Executive Directive. Please review the attached document for more information.

12. The next VAAC meeting is scheduled for May 8, 2018.