



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
FILED
2022 MAR 21 AM 9:06
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO A1

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition A

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) RICHIE GREENBERG

Title (If Applicable) Chairman

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Richie Greenberg

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. David Sacks
- 2. Daniel o'Keefe
- 3. Linn Yeaser Coonan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>NEVER trust San Francisco city hall officials with your hard-earned tax money, whether you be a property owner or renter. Proposition A is NO EXCEPTION. Vote NO on Proposition A, the \$400 Million Dollar Bond con job.</p> <p>We've seen how Mayor London Breed and the Board of Supervisors have misled voters in the past with pie-in-the-sky, feel-good bond measures which wind up costing taxpayers plenty and result in little to no measurable result.</p> <p>Don't ignore the enormous elephant in the room:</p> <p>Are you a renter? Property taxes levied on your apartment building will be passed through to you by your landlord. Yes, Proposition A specifically allows for 50% tax assessment passthrough, so you will pay more in rent.</p> <p>Then, the Proposition A promise of increased safety is a lie. Safety on MUNI buses and trains cannot be meaningfully improved as long as we have rogue District Attorney Chesa Boudin refusing to hold criminals accountable. Crime is rampant on MUNI trains, buses, at bus stops, and drug dealing blocking sidewalks nearby. Proposition A won't fix any of this without actual accountability including criminal prosecution.</p> <p>Send a resounding NO to the gaslighting, greedy bureaucrats in City Hall. Tell them to stop deceiving us taxpayers, to instead use the existing funding they already have available, to fix the criminal prosecution fiasco, and to not burden renters and homeowners. Vote NO on Proposition A.</p> <p>Richie Greenberg, Chairman YES on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee RecallChesaBoudin.org</p>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		214

Office Use Only				
Total # of words= 214 X \$2/word = 428 + \$200 publication fee = 628				Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed C Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending a copy 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
FILED
2022 MAR 21 AM 11:03
Time/Date Stamp
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

PAID NO A-2

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition A

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Taxpayer Association

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature [REDACTED]

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true

Is the true

code §82013?

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The ambiguous Proposition A language is designed to allow MTA to use bond proceed for disastrous projects or whatever they please.</p> <p>Last January, the Central Subway project admitted to an original cost estimate of \$1,930,000,000 and completion date of September 2022.</p> <p>Its \$353,000,000 over budget and 4 years behind schedule. The federal government contributed about \$1,000,000,000. The current cost to S.F. taxpayers is \$439,000,000, and increasing. A San Francisco County Transportation Authority finding reveals that SFMTA historically has never completed a project on time or within budget! The final Central Subway cost will be \$2,100,000,000 to go 1.6 miles – outrageous!</p> <p>Yet, in 2020 SFMTA awarded "sole source" contracts of \$900,000 each to special interest entities that want to close Twin Peaks, Great Highway and Golden Gate Park to automobiles! Demonizing cars, they forget that the owners of which provided good money in gasoline taxes - 67 cents per gallon - and the money to build those streets when it wants to favor its "friends". MTA ignores competitive bidding and uses taxpayer money to fit its political agenda! Look at its Taraval Street and Van Ness Avenue projects consuming years and still not completed.</p> <p>No bureaucracy beats the SFMTA. Don't reward it with \$400,000,000, costing taxpayers another blank ^{\$690,000,000!} in interest payments for 30 years.</p> <p>Vote NO on Proposition A</p> <p>San Francisco Taxpayer's Association By: Judge Quentin L. Kopp (Ret.) President</p>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		204

Office Use Only

Total # of words = 204 X \$2/word = 408	+ \$200 publication fee = 608	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	15
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your manuscript to the Department at publications@sfgov.org, 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
SAN FRANCISCO
FILED
2022 MAR 21 AM 11:23
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO A-3

Section 1: Argument Information

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Section 3: Submitter Information

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

David Pilpel

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	Please vote NO on Proposition A.	
	The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) always needs money for transportation infrastructure projects. But this bond measure only funds broad categories, not specific projects, which would allow funds for some projects and not others, without guarantees or meaningful oversight. Proposition A is not the solution we need at this time.	
	Transportation funding is complicated. These projects take many years to develop, design, and build.	
	MTA regularly develops new projects and seeks money for them. MTA has recently talked about State of Good Repair, which means properly maintaining existing equipment, facilities, streets, and vehicles. However, MTA gets distracted with Muni Forward, Vision Zero, and other efforts to "enhance" and "expand" rather than maintain core infrastructure.	
	A better approach is to fund specific projects that maintain City transportation infrastructure now. We should be prepared for the future, with modern Muni facilities and vehicles, along with parking and traffic equipment and streets that are functional and not broken. Voters would support a clean transportation maintenance bond.	
	MTA also needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery.	
	Proposition A is not the right answer, right now.	
	Please vote NO on Proposition A. Thank you.	
	David Pilpel	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	Total Word Count	186

Office Use Only

Total # of words = 148	X \$2/word = 296	+ \$200 publication fee = 496	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	