



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 17 AM 10:12
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-1

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition C being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Autumn Looijen

Title (If Applicable) Co-lead, Recall SF School Board

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Autumn Looijen

Signature

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
Recall School Board Members Lopez, Collins & Moliga

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. David Sacks
- 2. Arthur Rock
- 3. Garry Tan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	Aaron Peskin and 6 other elected officials have proposed a "recall reform" amendment that would make it practically impossible to recall ANY elected official in San Francisco.	
	The recent landslide recall of three school board members — which Siva and I led — would not have been possible under this law.	
	This charter amendment is a naked power grab by politicians afraid that the people of San Francisco will hold them accountable.	
B	<u>Vote NO on Proposition C.</u>	
	Under the current law, a recall can be initiated for 3 years out of the 4 year term of an elected official.	
	Under the proposed amendment it's ONLY practical to initiate a recall 8 months out of a 4 year term.	
B	If this amendment had been in place, <u>the school board recall — which won in a landslide with up to 76% of SF voters supporting it — would not have been allowed.</u> You wouldn't have been able to recall Commissioners López, Collins or Moliga despite their utter failure on the school board.	
B	In addition, <u>the recalled school board members could run in the November election but the newly appointed board members couldn't.</u> This would deny our children and our school district the steady leadership they need at a time of crisis.	
	Recalls are a vital tool to keep our elected leaders accountable to the public they are elected to serve. They are incredibly rare (the last successful recall in SF history occurred more than 100 years ago)! The school board recall was the first San Francisco recall on the ballot in nearly 40 years.	
	If this amendment passes, it will severely restrict the ONLY tool we the people have to remove elected officials who fail to do their job. Don't let it pass.	
B	<u>Vote NO on Proposition C.</u>	
	Autumn Looijen Co-lead, Recall SF School Board	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		289

Office Use Only				Staff Initials
Total # of words= 289		X \$2/word = 578	+ \$200 publication fee = 778	ms
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



Ballot Argument Consent Form

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Because anyone listed as an author or mentioned in an argument should have the opportunity to review the argument, the full text of the argument must appear on all Consent Forms.

Office Use Only

2022 MAR 17 AM 10:12

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

Label

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument☐

Opponent Argument☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument☐

Paid Argument in Favor☐

Paid Argument Against☒

Section 2: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Aaron Peskin and 6 other elected officials have proposed a "recall reform" amendment that would make it practically impossible to recall ANY elected official in San Francisco.</p> <p>The recent landslide recall of three school board members --- which Siva and I led --- would not have been possible under this law.</p> <p>This charter amendment is a naked power grab by politicians afraid that the people of San Francisco will hold them accountable.</p> <p><u>Vote NO on Proposition C.</u></p> <p>Under the current law, a recall can be initiated for 3 years out of the 4 year term of an elected official.</p> <p>Under the proposed amendment it's ONLY practical to initiate a recall 8 months out of a 4 year term.</p> <p>If this amendment had been in place, <u>the school board recall --- which won in a landslide with up to 76% of SF voters supporting it --- would not have been allowed.</u> You wouldn't have been able to recall Commissioners López, Collins or Moliga despite their utter failure on the school board.</p> <p>In addition, <u>the recalled school board members could run in the November election but the newly appointed board members couldn't.</u> This would deny our children and our school district the steady leadership they need at a time of crisis.</p> <p>Recalls are a vital tool to keep our elected leaders accountable to the public they are elected to serve. They are incredibly rare (the last successful recall in SF history occurred more than 100 years ago)! The school board recall was the first San Francisco recall on the ballot in nearly 40 years.</p> <p>If this amendment passes, it will severely restrict the ONLY tool we the people have to remove elected officials who fail to do their job. Don't let it pass.</p> <p><u>Vote NO on Proposition C.</u></p> <p>Autumn Looijen Co-lead, Recall SF School Board</p> <p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
Total Word Count		

Section 3: Consent Required

I verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot argument above, and authorize that my name or the name of my organization, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the argument in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Full Name (Print) Govindarajan Sivaraj (Siva Raj)





CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

PAID YES H-14

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition C being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition C being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Patrick Wolff

Title (If Applicable) Executive Director

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

San Francisco, CA 94122

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity)

Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Families for San Francisco

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☒

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as a

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Patrick Wolff

Phone

Mailing Address San Francisco, CA 94122

Signature

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Families for San Francisco

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<u>VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION C</u>	
I	San Francisco adopted the recall process in 1907 as a Progressive reform mechanism to hold local politicians accountable. The importance of the recall is that it gives power to <u>voters</u> to remove elected officials before their term expires.	
	The recall mechanism was designed to be hard to trigger so that elected officials would be removed only as a result of intense voter dissatisfaction.	
	Since 1907, there have been only six instances in which recalls qualified for the ballot.	
	The first successful recall in San Francisco in over 100 years was the recent landslide recall of three school board commissioners.	
	The current recall process has a high bar to succeed. It is used sparingly and reflects the will of the voters of San Francisco.	
	The proposed charter amendment is an attempt by current elected officials to curb the power of the voters. It would, in effect, protect current and future elected officials from recall for 42 months out of a 48-month term.	
	Additionally, by not allowing the appointee to run for office in the next election, it would relegate the appointee to lame duck status, discouraging qualified candidates and inhibiting accountability.	
B	<u>Don't let the Board of Supervisors take away your vote. The recall is our last protection against politicians who flagrantly ignore the will of the people.</u>	
BI	Vote NO on Proposition C <u>Vote NO on Proposition C.</u>	
	Families for San Francisco familiesforsanfrancisco.com	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		

Office Use Only

Total # of words = 227 X \$2/word = 444	+ \$200 publication fee = 644	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

An argument submitted on behalf of an organization must be signed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:35
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-2

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Select one of the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual ☒

Full Name (Print)

Marie Louise Hunsbichell

Title (If Applicable)

SOAR-DI

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity) ☐

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print)

Daphne Alden

Phone

Mailing Address

Signature

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Edwin Mhee Asian Pacific Democratic Club PAC Sponsored by
Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013? Neighbors for a Better San Francisco Advocacy

Yes [X] No []

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. San Francisco Association of Realtors
- 2.
- 3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
B	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	7
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	15 13 14 11
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	14 14 14 11 12 14 2
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.	14 14 19 13 12
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	16 14 14 2
B	Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!	
	John Trasviña \	1
	Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1	2
	Martha Conte, D2Unite \	2
	Julie Paul, D2Unite \	2
	Paulina Fayer, activ8sf \	2
	Laurance Lee, activ8sf \	2
	Simon Timony, Advocates11 \	2
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		287 267

Office Use Only

Total # of words= 267 X \$2/word = 534	+ \$200 publication fee = 734	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	MS
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.</p> <p>On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.</p> <p>Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.</p> <p>Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.</p> <p>Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.</p> <p>Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!</p> <p>John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Laurance L Lee

Title (If Applicable) active SF

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED]

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:36
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.</p> <p>On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.</p> <p>Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.</p> <p>Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.</p> <p>Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.</p> <p>Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!</p> <p>John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:36
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Paulina Fayer

Title (If Applicable) activ8sf

San Francisco Address (With City and State) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED]

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.</p> <p>On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.</p> <p>Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.</p> <p>Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.</p> <p>Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.</p> <p>Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!</p> <p>John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Julie Paul

Title (If Applicable) D2 Unite

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:36
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.</p> <p>On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.</p> <p>Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.</p> <p>Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.</p> <p>Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.</p> <p>Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!</p> <p>John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Amato, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:36
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Martha Ehmann Conte

Title (If Applicable) D2 Unite

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.</p> <p>On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.</p> <p>Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.</p> <p>Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.</p> <p>Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.</p> <p>Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!</p> <p>John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.


Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Simon Timothy

Title (If Applicable) Advocates 11

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature 

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)


Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Office Use Only
FILED
2022 MAR 21 AM 8:36
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.</p> <p>On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.</p> <p>Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.</p> <p>Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.</p> <p>Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.</p> <p>Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!</p> <p>John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	



~~SAN FRANCISCO~~

Office Use Only

21 AM 9:06

ENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Paid Argument Against ☒

Email

Signature

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. David Sacks
2. Daniel o'Keefe
3. Linn Yeaser Coonan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>It should outrage every San Francisco voter that a handful of our elected officials are attempting to limit the public's ability to hold City Hall leaders accountable. This is exactly what members of the Board of Supervisors propose: to severely restrict the window of opportunity for the public to democratically undertake a recall, making it nearly unworkable, and furthermore - if a recall election does succeed - to then prohibit an individual appointed as replacement from running as a candidate in the next scheduled elections.</p> <p>It literally takes tens of thousands of voters to commence a recall effort, collecting signatures to qualify a petition when a city's elected official has crossed the line and deserves to be recalled. It's extremely rare. It's a highly important option of last resort. Our California constitution guarantees recalls as part of a healthy democracy. It's as potent a solution as an impeachment, to remove egregiously incompetent, scandalous and corrupt officials.</p> <p>Don't let city hall radicals push false narratives about recalls in hopes of covering up their own (or their colleagues') misdoings to avoid accountability.</p> <p>Emphatically vote NO on Proposition C, restricting the timeline for recall process and prohibiting subsequent appointee's right to run as a future candidate.</p> <p>Richie Greenberg, Chairman YES on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee RecallChesaBoudin.org</p>	
	<p>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</p>	
	Total Word Count	201

Office Use Only				
Total # of words= 201 X \$2/word = 402 + \$200 publication fee = 602				Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Office Use Only
FILED
MAR 21 AM 9:06
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-4

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet A with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Erica Sandberg

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity) ☒ If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section

Name of Organization (Print) Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Richie Greenberg

Phone

Mailing Address

Signature

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes [X] No []

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. David Sacks
- 2. Daniel o'Keefe
- 3. Linn Yeaser Coonan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>The world is acutely aware of the devastating impact our public officials have on San Francisco. It's time to create a healthy city, beginning with protecting the democratic, constitutionally-guaranteed right to recall officials who abuse their power, holding accountable those who believe they should be able to do anything they want once in office.</p> <p>Aaron Peskin, city supervisor who authored Proposition C, wants to exempt himself - and his fellow bureaucrats - from repercussions when they fail and hurt our community. We do not accept this move toward despotism. Stand up to bureaucrats and vote NO.</p> <p>Proposition C has dire consequences for San Franciscans who care deeply about all who live, work, and visit here. We must always have a voice in the way our city is managed. Three disgraced School Board members who've harmed our public school system were recently ousted by recall in a landslide. This is progress. Yet, dangerous, squalid, depressing scenes still play out on San Francisco's streets, a direct result of bad policy and poor leadership. Officials who are responsible need to be held accountable, and to ensure this, we need our right to recall them when proven to be especially egregious.</p> <p>Now San Franciscans overwhelmingly want district attorney Chesa Boudin out of office before he does even more damage. Boudin assured voters he would produce a safer city for all. Instead, chaos and crime increased; he broke his promise with devastating results. Recalling him is the appropriate action. When his recall is successful, Mayor London Breed would appoint an interim district attorney, yet if Proposition C passes, the interim replacement won't have the ability to run in the next election.</p> <p>For these reasons, Vote NO on Proposition C.</p> <p>Erica Sandberg</p> <p>Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee RecallChesaBoudin.org</p>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		273

Office Use Only				Staff Initials
Total # of words= 273 X \$2/word = 546		+ \$200 publication fee = 746		B
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

SAN FRANCISCO
John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-5

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☒

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- ☐ Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- ☐ Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- ☐ Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition C being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- ☐ Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- ☐ Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- ☐ Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) LARRY MARSO

Title (If Applicable) _____

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature _____

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature _____

Email _____

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Richie Greenberg

Phone _____

Mailing Address _____

Signature _____

Email _____

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. David Sacks
- 2. Daniel o'Keefe
- 3. Linn Yeaser Coonan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Vote NO on Proposition C . . .</p> <p>Preserve the Democratic Right of Recall.</p> <p>When a politician wins election, it's not "job security" for the full term of office. Depending on the job, a politician can be censured, impeached, recalled, removed, or criminally prosecuted.</p> <p>Recall is a sacred right of the voter under California law. The Board of Supervisors has exploited loopholes to strip San Franciscans of their rights.</p> <p>This Charter Amendment is a diversion to neuter the Recall of District Attorney Chesa Boudin. If Proposition C passes, the District Attorney's replacement – appointed by the Mayor – can't run for re-election next year. In other words, the Mayor has to hire a "temp," who won't be the most qualified person for D.A.</p> <p>(San Francisco already suffers that problem.)</p> <p>Proposition C, had it been in effect, would have prevented the School Board Recall of Collins, López and Moliga, because it cuts in half the months to organize and schedule a recall.</p> <p>Mayor Breed's School Board appointees – Ann Hsu, Lainie Motamedi and Lisa Weissman-Ward – would also have been "temps" disqualified from running again, because Proposition C forbids this.</p> <p>In fact, the Mayor wouldn't have had the appointment power! Under Proposition C, other members of the School Board would have selected replacements – including commissioners who voted with Collins, López and Moliga to end merit-based admissions to Lowell, to rename the schools, to paint over murals, to keep the schools closed.</p> <p>Remember, recall organizers wanted to remove more School Board members but couldn't, because of date limitations. Proposition C makes these restrictions worse!</p> <p>Also, under Proposition C, if voters ever recall a Supervisor, the Board of Supervisors would appoint the replacement.</p> <p>Vote NO on Proposition C.</p> <p>Larry Marso</p> <p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	249

Office Use Only					Staff Initials
Total # of words= 249 X \$2/word = 498 + \$200 publication fee = 698					
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #			
X \$0.50/signature		Check #			
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid			



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only
FILED
2022 MAR 21 AM 9:06
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-6

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Zach Georgopoulos

Title (If Applicable) Attorney

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Richie Greenberg

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. David Sacks
- 2. Daniel o'Keefe
- 3. Linn Yeaser Coonan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>It is notoriously difficult to qualify a recall proposition for the ballot in San Francisco, and most efforts never make it. But once in a great while enough San Franciscans decide they're so fed up with a politician that they sign petitions and vote to recall. That's what recall elections are about – they're a safety valve that allows the people to take power away from elected officials who abuse or misuse it. They are democracy in its purest form.</p> <p>But Proposition C seeks to put a stop to that by closing the window of opportunity between the initiation and closure of a recall, which will make future recalls all but impossible. And the anti-democratic ideas don't stop there! Proposition C further seeks to keep potentially good interim office holders from running for the same office in the next election – even if they are popular with the people of San Francisco.</p> <p>Proposition C, which was proposed by a member of the Board of Supervisors and backed by five more, is the Board's attempt to grab power not only from the Mayor, but from the San Francisco voting public. Don't be fooled by this naked power grab. Vote for democracy by voting NO on Proposition C.</p> <p>Zach Georgopoulos Attorney</p> <p>Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee RecallChesaBoudin.org</p>	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		197

Office Use Only				
Total # of words= 197 X \$2/word = 394 + \$200 publication fee = 594				Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		

1



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only
2022 MAR 21 AM 9:32
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-7

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument in Favor <input type="checkbox"/>
Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument Against <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) John Dennis Title (If Applicable) Chairman

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Republican Party

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) John Dennis Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
San Francisco Republican Party

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	Voters, don't give away any more of your power. Proposition C greatly limits the window to recall an incompetent public official. Recent successful recalls have made some in government nervous. Keep politicians accountable to voters and retain your right to vote for appointees who get the job done. Vote NO.	8 6 6 6 5 7 8 3
	San Francisco Republican Party John Dennis Chairman	1 1 1
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		48 50

Office Use Only				
Total # of words=	50	X \$2/word =	100	+ \$200 publication fee = 300
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		Staff Initials
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Office Use Only

2022 MAR 21 AM 11:03

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

PAID NO C-8

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Taxpayer's Association

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate if the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information on the Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	VOTE NO ON PROP C – It's Cockamamie!	
	Fair minded citizens banded together for the first time in almost four decades and ousted incompetent elected school board officials and seek to do the same with District Attorney Chesa Boudin for not doing his job. Now City Hall is firing back!	
	Prop C is an undemocratic, unnecessary and unworthy measure deserving a NO vote.	
	Masquerading as good government and taxpayer issue, Supervisor Peskin is meddling with a standard set by our state's Constitution and one that has served our Charter City for 150 years.	
	This is pure trickery, designed to keep the political class free from accountability and the power of electors - us.	
	Under current law, any elected official can be recalled after 6 months. Prop C doubles that time to 12 months as the time these officials are free from recall at the beginning of their terms and adds a new 18-month period during which they are also exempt!	
	This second "safe space" is not tied to the end of their four-year terms but to "a regularly scheduled election," which is almost always less than two years away. So, for 42 months out of their 48-month term, they are free from recall!! In other words, even in the face of massive dissatisfaction with, or misconduct by, a member of the Board of Supervisors, Board of Education, City College Board, or it will almost always be either "too early" or "too late" for voters to seek a recall except for a small six-month window every four years.	
	Prop C should be called the Political Class Protection Act – VOTE NO!	
	San Francisco Taxpayer's Association	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	Total Word Count	258

Office Use Only

Total # of words = 258 X \$2/word = 516	+ \$200 publication fee = 716	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	AS
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director


Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed CC Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an email 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.



PAID NO C-9

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition C being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition C being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Address [REDACTED] are Registered [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) ☒

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Taxpayers Association

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:


1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	VOTE NO ON PROP C	
	The Board of Supervisors is notorious for supporting democratic movements around the world but when San Francisco voters used their century-old constitutional authority to recall three errant School Board members, these same Supervisors banded together to stop us. If this were done by a Southern or Republican state legislature, it would be called voter suppression.	
	In San Francisco, it's called the Peskin Charter Amendment or Proposition C. I call it the Incumbent Protection Act and we should all say No.	
	Aside from Judge Charles Weller in 1913 and Senator Edwin Grant in 1914, San Francisco voters had never recalled local public officials. And we hadn't even had a recall vote since 1983 against then-Mayor Dianne Feinstein. That is, until the School Board recall in February. The overwhelming voter response to the mis-spending and unlawful acts of the School Board (ending Lowell academic admissions; 44 schools renamed, etc.) was too much for this Board of Supervisors.	
	On the very same day that 75% of the voters in Supervisor Peskin's district and 81% in Supervisor Mar's district voted for the recall, these and other Supervisors placed Proposition C on the ballot to strip away at our right to recall. Organizations across the spectrum have termed the recall process a vital part of our electoral system. It ensures that public officials are held accountable. Opponents reveal their contempt for the democratic process.	
	Finally, Proposition C allows the recalled official to immediately run again for the same office but prohibits the replacement appointed to clean up after them from running. The replacement may or may not be better. But Proposition C takes away your right to make that choice.	
	Proposition C gives more protection to failed incumbents than to our voting rights.	
	Vote No on Proposition C!	
		
	<small>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</small>	
	Total Word Count	230

Office Use Only

Total # of words = 230	X \$2/word = 560	+ \$200 publication fee = 760	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Armitz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your argument and Control Sheet A to publications@sfgov.org 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) David Pilpel

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature 

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) David Pilpel

Phone

Mailing Address

Signature 

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

David Pilpel

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐

No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.

2.

3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	Please vote NO on Proposition C.	
	Proposition C would limit when San Francisco voters could remove local elected officials who have breached the public trust. Recalls are rarely used and there is no need to amend the Charter for this.	
	The power of the people to elect and recall local officials is important to our democracy. While we try to elect the best qualified candidates in local elections, sometimes officials act in ways that are not illegal, but prompt efforts to remove them from office. That's what a recall is.	
	There have been several recent recall elections, both local and statewide, but it's not a permanent problem requiring a radical local solution. A recall can now be started after a local official has been in office for six months, and until six months before their term ends. A three-year window during a four-year term is an effective tool to have if it becomes necessary.	
	Proposition C would reduce that to barely more than a year and make local elected officials more difficult to remove from office through a recall.	
	It would also change how vacancies are filled after a successful local recall. A fundamental power of the Mayor is to appoint people to offices and positions, including filling vacancies. It's one of the reasons we elect a Mayor, and something to consider in candidates for Mayor.	
	The process for local recalls and filling vacancies is fine. There's no good reason for this change.	
	Please vote NO on Proposition C. Thank you.	
	David Pilpel	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	Total Word Count	246

Office Use Only

Total # of words = 246 X \$2/word = 496	+ \$200 publication fee = 696	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	R
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	