
Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Signature |



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument	Information	
Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Info		
market and a second of the sec	ponent and Opponent Arguments	
l attest under the penalty of p am not a Non-supporter of th	perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argu is measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person	ment for Proposition being submitted and that I on who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	en promised any compensation or thing of value fro	ns to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; om such a committee to perform consulting services for
 Has authorized their measure. 	name or likeness to appear on campaign literature	e or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
I attest under the penalty of p not a Supporter of this meas	perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argur ure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with re	ment for Proposition $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\underline{}}$ being submitted and that I an espect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	en promised any compensation or thing of value fro	ns to make expenditures in support of the measure; om such a committee to perform consulting services for e or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
 Has authorized their measure. 	Traine of likeliess to appear on campaign literature	e of its advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
Complete the following to in	ndicate whether the Author is an individual or a	n organization:
Individual (or principal of		
Full Name (Print) Autum	in Looijen	Title (If Applicable) Co-lead, Recall SF School Board
Organization (Entity)	(If selected, complete both the Individual Au	uthor section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Prin		
	Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ring information is for identification purposes only,	
if you are signing as an indi	vidual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature		Email
Section 3: Submitter	Information	
	who delivers the argument and supporting materials	s to the Department. If there is a question or issue with
Full Name (Print) Autum	n Looijen	

			Mary and the state of the
Section 4:	Information	for Paid	Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Recall School	burce of funds for the printing fee of this argument: I Board Members Lopez, Collins & Moliga source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?
Yes 🗸	No 🗍
If the true	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:
1. David	Sacks
2. Arthu	Rock
3. Garry	Tan
Section !	5: Argument Text
hat specific argument te	rour argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the xt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is d. Include author information in argument text.
Format	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of

mat	ted. Include author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of
, BI	Aaron Peskin and 6 other elected officials have proposed a "recall reform" amendment that would make it practically impossible to recall ANY elected official in San Francisco.	words per line
	The recent landslide recall of three school board members which Siva and I led would not have been possible under this law.	
	This charter amendment is a naked power grab by politicians afraid that the people of San Francisco will hold them accountable.	
B	Vote NO on Proposition C.	
	Under the current law, a recall can be initiated for 3 years out of the 4 year term of an elected official.	
	Under the proposed amendment it's ONLY practical to initiate a recall 8 months out of a 4 year term.	
B	If this amendment had been in place, the school board recall — which won in a landslide with up to 76% of SF voters supporting it — would not have been allowed. You wouldn't have been able to	
	recall Commissioners López, Collins or Moliga despite their utter failure on the school board.	
	In addition, the recalled school board members could run in the November election but the newly	
B	appointed board members couldn't. This would deny our children and our school district the steady leadership they need at a time of crisis.	
	Recalls are a vital tool to keep our elected leaders accountable to the public they are elected to serve. They are incredibly rare (the last successful recall in SF history occurred more than 100 years ago)! The school board recall was the first San Francisco recall on the ballot in nearly 40 years.	
	If this amendment passes, it will severely restrict the ONLY tool we the people have to remove elected officials who fail to do their job. Don't let it pass.	
3	Vote NO on Proposition C.	
	Autumn Looijen Co-lead, Recall SF School Board	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	

Office Use Only Total # of words= 1287 X \$2/word = 578 + \$2	200 publication fee = 778	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	175
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	

Ballot Argument Consent Form

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Because anyone listed as an author or mentioned in an argument should have the opportunity to review the argument, the full text of the argument must appear on all Consent Forms.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

rmat	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines
I, BI	Aaron Peskin and 6 other elected officials have proposed a "recall reform" amendment that would make it
	practically impossible to recall ANY elected official in San Francisco.
	The recent landslide recall of three school board members which Siva and I led would not have been possible under this law.
	This charter amendment is a naked power grab by politicians afraid that the people of San Francisco will hold them accountable.
3	Vote NO on Proposition C.
	Under the current law, a recall can be initiated for 3 years out of the 4 year term of an elected official.
	Under the proposed amendment it's ONLY practical to initiate a recall 8 months out of a 4 year term.
	If this amendment had been in place, the school board recall which won in a landslide with up to 76% of SF
3	voters supporting it would not have been allowed. You wouldn't have been able to recall Commissioners
2	López, Collins or Moliga despite their utter failure on the school board.
	the Market of the State of the State of the Market of the Market of the State of th
B	In addition, the recalled school board members could run in the November election but the newly appointed board members couldn't. This would deny our children and our school district the steady leadership they need
,	at a time of crisis.
	Recalls are a vital tool to keep our elected leaders accountable to the public they are elected to serve. They are incredibly rare (the last successful recall in SF history occurred more than 100 years ago)! The school board recall was the first San Francisco recall on the ballot in nearly 40 years.
	If this amendment passes, it will severely restrict the ONLY tool we the people have to remove elected officials
	who fail to do their job. Don't let it pass.
B	Vote NO on Proposition C.
	Autumn Looijen
	Co-lead, Recall SF School Board
	Programme and the state of the
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information
	to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. Total Word Coun
	LOTAL WORD LOUD

Section 3: Consent Required

I verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot argument above, and authorize that my name or the name of my organization, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the argument in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Full Name (Print) Govindarajan Sivaraj (Siva Raj)

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electro 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

SANTHANCESCU
FILED

2022 HAR 17 PM 4: 13
Time/Date Stamp

PAID YES H-14

24 hours after submission to the D	Department at <u>publications@sfgov.org</u> .	
Section 1: Argument Info	rmation	
Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Inform		T.
Declaration Related to Propone	nt and Opponent Arguments	,
	ry that I am an Author of the Proponent Arg easure. A Non-supporter is defined as a per	ument for Proposition being submitted and that I son who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been protected that committee; or 	omised any compensation or thing of value fi	ans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; rom such a committee to perform consulting services for ure or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
	ry that I am an Author of the Opponent Arg u A Supporter is defined as a person who with	
 Has received or been protected that committee; or 	omised any compensation or thing of value for	ans to make expenditures in support of the measure; from such a committee to perform consulting services for ure or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
Complete the following to indica	ate whether the Author is an individual or	an organization:
Individual (or principal office		
		Foreign Built
Full Name (Print) Patrick V		Title (If Applicable) Executive Director
San Francisco Address (Where	you are Registered)	San Francisco, CA 94122
Signature		Email
Organization (Entity)	Ā	Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Fa		A CONTRACTOR OF THE RESIDENCE
Who should be listed as an Auth	or for your Organization?	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization	
		-
	information is for identification purposes only	
if you are signing as a		
Signature		Email
Section 3: Submitter Info	rmation	CT TEAT
	delivers the argument and supporting materia	als to the Department. If there is a question or issue with
Full Name (Print) Patrick W		Phone
Mailing Address	San Francisco, CA	94122
Signature		

Section /	1: Informa	tion for	Daid Ar	aumonte
Section 4	34011011011010	HOLLIOI	I CITO NAME	વાવામાં આવે

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author

s the true	e source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
Yes 🗌	No 🗾	
	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
1.		
3.		
٥.		
ection	5: Argument Text f your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You me	ay reque
ection he text of nat specifi rgument t	5: Argument Text f your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may be a graph the street of the street	nderline th
he text of nat specifi rgument t ot permitte Format	Fyour argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You m ic argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or un text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for ted. Include author information in argument text.	nderline the rmatting is
he text of he text of hat specifi rgument t ot permitt Format B, I, BI	Fyour argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You make the legal word limit is argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or untext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for led. Include author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words

Since 1907, there have been only six instances in which recalls qualified for the ballot. The first successful recall in San Francisco in over 100 years was the recent landslide recall of

three school board commissioners.

The current recall process has a high bar to succeed. It is used sparingly and reflects the will of the voters of San Francisco.

The proposed charter amendment is an attempt by current elected officials to curb the power of the voters. It would, in effect, protect current and future elected officials from recall for 42 months out of a 48-month term.

Additionally, by not allowing the appointee to run for office in the next election, it would relegate the appointee to lame duck status, discouraging qualified candidates and inhibiting accountability.



Don't let the Board of Supervisors take away your vote. The recall is our last protection against politicians who flagrantly ignore the will of the people.



Vote Noon Proposition C.

Families for San Francisco familiesforsanfrancisco.com

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Office Use Only	THE STATE OF THE S	建设的表示。 在1600年的
Total # of words= 211 X \$2/word = 444	+\$200 publication fee = 644	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature	Check#	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

An argument submitted on behalf of an organization must be signed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

2022 MAR 2 I AM 8: 35
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-2

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Inform		
	ent and Opponent Arguments	
	ury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argumeneasure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person	ent for Proposition being submitted and that I who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been p that committee; or 	romised any compensation or thing of value from	to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; such a committee to perform consulting services for or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
	ury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argume A Supporter is defined as a person who with resp	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
 Has received or been p that committee; or 	romised any compensation or thing of value from	to make expenditures in support of the measure; such a committee to perform consulting services for or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
elect one of the following to	ndicate whether the Author is an individual or	an organization:
Individual 📈	VI.	
E III VELO MOV	ie Louis Hurshiell	Title (If Applicable) SOAR - DI
Full Name (Print)		Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where	you are Registered)	
Signature		Email
Organization (Entity)		
Name of Organization (Print)		
Who should be listed as an Au	hor for your Organization?	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization (If selected, complete both the Individual At	uthor section and the Organization Section)
	information is for identification purposes only, all and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature		Email
ection 3: Submitter Inf	ormation	
	delivers the argument and supporting materials to	o the Department. If there is a question or issue with
Full Name (Print) Dapk	ne Alden	Phone
Mailing Address		
Signature		- 7

	4: Information for Paid Arguments nents must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also req	uired to			
indicate wh	nether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument are in the Voter Information Pamphlet.				
The true	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument: O MLee ASIAD Pacifit Democratic Chile PAC Sponsored	by			
Is the true	n Mbee Asian Pacific Democratic Club PAC sponsored e source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013? Neighbors for all	Better Sa			
Yes V	No Thancisco Advocac	y			
If the true	e source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:				
2.	Section of the feet of the fee				
,3.					
The text of that specifi	5: Argument Text f your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. Your argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special ted.	underline the			
Format	≪ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of			
B, I, BI		words per line			
В	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	7			
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our	15			
	Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by	13			
	putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall	14			
	irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	n			
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The				
	School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last	14			
	attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment,	14			
	introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over	12			
	half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	2			
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be	14			
	able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run	16			
	to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled	13			
	incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.				
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference	16			
	between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the	14			
	difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	M ₂			
B	Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!				
	John Trasviña	1			
	Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1	2			
	Martha Conte, D2Unite	2			
	Julie Paul, D2Unite 、	2			
	Paulina Fayer, activ8sf \ Laurance Lee, activ8sf \	2			
	Simon Timony, Advocates11	2 2 2 2 2 2			
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.				
	Total Word Coun	11 201 46			
Office Use		toff Initial			
	of words=7 X \$2/word = 34 + \$200 publication fee = 734 Security at the submitted in lieu of publication fee Receipt #	Staff Initials			
	signature Check #	nes			

Amount Paid

Adjusted Fee Total

measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Full Name (Print)	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registe	ered)
Signature Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, con	nplete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Orga	anization?
Only the Organization Both the O	Officer and the Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for if you are signing as an individual and not of beh	

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

•	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name		
Sali Flanci	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
	tion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section))
	rganization (Print) d be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	rganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
*0		
	he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,i igning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Cianatura		
Signature	Email	
	: Argument Text	
hat specific argument tex not permitted	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und kt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form the left column in argument text.	erline the
Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>	★ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines → ★ Text Within the Ve	# of words
, ,		per line
	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our	
	Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by	
	putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall	
	irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The	
	School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment,	
:	introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar,	
	Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over	
	half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be	
	able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled	
	incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C	
	takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.	
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference	
	between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the	
	difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	
	them, is not democracy.	
	Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!	
	John Trasviña	
	Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1	
	Martha Conte, D2Unite	
	Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf	
	Laurance Lee, activ8sf	
	Simon Timony, Advocates11	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	

B	allot Argument (Control Sheet B	Office use Office
Control Sheet A must be subminformation.	nitted for every ballot argur	ment, with required signatures and au	
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.			completed by Time/Date Stamp
		so submit Control Sheet B with require	ed signatures
and Information for all addition Section 1: Argumo			
Proposition <u>C</u>			Label
Proponent Argument	Rebutta	al to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebutta	al to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additio			
Declaration Related to	ed a free control of the		and the second s
			gument for Proposition being submitted and that I erson who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received of that committee; 	r been promised any of or	compensation or thing of value	plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; from such a committee to perform consulting services for ture or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
		n Author of the Opponent Arg	gument for Proposition being submitted and that I am h respect to a measure:
 Has received of that committee; Has authorized measure. 	r been promised any of or their name or likeness to indicate whether	compensation or thing of value s to appear on campaign litera the Author is an individual o	plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; from such a committee to perform consulting services for sture or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the or an organization:
Full Name (Print)	Laurance	L lee	Title (If Applicable) activ 85F
San Francisco Address			Title (117 ppiloable)
	(where you are regi	isiored)	
Signature			Email
Organization (Entity	(If selected, o	complete both the Individual	Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization Who should be listed a		Organization?	
Only the Organization	Both the	e Officer and the Organization	
		s for identification purposes on behalf of an organization.	ly,
Signature			Email
Additional Author	Information		
Declaration Related to		onent Arguments	
			gument for Proposition being submitted and that I

- not a front supported of this incusars. A front supported to a person fine, man support to a mountain
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
 measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
 Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advectors for the adeption of the
 - Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name	(Print) Title (If Applicable)	
San Franci	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
Organiza	tion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
	rganization (Print)	
Who shoul	d be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the O	rganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,igning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
	: Argument Text	
hat specific argument te not permitted Format	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting to the column of the left column of	lerline the
B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>		words per lin
	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.	
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	
	Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!	
	John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf	

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author	2022 MAR 21 AM 8: 35
information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be complet	CEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signa and information for all additional authors.	tures
Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition C	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argumen am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person w	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from s that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or 	uch a committee to perform consulting services for
measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument and Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respe	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from s that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or measure. 	uch a committee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an or	rganization:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) payling Fayer	Title (If Applicable) activ8sf
San Francisco Address (W	
Signature Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author	
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Signature	Email
Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argumen	nt for Proposition being submitted and that

am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ _ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is a	an individual or an organization:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print)	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both	the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and th	e Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Signature	Email
Section 3: Argument Text	
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted	d. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request

that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

B, *I*, *BI*

Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -

words per line

Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.

On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.

Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.

Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.

Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.

Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!

John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2022 MAR 21 AM 8: 36 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

- I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:
 - Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
 - Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
 - Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

•	e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Paradi	
	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
Organizat	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of O	rganization (Print)	,
Who should	be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	t
that specific argument tex	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form It. Include Author information in argument text.	erline the
Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words
D, 1, DI		per line
	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.	
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	
	Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!	
	John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	



John Amtzc Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

2022 MAR 21 AM 8: 36 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp Label Paid Argument in Favor Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)	Martha	Ehm	unn	Cont
San Francisco Add				0

Title (If Applicable)

12 Unite

Address (where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

*	Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
if	you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	

Signature

	N.
	m.
v	 -
	-

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Full Name	(or principal officer of Organization)	
1 411 1141110	(Print) Title (If Applicable)	
San Franci	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
<u>Organiza</u>	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of O	rganization (Print)	
Who shoul	d be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the O	rganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
Signature	Email	
	: Argument Text	
hat specific argument te not permitte	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or uncut to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatted Author information in argument text.	derline the matting is
Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>	★ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines — →	# of words
, ,		per lin
	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.	
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference	
	between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	
	difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using	
	difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition ___

Proponent Argument Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

	Office Use Only
	2022 MAR 21
_	DEPARTMENT DE ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp ECTIONS
	Label
ι Λ.	rgument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Full Name (Print) STMOT TIMOT	Title 116 Applicables Activocates
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	Title (If Applicable)
Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Inc	dividual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organ	nization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purpoif you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization	
Signature Signature	Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
 measure.

l attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

•	e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name	Bassaced	
San Francis	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
Organizat	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)	
	ganization (Print)	-
Who should	d be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
that specific argument tex	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und kt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text.	erline the natting is
Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>	★ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines → ★ Text Within the Vertical Lines → ★ Text Within th	# of words
<i>D</i> , <i>1</i> , <i>D</i> ,		per line
	Dretect democracy Veta No. on Brown siting C	:
	Protect democracy. Vote No on Proposition C.	
	On the same day as the historic recall vote of three School Board members, our Supervisors defied the almost 80% of San Franciscans who voted for the recall by putting Proposition C on the ballot. Proposition C restricts our democratic right to recall irresponsible elected officials and prioritizes insiders and incumbents over the voters.	
	Recalls are a rarely used but important part of the people's voice in a democracy. The School Board recall was the first successful San Francisco recall in 110 years. Our last attempted recall was 1983. Hardly, a recall "free-for-all." Yet, this charter amendment, introduced by Supervisor Peskin and supported by Supervisors Chan, Haney, Mar, Preston, Ronen and Walton, would shield irresponsible incumbents from recall for over half their terms of office, and would prohibit their replacement from running in the following election.	
	Proposition C would prolong the chaos in the District Attorney's office. We wouldn't be able to vote on the Mayor's replacement, and that replacement would not be able to run to fill out the rest of the term. If the appointee cleans up the mess left by the recalled incumbent, and the voters approve and want that appointee to continue, Proposition C takes that right away from the voters, the appointee and the mayor.	
	Proposition C is based on a mistrust or fear that voters do not know the difference between elected officials they want to recall and those they don't. We know the difference, and we know that taking away voting rights simply because citizens are using them, is not democracy.	
	Protect the people, not the politicians. Vote No on Proposition C!	
	John Trasviña Marie Hurabiell, SOAR-D1 Martha Conte, D2Unite Julie Paul, D2Unite Paulina Fayer, activ8sf Laurance Lee, activ8sf Simon Timony, Advocates11	
	Simon Timony, Advocates11 If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to	

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A


Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 2 information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Mailing Address

Signature |



id Argument in Favor
id Argument Against 🗸
The second secon
osition being submitted and that I spect to a measure:
penditures in opposition to the measure; mittee to perform consulting services for ing that advocates for the defeat of the
sition being submitted and that I are
neenditures in support of the measure; mittee to perform consulting services for ing that advocates for the adoption of the n:
(If Applicable) Chairman
1
il .
and the Organization Section)
*
· .
ment. If there is a question or issue with

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments	
Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the	nt. It is also required to ne argument and the author
information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	4.
The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument: Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee	
Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	•
Yes ✓ No _	:
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1. David Sacks	÷.
2. Daniel o'Keefe	
3. Linn Yeaser Coonan	` <u>.</u>
Section 5: Argument Text	• •
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. not permitted. Include author information in argument text.	formatting, or underline the
Format B, I, BI Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
It should outrage every San Francisco voter that a handful of our electe	
are attempting to limit the public's ability to hold City Hall leaders accou	ntable. This

is exactly what members of the Board of Supervisors propose: to severely restrict the window of opportunity for the public to democratically undertake a recall, making it nearly unworkable, and furthermore - if a recall election does succeed - to then prohibit an individual appointed as replacement from running as a candidate in the next scheduled elections.

It literally takes tens of thousands of voters to commence a recall effort, collecting signatures to qualify a petition when a city's elected official has crossed the line and deserves to be recalled. It's extremely rare. It's a highly important option of last resort. Our California constitution guarantees recalls as part of a healthy democracy. It's as potent a solution as an impeachment, to remove egregiously incompetent, scandalous and corrupt officials.

Don't let city hall radicals push false narratives about recalls in hopes of covering up their own (or their colleagues') misdoings to avoid accountability.

Emphatically vote NO on Proposition C, restricting the timeline for recall process and prohibiting subsequent appointee's right to run as a future candidate.

Richie Greenberg, Chairman YES on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee RecallChesaBoudin.org

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count 201

Total # of words= 201 X \$2/word = 407 + \$200 publication fee = 607 Staff Initials

of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee Receipt

X \$0.50/signature Check #

Adjusted Fee Total Amount Paid

Office Use Only

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

information. If your argument has with required signatures and inform	nore than one author, you must also submit Control Senation for all additional authors.	166664AR 21 AM 9: 06	
For an argument submitted on bel completed by a principal officer of voter.	nalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must als the organization who must be a registered San Francis	o be Time/Da	ate Stamp
	idual or organization other than the author supports or n or endorses the argument, a completed and signed		10 <u>C-4</u>
	ce the possibility of transcription error by sending e Department at publications@sfgov.org .	an electror, or your pallot	argument text within
Section 1: Argument Ir	formation		
Proposition C			. j 'n
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Fav	/or
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Again	st 🗸
Section 2: Author Info	mation		
Declaration Related to Propo	nent and Opponent Arguments		
	rjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argun measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a persor		
 Has received or been that committee; or 	or member of a committee that has made or plans promised any compensation or thing of value from name or likeness to appear on campaign literature	n such a committee to perform o	consulting services for
	rjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argum e. A Supporter is defined as a person who with res		submitted and that I am
 Has received or been that committee; or 	or member of a committee that has made or plans promised any compensation or thing of value from name or likeness to appear on campaign literature	n such a committee to perform of	consulting services for
	licate whether the Author is an individual or ar	organization:	
Individual (or principal offi		rorganization.	800
Full Name (Print) Erica	Sandberg	Title (If Applicable)	
San Francisco Address (Whe	re you are Registered)		
Signature		Email	
Organization (Entity)	elected, complete both the Individual Aut	thor section and the Organiza	tion Section
Name of Organization (Print)	Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Commi	ttee	100
Who should be listed as an A	uthor for your Organization?		-
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization		Ť
	ng information is for identification purposes only, [dual and not of behalf of an organization.		
Signature		Email	
Section 3: Submitter Ir	formation		
	o delivers the argument and supporting materials	to the Department. If there is a	question or issue with
Full Name (Print) Richie	Greenberg	Phone	120

Mailing Address

Signature |

Email

Section	4: Information for Paid Arguments	
Paid argun	nents must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also r	equired to
	ether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument	
information	in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	
	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	***
Yes on Re	call Chesa Boudin Committee	
Is the true	source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
Yes ✓	No	•
, If the true	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
1. Day	rid Sacks	
2. Dar	iel o'Keefe	
3. Linr	Yeaser Coonan	
Section	5: Argument Text	. •
	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. `	You may request
	c argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting,	
	ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other spec	
	ed. Include author information in argument text.	nation nature is
Format	★ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines —	▶ # of
B, /, <i>BI</i>	F 130 (100 m) 100 m	words
		per line
	The world is acutely aware of the devastating impact our public officials have on San	
	Francisco. It's time to create a healthy city, beginning with protecting the democratic,	
	constitutionally-guaranteed right to recall officials who abuse their power, holding	•

his fellow bureaucrats - from repercussions when they fail and hurt our community. We do not accept this move toward despotism. Stand up to bureaucrats and vote NO.

Proposition C has dire consequences for San Franciscans who care deeply about all who live, work, and visit here. We must always have a voice in the way our city is managed. Three disgraced School Board members who've harmed our public school system were recently ousted by recall in a landslide. This is progress. Yet, dangerous, squalid,

accountable those who believe they should be able to do anything they want once in office.

Aaron Peskin, city supervisor who authored Proposition C, wants to exempt himself - and

recently ousted by recall in a landslide. This is progress. Yet, dangerous, squalid, depressing scenes still play out on San Francisco's streets, a direct result of bad policy and poor leadership. Officials who are responsible need to be held accountable, and to ensure this, we need our right to recall them when proven to be especially egregious.

Now San Franciscans overwhelmingly want district attorney Chesa Boudin out of office before he does even more damage. Boudin assured voters he would produce a safer city for all. Instead, chaos and crime increased; he broke his promise with devastating results. Recalling him is the appropriate action. When his recall is successful, Mayor London Breed would appoint an interim district attorney, yet if Proposition C passes, the interim replacement won't have the ability to run in the next election.

For these reasons, Vote NO on Proposition C.

Erica Sandberg

Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee

RecallChesaBoudin.org

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count 27

13

Office Use Only .		
Total # of words= 273 X \$2/word = 546	+ \$200 publication fee = 74(2	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	-
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	7.8
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	





SAN FRANCISCO John Arntzo Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

DEPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO C-5

	e possibility of transcription error by sending partment at <u>publications@sfqov.orq</u> .	g an electro
Section 1: Argument Infor	nation	
Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Informat Declaration Related to Proponent		
	that I am an Author of the Proponent Argur sure. A Non-supporter is defined as a perso	ment for Proposition being submitted and that I on who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been promethat committee; or Has authorized their name measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury in the penalty of p	or likeness to appear on campaign literature	ns to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; om such a committee to perform consulting services for e or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the ment for Proposition being submitted and that I am
 Is a treasurer, officer, or me Has received or been promethat committee; or 	ember of a committee that has made or plar ised any compensation or thing of value fro	ns to make expenditures in support of the measure; om such a committee to perform consulting services for e or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
Complete the following to indicate	whether the Author is an individual or a	n organization:
Individual (or principal officer o	f Organization)	
Full Name (Print) LARR	Y MARSO	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where yo	u are Registered)	
Signature		Email
Organization (Entity) (If s	elected, complete both the Individual Au	uthor section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)		
Who should be listed as an Author	for your Organization?	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ormation is for identification purposes only, and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature		Email
Section 3: Submitter Information The submitter is the person who del		s to the Department. If there is a question or issue with

200

Phone

Email

Full Name (Print)

Mailing Address

Signature

I

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

	Yes on	Recall	Chesa	Boudin	Comr	nittee
--	--------	--------	-------	--------	------	--------

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes 🖣

No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1. David Sacks
- 2. Daniel o'Keefe
- Linn Yeaser Coonan

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, /, BI

Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines

words per line

Vote NO on Proposition C...

Preserve the Democratic Right of Recall.

When a politician wins election, it's not "job security" for the full term of office. Depending on the job, a politician can be censured, impeached, recalled, removed, or criminally prosecuted.

Recall is a sacred right of the voter under California law. The Board of Supervisors has exploited loopholes to strip San Franciscans of their rights.

This Charter Amendment is a diversion to neuter the Recall of District Attorney Chesa Boudin. If Proposition C passes, the District Attorney's replacement – appointed by the Mayor – can't run for re-election next year. In other words, the Mayor has to hire a "temp," who won't be the most qualified person for D.A.

(San Francisco already suffers that problem.)

Proposition C, had it been in effect, would have prevented the School Board Recall of Collins, López and Moliga, because it cuts in half the months to organize and schedule a recall.

Mayor Breed's School Board appointees - Ann Hsu, Lainie Motamedi and Lisa Weissman-Ward - would also have been "temps" disqualified from running again, because Proposition C forbids this.

In fact, the Mayor wouldn't have had the appointment power! Under Proposition C, other members of the School Board would have selected replacements – including commissioners who voted with Collins, López and Moliga to end merit-based admissions to Lowell, to rename the schools, to paint over murals, to keep the schools closed.

Remember, recall organizers wanted to remove more School Board members but couldn't, because of date limitations. Proposition C makes these restrictions worse!

Also, under Proposition C, if voters ever recall a Supervisor, the Board of Supervisors would appoint the replacement.

Vote NO on Proposition C.

Larry Marso

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Office Use Only		
Total # of words= 249 X \$2/word = 498	+ \$200 publication fee = GPG	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	1.6
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	




Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

Signature |

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.



PAID NO

C-6


Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Inf	formation		
Proposition C			
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor	r
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against	/
Section 2: Author Inforr	mation		
	nent and Opponent Arguments		1. 1
	ury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argume neasure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person		bmitted and that I
 Has received or been p that committee; or 	or member of a committee that has made or plans or or member of a committee that has made or plans or or member any compensation or thing of value from ame or likeness to appear on campaign literature or	such a committee to perform cor	nsulting services for
	ury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argume . A Supporter is defined as a person who with resp		bmitted and that I ar
 Has received or been p that committee; or 	or member of a committee that has made or plans promised any compensation or thing of value from the arms or likeness to appear on campaign literature of	such a committee to perform cor	nsulting services for
Complete the following to indic	cate whether the Author is an individual or an	organization:	
Individual (or principal office	er of Organization)	3	
			11 :
Full Name (Print) Zach G	eorgopoulos	Title (If Applicable)	Horney
San Francisco Address (Where	e vou are Registered)		
Signature		Email	-0-1
Organization (Entity)	(If selected, complete both the Individual Auth	Email or section and the Organization	on Section)
	es on Recall Chesa Boudin Committ		10 1
Who should be listed as an Aut		66.	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization		
* Check if the title or identifying if you are signing as an individu	information is for identification purposes only, all and not of behalf of an organization.		3 4
Signature Signature			
orginature		Email	
Section 3: Submitter Inf	ormation		
he submitter is the person who submission, the Department with	delivers the argument and supporting materials to ill contact the submitter.	the Department. If there is a que	estion or issue with
Full Name (Print) Richie	Greenberg	Phone	
Mailing Address			

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also reindicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument		
information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.		
The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument: Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee		
Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?		
Yes ✓ No _	•	
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:		-
1. David Sacks 2. Daniel o'Keefe	,	_
3. Linn Yeaser Coonan		_
Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other specinot permitted. Include author information in argument text.	or underline the sial formatting is	t t
Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines Street	► # of words	
It is notoriously difficult to qualify a recall proposition for the ballot in San Francis	1 .	
and most efforts never make it. But once in a great while enough San Franciscal decide they're so fed up with a politician that they sign petitions and vote to reca	l l	
That's what recall elections are about – they're a safety valve that allows the		
people to take power away from elected officials who abuse or misuse it.	•	
They are democracy in its purest form.		
But Proposition C seeks to put a stop to that by closing the window of opportunit between the initiation and closure of a recall, which will make future recalls all buimpossible. And the anti-democratic ideas don't stop there! Proposition C furthe seeks to keep potentially good interim office holders from running for the same	ut .	
office in the next election – even if they are popular with the people of San Francisco.		
Proposition C, which was proposed by a member of the Board of Supervisors are backed by five more, is the Board's attempt to grab power not only from the May		
but from the San Francisco voting public. Don't be fooled by this naked power grab. Vote for democracy by voting NO on Proposition C.	•	
Zach Georgopoulos	· · ·	
Attorney		
Yes on Recall Chesa Boudin Committee		
RecallChesaBoudin.org	·	
	··.	
	•.	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the head of the inch little of the interpretation in final	on .	
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. Total Word Co	ount 197	_

Office Use Only		
Total # of words= 197 X \$2/word = 394	+ \$200 publication fee = 59니	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt#	
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	



	n the Voter Information Pamphlet.	
	ource of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
Is the true	n Francisco Kefablican facty source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
Yes i	No 🗍	
	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
1.		
2. 3.		
Section !	5: Argument Text	
at specific	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You re argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or unxt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for	nderline the
ot permitte	d. Include author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of
Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i>	Reep Text Within the Vertical Lines	words per line
	and the state of ways of ways	per line
	Voters, don't give acray con more or	
	Voters, don't give away any more of your power. Proposition C greatly limits the window	6
	to recall an incompetent public official.	6
	Recent successful recalls have made some	6
	in government nervous. Keep polincians	3
	accountable to voters and retain your right	1
	to vote for amountees who get the 100	8
	to vote for appointees who get the job done. Vote NO.	3
	San Francisco Republican Party	1
		1
	John Dennis	,
	Chairman	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	TO THE DEST OF THEIR ADMILLES, THIS THEID TELAUOTI IS THIRD.	

Receipt # Check #

Amount Paid

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee

X \$0.50/signature

Adjusted Fee Total

Signature |

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisi voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or of the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

2022 MAR 21 AM II: 03

PAID NO

C-8

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy or your parior argument text warm 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfoov.org.

	formation	
Section 1: Argument In Proposition C	iormation	
Proposition Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Infor		
	nent and Opponent Arguments	
attest under the penalty of per am not a Non-supporter of this	iury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argum measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a persor	ent for Proposition being submitted and that I who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	promised any compensation or thing of value from	s to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; in such a committee to perform consulting services for or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
	jury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argum . A Supporter is defined as a person who with res	ent for Proposition being submitted and that I am spect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	promised any compensation or thing of value from	s to make expenditures in support of the measure; in such a committee to perform consulting services for or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
Complete the following to indi	cate whether the Author is an individual or an	organization:
Individual (or principal office	er of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Que	tin L. KOPP	Title (If Applicable) President
San Francisco Address (When	e you are Registered)	
Signature	0	Email
Organization (Entity)	(If selected, complete both the Individual Aut	hor section and the Organization sections
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Au	uthor for your Organization?	181's Association
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization	
	g information is for identification purposes only, [lual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature		Email
Section 3: Submitter In		
The submitter is the person who a submission, the Department v	o delivers the argument and supporting materials will contact the submitter.	to the Department. If there is a question or issue with
Full Name (Print) Quentin	L. Kopp	Phone
Mailing Address		

Email

	1 4: Information for Paid Arguments ments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also require	ed to
ndicat nform	funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and to Pamphlet.	he author
The true	soul of funds for the printing fee of this argument	
Is the tru	ue source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Sov. Code §82013?	
Yes	No.	
If the tru	e source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
2.		
	5: Argument Text	
The text of that speci argument not permi	If your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You make the legal word limit is argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or untext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for the left column is argument text.	derline the matting is
Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines VOTE NO ON PROP C − It's Cockamamie!	# of words
		per line
	Fair minded citizens banded together for the first time in almost four decades and pusted incompetent elected school board officials and seek to do the same with District Attorney Chesa Boudin for not doing his job. Now City Hall is firing back!	
	Prop C is an undemocratic, unnecessary and unworthy measure deserving a NO vote.	
	Masquerading as good government and taxpayer issue, Supervisor Peskin is meddling with a standard set by our state's Constitution and one that has served our Charter City for 150 years.	
	This is pure trickery, designed to keep the political class free from accountability and the power of electors - us.	
	Under current law, any elected official can be recalled after 6 months. Prop C doubles that time to 12 months as the time these officials are free from recall at the beginning of their terms and adds a new 18-month period during which they are also exempt!	
	This second "safe space" is not tied to the end of their four-year terms but to "a regularly scheduled election," which is almost always less than two years away. So, for 42 months out of their 48-month term, they are free from recall!! In other words, even in the face of massive dissatisfaction with, or misconduct by, a member of the Board of Supervisors, Board of Education, City College Board, or it will almost always be either "too early" or "too late" for voters to seek a recall except for a small six-month window every four years.	
	Prop C should be called the Political Class Protection Act - VOTE NO!	
	San Francisco Taxpayer's Association	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information	
	to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	212
	Total Word Count	638

Office Use Only		
Total # of words= 258 X \$2/word = 516	+\$200 publication fee = 716	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature	Check#	Po-
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	70

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A


Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or op the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Co Form is required.

Mailing Address

Signature |





	nformation	
Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Info	rmation	
eclaration Related to Propo	onent and Opponent Arguments	
attest under the penalty of pe m not a Non-supporter of this	erjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argu s measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a pers	ment for Proposition being submitted and that I on who, with respect to a measure:
		ns to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; om such a committee to perform consulting services for
 Has authorized their measure. 	name or likeness to appear on campaign literatur	e or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
	erjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argu r ee. A Supporter is defined as a person who with re	ment for Proposition being submitted and that I am espect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	promised any compensation or thing of value from	ns to make expenditures in support of the measure; orn such a committee to perform consulting services for e or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
amplete the following to in	Parket de de la desarta de la companya de la compan	
while the following to me	dicate whether the Authoras an individual or a	an organization:
[생님] : 이 사람이 이 계속이 되지 않는데!	dicate whether the Authory's an individual or a icer of Organization)	an organization:
Individual (or principal offi		an organization: Title (If Applicable) Resident
Individual (or principal offi	icer of Organization)	
Individual (or principal offi Full Name (Print) QUE San Francisco Address	ohn L. Kopp	
Individual (or principal offi Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address Signature	ohn L. Kopp	Title (If Applicable) Resident
Individual (or principal office of the principal office office of the principal office of the principal office of the principal office of the principal office off	are Registered) (If selected, complete both the Individual A	Title (If Applicable) Resident
Individual (or principal office of the principal office office of the principal office office office office office office office offine office office office office office office office office office	are Registered) (If selected, complete both the Individual A	Title (If Applicable) Resident Email uthor section and the Organization Section)
Individual (or principal office of the full Name (Print) San Francisco Address Signature Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an A Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying	are Registered) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author for your Organization?	Email uthor section and the Organization Section)
Individual (or principal office of the full Name (Print) San Francisco Address Signature Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Address Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying if you are signing as an individual of the content o	are Registered) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Ing information is for identification purposes only,	Email uthor section and the Organization Section)
Individual (or principal office of the principal office office of the principal office of	are Registered) (If selected, complete both the Individual Augustion) Both the Officer and the Organization of information is for identification purposes only, idual and not of behalf of an organization.	Title (If Applicable) Resident Email uthor section and the Organization Section) Association
Individual (or principal office of the submitter is the person with a submitter is the person	are Registered) [If selected, complete both the Individual Alexandro Toyang author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Individual and not of behalf of an organization.	Title (If Applicable) Resident Email uthor section and the Organization Section) Association
Individual (or principal office of the line of the lin	are Registered) [If selected, complete both the Individual And Andrew Complete South of Sout	Email uthor section and the Organization Section) Email Email

The true	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument	
le the tri	ie source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
_	1	
Yes If the tru	No N	
1.		
<u>2.</u> 3.		
	5: Argument Text	
The text of that speci argument	of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You meet fic argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or untext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for the left column in argument text.	derlin
Format	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of word
B, I, BI		per l
	VOTE NO ON PROP C	
	The Board of Supervisors is notorious for supporting democratic movements around the world but when San Francisco voters used their century-old constitutional authority to recall three errant School Board members, these same Supervisors banded together to stop us. If this were done by a Southern or Republican state legislature, it would be called voter suppression.	
	In San Francisco, it's called the Peskin Charter Amendment or Proposition C. call it the Incumbent Protection Act and we should all say No.	
	Aside from Judge Charles Weller in 1913 and Senator Edwin Grant in 1914, San Francisco voters had never recalled local public officials. And we hadn't even had a recall vote since 1983 against then-Mayor Dianne Feinstein. That is, until the School Board recall in February. The overwhelming voter response to the mis-spending and unlawful acts of the School Board (ending Lowell academic admissions; 44 schools renamed, etc.) was too much for this Board of Supervisors.	
	On the very same day that 75% of the voters in Supervisor Peskin's district and 81% in Supervisor Mar's district voted for the recall, these and other Supervisors placed Proposition C on the ballot to strip away at our right to recall. Organizations across the spectrum have termed the recall process a vital part of our electoral system. It ensures that public officials are held accountable. Opponents reveal their contempt for the democratic process.	
	Finally, Proposition C allows the recalled official to immediately run again for the same office but prohibits the replacement appointed to clean up after them from running. The replacement may or may not be better. But Proposition C takes away your right to make that choice.	
	Proposition C gives more protection to failed incumbents than to our voting rights.	
	Vote No on Proposition C! Judge Quantin Lapp (Ret.)	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	

Total # of words=780 X \$2/word = 560 +:	\$200 publication fee = 760	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt#	
X \$0.50/signature	Check#	
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposithe ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed ConsForm is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an elections of the Department at publications of the Dep

Office Use Only 2022 MAR 21 AM 11: 23 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

PAID NO

C-10

24 hours after submission to th	e Department at <u>publications@sfgov.org</u> .	
Section 1: Argument Ir	formation	
Proposition C		
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against 🗸
Section 2: Author Info	mation	
Declaration Related to Propo	nent and Opponent Arguments	
	rjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argur measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a perso	ment for Proposition being submitted and that on who, with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	promised any compensation or thing of value fro	ns to make expenditures in opposition to the measur om such a committee to perform consulting services e or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
	rjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argun e. A Supporter is defined as a person who with re	ment for Proposition being submitted and that espect to a measure:
 Has received or been that committee; or 	promised any compensation or thing of value fro	ns to make expenditures in support of the measure; om such a committee to perform consulting services e or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of
complete the following to inc	licate whether the Author is an individual or a	un organization:
Individual (or principal offi		•
	CALL THE STATE OF	EL ANT DE LA
Full Name (Print) David	riipei	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Whe	re you are Registered)	
Signature		Email
Organization (Entity)	(If selected, complete both the Individual Au	
The second second second second		num section and the organization section,
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an A		
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ng information is for identification purposes only, dual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature		Email
Section 3: Submitter In the submitter is the person who submission, the Department	o delivers the argument and supporting materials	s to the Department. If there is a question or issue w
Full Name (Print) David	Pilpel	Phone
Mailing Address		
Signature		F

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments
Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to
indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author
information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

information	n in the Voter Information Pamphlet.		
The true :	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument: lel		
Is the true	e source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?		
Yes If the true	No Source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:		
2.			
3.			_
The text of that specifi argument	5: Argument Text if your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may be compared to the printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underst to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for the column in argument text.	nderline the	
Format	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of	_
B, /, BI	Please vote NO on Proposition C.	words per line	
	Proposition C would limit when San Francisco voters could remove local elected officials who have breached the public trust. Recalls are rarely used and there is no need to amend the Charter for this.		
	The power of the people to elect and recall local officials is important to our democracy. While we try to elect the best qualified candidates in local elections, sometimes officials act in ways that are not illegal, but prompt efforts to remove them from office. That's what a recall is.		
	There have been several recent recall elections, both local and statewide, but it's not a permanent problem requiring a radical local solution. A recall can now be started after a local official has been in office for six months, and until six months before their term ends. A three-year window during a four-year term is an effective tool to have if it becomes necessary.		
	Proposition C would reduce that to barely more than a year and make local elected officials more difficult to remove from office through a recall.		
	It would also change how vacancies are filled after a successful local recall. A fundamental power of the Mayor is to appoint people to offices and positions, including filling vacancies. It's one of the reasons we elect a Mayor, and something to consider in candidates for Mayor.		
	The process for local recalls and filling vacancies is fine. There's no good reason for this change.		

Total # of words= 246 X \$2/word = 496	+ \$200 public	ation fee = 696	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check#	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	

Total Word Count 748

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Please vote NO on Proposition C. Thank you.

David Pilpel