



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only
2020 AUG 14 PM 3:34
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID YES E-1

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

CO-CHAIR OF AFROSOCIALISTS
AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS
Title (If Applicable)

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature → _____

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature → _____

Email _____

Section 3: Submitter information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS

Phone _____

Mailing Address _____

Signature → _____

Email _____

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
KAYLAH WILLIAMS

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:
1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, B'	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	"The safest communities don't have the most police; they have the most resources."	
	We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.	
	In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.	
	The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.	
	This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.	
	The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.	
	Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.	
	Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.	
	Vote YES on E!	
	Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Naeemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	238

Office Use Only				Staff Initials
Total # of words=238 X \$2/word = 516		+ \$200 publication fee = 716		
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Office Use Only
2022 AUG 14 PM 3:34 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label
Paid Argument in Favor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Paid Argument Against <input type="checkbox"/>

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Hazel Williams

Title (If Applicable) DSA-SF ELECTORAL COMMITTEE CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature 

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) DSA-SF Electoral Committee - Co CHAIR

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature 

Email [REDACTED]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS Title (If Applicable) CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature Email

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature Email

Section 3: Argument text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Forma* B, I, BI		# of words per line
	"The safest communities don't have the most police; they have the most resources."	
	We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.	
	In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.	
	The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.	
	This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.	
	The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.	
	Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.	
	Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.	
	Vote YES on E!	
	Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Naeemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Office Use Only
2008 AUG 14 PM 3:34 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Paid Argument in Favor ☒

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Dylan Yap

Title (If Applicable) Co-Chair of DSA SF Justice Committee

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature →

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) DSA SF Justice Committee

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature →

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS Title (If Applicable) CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Argument

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Forma* B, I, BI		# of words per line
	"The safest communities don't have the most police; they have the most resources."	
	We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.	
	In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.	
	The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.	
	This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.	
	The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.	
	Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.	
	Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.	
	Vote YES on E!	
	Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Naeemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☒

Paid Argument Against ☐

Office Use Only
2023 AUG 14 PM 3:34 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Alexandria Karim

Title (If Applicable) Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature → _____

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) DSA SF Justice Committee

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature → _____

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS Title (If Applicable) CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	<p>"The safest communities don't have the most police; they have the most resources."</p> <p>We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.</p> <p>In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.</p> <p>The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.</p> <p>This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.</p> <p>The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.</p> <p>Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.</p> <p>Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.</p> <p>Vote YES on E!</p> <p>Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Naeemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee</p>	f rds line
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☒

Paid Argument Against ☐

Office Use Only
FILED 2020 AUG 14 PM 3:34 Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Naeemah Charles

Title (If Applicable) co-chair

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature →

Email

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Afro socialist and socialist of color Caucus SF

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature →

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS Title (If Applicable) CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED] Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Argument text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
B, I, BI	"The safest communities don't have the most police; they have the most resources."	
	We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.	
	In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.	
	The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.	
	This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.	
	The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.	
	Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.	
	Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.	
	Vote YES on E!	
	Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Naeemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
Time/Date Stamp
PAID YES E-2

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☒

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Jeffrey Yunes

Title (If Applicable) Vice Chair

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Libertarian Party of San Francisco

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☒

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Jeffrey Yunes

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature → [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

S-E

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
Libertarian Party of San Francisco

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:


- 1. Scott Banister
- 2. David Jeffries
- 3. Tim Carico

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
b	San Francisco is over-policed, with more officers per capita than Paris had when the hated aristocracy of Louis XVI kept the peasantry under their thumb before the French Revolution (https://bit.ly/3azrpm9).	
L	According to the Controller's statement, each sworn SFPD officer costs us an average of \$155,000 in salary and benefits , not even including their pension costs we'll be paying long after they're gone.	
i	<i>Even if every officer were faithfully defending the constitutional rights they swore to uphold, this would be excessive. Sadly many do not, and their abuses have disproportionately come at the expense of the poor, black and brown. Hence a growing sentiment, here and elsewhere, to defund or even abolish the police.</i>	
	Capping the number of cops at a level more in keeping with that of neighboring cities like San Jose is a relatively minor reform.	
↓	We urge a YES vote on Proposition E.	
	Libertarian Party of San Francisco www.LPSF.org	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		

Total Word Count 140

Office Use Only				Staff Initials
Total # of words= 140 X \$2/word = 280 + \$200 publication fee = 480				
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		