Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E
Proponent Argument [X] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument in Favor [X]
Opponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [X]

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]
Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Organization (Entily) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [X]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS
Mailing Address [ ]
Signature [ ] Email [ ]
Phone [ ]
CAUCUS
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

**KAYLAH WILLIAMS**

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. 
2. 
3. 

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or both italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for both italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format B, I, BI</th>
<th>Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines</th>
<th># of words per line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The safest communities don’t have the most police; they have the most resources.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.

In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.

The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.

This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.

The City has charged dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.

Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.

Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.

Vote YES on E!

Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Naemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

- Proponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
- Opponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument In Favor
Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☑

Full Name (Print) Haze Williams

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) ☑ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) DSA - SF Electoral Committee - CO-CHAIR

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

- Only the Organization ☑
- Both the Officer and the Organization ☑

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [X]

Full Name (Print): KAYLAH WILLIAMS
Title (If Applicable): CO-CHAIR
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered): 
Signature: ___________________________ Email: ___________________________

Organization (Entity) [X] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section)
Name of Organization (Print): AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [X]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature: ___________________________ Email: ___________________________

Section 3: Argument text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.

```
"The safest communities don't have the most police; they have the most resources."
```

We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.

In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.

The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.

This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.

The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.

Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.

Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.

Vote YES on E!

Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Naemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
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Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent Argument</th>
<th>Rebuttal to Proponent Argument</th>
<th>Paid Argument In Favor</th>
<th>Paid Argument Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) X

Full Name (Print) Dylan Yee
Title (If Applicable) Co-Chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)
Signature
Email

Organization (Entity) X (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) DSA SF Justice Committee
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization
Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature
Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) KAYLAH WILLIAMS  Title (If Applicable) CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) ☐

Signature ☐

Organizations (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author Section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐  Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature ☐

Email ☐

Section 3: Argument

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.

Forma B, BI “The safest communities don’t have the most police; they have the most resources.”

We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.

In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.

The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.

This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.

The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many offices to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.

Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.

Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.

Vote YES on E!

Kaiylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Naemiah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee

if handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
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Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

Proponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument In Favor ☒

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee

Full Name (Print) Alexandria Karim

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted] Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) DSA SF Justice Committee

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted] Email [Redacted]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)** [X]

**Full Name (Print)** KAYLAH WILLIAMS

**San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)**

**Signature**

**Organization (Entity)** [X]

**Name of Organization (Print)** AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

- Only the Organization [ ]
- Both the Officer and the Organization [X]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [ ]

If you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

**Signature**

**Section 3: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

“"The safest communities don’t have the most police; they have the most resources."

We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.

In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.

The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.

This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.

The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.

Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.

Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.

Vote YES on E!

Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Naemnah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee

* If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count**
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

Proponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ]
Opponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition [X] being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [X]

Full Name (Print) Naeeemah Charles
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)
Signature
Email
Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) AfroSocialist and Socialist of Color Caucus S.F.
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
- Only the Organization [ ]
- Both the Officer and the Organization [X]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature
Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition [X] being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)  
Title (If Applicable) CO-CHAIR

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Organization (Entity)

Name of Organization (Print) AFROSOCIALISTS AND SOCIALISTS OF COLOR CAUCUS

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  
Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual, and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text:

"The safest communities don’t have the most police; they have the most resources."

We should decide the number of police on our streets based on data, evidence, and the needs of our city today—not the needs of our city in 1994.

In 1994, the SFPD minimum staffing requirement was established at the height of the failed War on Drugs. To make our city safe, voters believed that we needed to put people in prison for using drugs like marijuana. This did not make us safer but led to the imprisonment of tens of thousands of San Franciscans.

The staffing requirement states that the City must employ at least 1,971 armed police officers. This rigid requirement limits our ability to respond to budget deficits and fully fund critical services like healthcare and education.

This arbitrary number was chosen because of the number of police officers employed in 1994.

The City has changed dramatically since then. Our understanding of the role of policing has evolved. We rely on too many officers to do too many things. Trained, unarmed professionals should handle many situations now handled by police and avoid use of force.

Prop E will replace the minimum staffing requirement with a requirement that the police department must submit a data and evidence-driven report to guide police staffing decisions.

Voting YES on Prop E will allow us to make informed decisions on what is best for our city and SFPD.

Vote YES on E!

Kaylah Williams, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Naemah Charles, Co-chair of Afrosocialists & Socialists of Color Caucus SF
Alex Karim, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Dylan Yep, Co-chair of DSA SF Justice Committee
Hope Williams, Co-chair of DSA SF Electoral Committee

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count
Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E  
[ ] Proponent Argument  [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument  [ ] Paid Argument in Favor  
[ ] Opponent Argument  [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument  [ ] Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declarations Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E  being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition  being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)  
[ ]

Full Name (Print)  Jeffrey Yunes  
Title (If Applicable)  
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)  
Signature  
Email  
Organization (Entity)  
[ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)  Libertarian Party of San Francisco  
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization  
Both the Officer and the Organization  

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Full Name (Print)  Jeffrey Yunes  
Phone  
Mailing Address  
Email  
Section 3: Submitter

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print)  Jeffrey Yunes  
Phone  
Mailing Address  
Signature  
Email  

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
Libertarian Party of San Francisco

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §62013?
Yes ☑ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:
1. Scott Banister
2. David Jeffries
3. Tim Carico

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

San Francisco is over-policed, with more officers per capita than Paris had when the hated aristocracy of Louis XVI kept the peasantry under their thumb before the French Revolution (https://bit.ly/3azrpm9).

According to the Controller’s statement, each sworn SFPD officer costs us an average of $155,000 in salary and benefits, not even including their pension costs we’ll be paying long after they’re gone.

Even if every officer were faithfully defending the constitutional rights they swore to uphold, this would be excessive. Sadly many do not, and their abuses have disproportionately come at the expense of the poor, black and brown. Hence a growing sentiment, here and elsewhere, to defund or even abolish the police.

Capping the number of cops at a level more in keeping with that of neighboring cities like San Jose is a relatively minor reform.

We urge a YES vote on Proposition E.

Libertarian Party of San Francisco
www.LPSF.org

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
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