



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Office Use Only
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO L-1

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition L

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition L being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Taxpayer Association

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization

Signature [REDACTED]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Amelia Linde

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
San Francisco Taxpayers Association

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐


If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1.Scott Feldman
- 2.Paul Sack
- 3.Claude Perasso, Jr.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION L – It ' s Low</p> <p>The heavily Socialist Board of Supervisors is determined to drive business from San Francisco.</p> <p>Rather than tightening their belt on a \$13-BILLION dollar city budget, they ' re on the hunt for fake revenue. Now, they ' ve set their sights on directors of companies in a cynical attempt to round up revenue rather than exercise fiscal discipline.</p> <p>Why not tax sport stars at the SF Giants, Golden State Warriors and others that earn large salaries? No, because this Board of Supervisors loves to brush up against celebrities and sports stars as one of their perks of power!</p> <p>It ' s simple - the market sets the income for salaries, by and large by performance or what others are prepared to pay for services. Executive pay levels are set by boards, and salaries reflect the fact that those jobs require competent, qualified people with narrow expertise.</p> <p>Why punish them?</p> <p>This is blatant attempt at redistribution of wealth, certain to drive the last business-minded men and women from our City.</p> <p>The Controller ' s statement outlines clearly the unreliability and high volatility of the proposed CEO tax. Prop L won ' t solve San Francisco ' s looming budget crisis; it ' s a false, mistaken tactic for new revenue.</p> <p>Vote NO ON L. It ' s a bonafide LOSER!</p> <p>San Francisco Taxpayers Association Judge Quentin L. Kopp (Ret.)</p>	
<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>		
Total Word Count		203

Office Use Only			
Total # of words= 203 X \$2/word = 406		+ \$200 publication fee = 606	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	