



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Office Use Only
2014 AUG 17 PM 12:14
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

Rebuttal to **Proponent Argument** **K**

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition **K**

Proponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument in Favor <input type="checkbox"/>
Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument <input type="checkbox"/>	Paid Argument Against <input type="checkbox"/>

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition **K** being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition **K** being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) **Jeffrey Yunes** Title (If Applicable) **Vice Chair**

San Francisco Address (

Signature

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) **Libertarian Party of San Francisco**

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☒ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) **Jeffrey Yunes** **Storchild** Phone

Mailing Address

Signature

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:  
Libertarian Party of San Francisco

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. Scott Banister
2. David Jeffries
3. Tim Carico

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	Proponents say Prop. K authorizes "municipal social housing". Are they importing this European term to distract voters from the failed history of government-run housing projects right here in San Francisco?	
	What if social housing traditionally worked better in Europe precisely because government's role was limited? As the author of a paper on European social housing ( <a href="https://bit.ly/344sjGI">https://bit.ly/344sjGI</a> ) notes:	
I	<i>"...social housing providers, both municipal and non-municipal, enjoyed considerable autonomy of action for most of the 20th century, but in the last thirty years there has been a transition to a situation in which they are more tightly constrained by central government."</i>	
I	<i>Maybe not coincidentally, they also report that "for most of the 20th century social housing was seen as part of the solution to problems with private housing; now it is seen as part of the problem – to be solved by resort to the private sector."</i>	
I	Proponents disingenuously claim there's "no cost to taxpayers" to "authorize" 10,000 homes, ignoring that <i>to actually build and maintain them</i> could cost <b>billions</b> .	
	They promise something for everyone: Housing with "low rents", that will "address... homelessness" and "reverse... displacement of people of color from our city", yet house residents with "a broad range of incomes."	
	No doubt financially comfortable people would find low-rent government apartments "affordable"! But how does this help the homeless, or people priced out of San Francisco who are the "wrong" ethnicity? Awarding housing based on skin color rather than need continues the racism that proponents condemn.	
	Libertarian Party of San Francisco	
	www.LPSF.org	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	Total Word Count	243

Office Use Only				
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =		Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		