Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfoov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition D

Proponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument in Favor [ ]
Opponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition D being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition D being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) David Pilpel
Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Work)
Signature →
Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Organization Name
Email
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [ ]

Signature → Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) David Pilpel
Phone
Mailing Address
Signature → Email
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Yes [ ] No [ ]

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:
1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Please vote NO on Proposition D.

You may think that more oversight of the Sheriff’s Department is needed. It’s an arguable point, but I disagree. I respectfully suggest that Proposition D is not the solution that we need at this time.

The Sheriff’s Department already has an Internal Affairs Unit to conduct administrative investigations and a Training Unit to train sworn personnel. While seeing fewer people in custody, the Sheriff’s Department is expanding a relationship with the existing Department of Police Accountability (formerly the Office of Citizen Complaints), as well as referring personnel investigations to other independent outside agencies when circumstances warrant.

If you want more government services, you should vote for additional taxes (like Propositions F, I, and L on this ballot) or seek other resources to pay for them.

If you support government efficiency and oversight, there are existing tools available, including:

• Board of Supervisors Budget and Legislative Analyst, and its power of hearing and inquiry;
• City Attorney investigations and civil enforcement;
• Civil Grand Jury investigations and public reports;
• Controller’s Office fiscal and performance audits;
• District Attorney investigations and criminal enforcement; and
• Ethics Commission investigations and administrative enforcement of ethics laws.

The City Controller says that Proposition D would have a moderate to significant impact on the cost of government. It would certainly add more administration, bureaucracy, and overhead, but no guarantee of any meaningful oversight.

We don’t need new City Departments, unnecessary spending, or other gimmicks during a pandemic. We should be using existing resources and oversight mechanisms more effectively. Please vote NO on Proposition D. Thank you.

David Pilpel

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
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