Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by set 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition D

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition D being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition D being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [✓]

Full Name (Print) David Pilpel

San Francisco Address

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity) [✓] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [✓] Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) David Pilpel

Mailing Address

Signature
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Please vote NO on Proposition D.

The proponents claim that it will create oversight and accountability. I'm a big fan of both, but I don't think Proposition D is the right way to get there.

I'm not sure if this is part of a national movement for justice reform, and I'm not convinced that there have been decades of discrimination and unfair treatment, injustices, and abuse by the San Francisco Sheriff's Department.

The Sheriff's Department already:

- Conducts internal investigations;
- Has a use of force policy;
- Investigates in-custody deaths;
- Investigates alleged employee misconduct; and
- Develops (and implements) policy recommendations.

In-custody deaths are all serious and are already investigated by the Sheriff's Department, the Police Department, the Medical Examiner, and the District Attorney, at a minimum.

As a state law enforcement agency, the Sheriff's Department has various duties to perform. New responsibilities involving oversight should come from Sacramento and apply uniformly in all 58 California counties.

Despite the unanimous vote of the Board of Supervisors, there is still no guarantee of any meaningful oversight or saving any money from settling lawsuits. It's just another new City department that we don't need right now.

Please vote NO on Proposition D. Thank you.

David Pilpel

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
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