



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Office Use Only	
FILED	
2020 AUG 17 AM 10:05	
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS	
Time/Date Stamp	

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument **G**

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition G

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition G being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) RICHIE GREENBERG

Title (If Applicable) _____

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) _____

Signature _____

Email _____

Organization _____

(Complete this section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email _____

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) RICHIE GREENBERG

Phone _____

Mailing Address _____

Signature _____

Email _____

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	<p>Recent polls show an overwhelming rejection of the notion that 16 and 17-year olds are ready to vote.</p> <p>With distractions caused by social media and shocking images of riots, videos of hard-line activists amid intense peer pressure, and the lack of experience in life's responsibilities like a job and paying taxes, us encouraging teens to wait until they are legally an adult at 18 years old makes very good sense. Vote NO of Prop G.</p> <p>The authors of Prop G fail to discuss any of the myriad problems associated with teenagers' lives. The authors merely list fellow city hall supporters of the proposition in hopes of convincing you to approve this measure. They omit so many negative and problematic factors, their actions are hollow and disingenuous.</p> <p>In reality, Prop G avoids discussing a teen's experiencing years of bias in public schools, the lack of diversity of opinion, a teen's immature judgment and cognition, a lack of real world experiences, and the tendency of teen's knee-jerk reactions and impulsive decision-making. Psychologists agree, the immature, undeveloped teen mind succumbs to peer pressure and whim.</p> <p>It would be unfortunate if 16 and 17-yr olds were persuaded to vote a certain way on civic matters that resulted in disastrous consequences for San Francisco. We cannot let this happen. Join me, parents, and countless voters across the city in saying NO to Proposition G. Visit www.VoteSF.org for more insight.</p> <p>Richie Greenberg www.RichieGreenberg.org</p>	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	
	Total Word Count	732

Office Use Only

Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	RG
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	