



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an elect 24 hours after submission to the Department at [publications@sfgov.org](mailto:publications@sfgov.org).

Office Use Only
Time/Date Stamp

OPP - K

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition K

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☒

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition      being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition K being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Storchild

Title (If Applicable) Chair

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature 

Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) Libertarian Party of San Francisco

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☒

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

\* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. ☐

Signature 

Email [Redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Storchild

Phone [Redacted]

Mailing Address [Redacted]

Signature 

Email [Redacted]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>"A San Francisco Housing Fable"</p> <p>Once upon a time, independent builders built housing in San Francisco that ordinary people could afford.</p> <p>But as the years went by, politicians added taxes, and rules. Particularly zoning regulations, to satisfy NIMBYs who already had homes and didn't want anything else built near them, especially not for poor people..</p> <p>Less housing got built, and supply failed to meet demand. Homes became more expensive. But poor people still needed places to live. The politicians, wanting their votes and money, said, "Vote for us, we'll give you affordable housing!"</p> <p>So they raised taxes (making it harder for people to afford housing without assistance) and used the funds to build public housing projects – Sunnydale, Geneva Towers, Valencia Gardens, etc. But government was no good at running housing. Once projects were built, the politicians neglected them and they turned into slums.</p> <p>This made NIMBYs still more fearful of having poor people or decrepit buildings nearby. So they supported more government rules about what could be built where, and how, and endless hearings. All this cost money, so the politicians imposed additional fees on builders to pay for it.</p> <p>Soon the builders needed consultants to help them navigate the rules, and accountants to figure out how to pay their taxes without losing their shirts. They had to retain lawyers, pay off political power brokers, and become community organizers to keep NIMBYs from using the hearings to kill their projects.</p> <p>Eventually, hardly any new housing was getting built. What did get built was super expensive. Thousands of poor people ended up homeless on the streets.</p> <p>"You need affordable housing!" cried the politicians. "Vote for Proposition K and we'll give you up to 10,000 new units of public housing!"</p> <p>Let's break the cycle. Vote <b>NO</b> on Prop. K.</p> <p>Libertarian Party of San Francisco <a href="http://www.LPSE.org">www.LPSE.org</a></p> <p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
	Total Word Count	

Office Use Only				
Total # of words=		X \$2/word =		Staff Initials
		+ \$200 publication fee =		
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		