Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author Control Sheet A must be submitted for every pallot argument, with required signatures and additional argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B THENT OF ELECTIONS with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electro

Time/Date Stamp PAID NO

24 nours after submission to the D	epartment at publications@stgov.org.	
Section 1: Argument Info	rmation	
Proposition <u>©</u>		_
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Informa	ition	
Declaration Related to Proponen	t and Opponent Arguments	
	that I am an Author of the Proponent Argume asure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person v	nt for Proposition being submitted and that I who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition _ not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Chairman Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Submitter Information The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter. Full Name (Print) Mailing Address

Signature

Paid argum indicate wh	4: Information for Paid Arguments ents must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also ether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argumen in the Voter Information Pamphlet.		
The true s	ource of funds for the printing fee of this argument:		
Is the true	source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?		
Yes X	No		
_1.	source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:		
3.			
The text of that specific argument to	5: Argument Text your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit, argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other speed. Include author information in argument text.	g, or un	derline the
Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	-	# of words per line
			po
	VOTE NO on Proposition G.		. Lá
	Proposition G guts other City services for 15 years, removing \$60 million per year of needed funding from priorities like police and public transit.		12
	SFUSD's recent agenda has shown little to no interest in "student success". Over the past two years, they've given us school closures, re-namings, standards removal, historic mural censorship.		13
	Do you have any faith that giving them extra funds, with a vague mandate for improving "social/emotional wellness", will produce positive results?		15
	San Francisco Republican Party		1
	John Dennis, Chair MAN Howard Epstein Richard Worner		9
	Lisa Remmer		
447	Joseph Bleckman Yvette Corkrean		
	William Kirby Shireman Stephanie Jeong		
- 20.0	Stephanie Jeong Clinton Griess Leonard Lacayo Stephen Martin-Pinto		\II
	SFGOP.org		
	of info@sfgop.org		
		1	
			(02)
100	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		(13)
	Total World C	ount	Pal A

Office Use Only		AND THE STREET
Total # of words=93 X \$2/word = 80	+ \$200 publication fee = 380	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt#	71
X \$0.50/signature	Check#	P
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	

John Arntz, Director

Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2022 AUG 22 PM 2: 35 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by DEPARTMENT OF ELECTION OF TIME PORT OF T If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Paid Argument in Favor Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument Against Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Howard Acras Eporen	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email .
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both	the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Fan Granization?	re PARTY
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the	e Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an org	n purposes only, aηization.
Signature Signature	Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) STEPHEN MARTIN-PINTO Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines B, I, BI words per line VOTE NO on Proposition G. Proposition G guts other City services for 15 years, removing \$60 million per year of needed funding from priorities like police and public transit. SFUSD's recent agenda has shown little to no interest in "student success". Over the past two years, they've given us school closures, re-namings, standards removal, historic mural censorship. Do you have any faith that giving them extra funds, with a vague mandate for improving "social/emotional wellness", will produce positive results? San Francisco Republican Party John Dennis, Chairria Howard Epstein Richard Worner Lisa Remmer Joseph Bleckman Yvette Corkrean William Kirby Shireman Clinton Griess Stephen Martin-Pinto Leonard Lacayo SFGOP.org If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

EPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS, John Arntz, Director Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2022 AUG 22 PM 2: 35 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. EPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition lahel Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favol Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) FUCH (ARD WORZHER	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual	Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	epulican Party
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	у,
Signature	Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) ∧ Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | **Email** (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. # of Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines B, I, BI words per line VOTE NO on Proposition G. Proposition G guts other City services for 15 years., removing \$60 million per year of needed funding from priorities like police and public transit. SFUSD's recent agenda has shown little to no interest in "student success". Over the past two years, they've given us school closures, re-namings, standards removal, historic mural censorship. Do you have any faith that giving them extra funds, with a vague mandate for improving "social/emotional wellness", will produce positive results? San Francisco Republican Party John Dennis, Chairman Howard Epstein Richard Worner Lisa Remmer Joseph Bleckman Yvette Corkrean William Kirby Shireman Stephanie Jeong Byonen Martin-Pinto Clinton Griess Leonard Lacayo SPGOP, or u If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

Proponent Argument

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only 2022 AUG 22 PM 2:35 EPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS! Label

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Kirby Shire wan

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity)

(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature



Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. # of Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** words B, I, BI per line VOTE NO on Proposition G. Proposition G guts other City services for 15 years., removing \$60 million per year of needed funding from priorities like police and public transit. SFUSD's recent agenda has shown little to no interest in "student success". Over the past two years, they've given us school closures, re-namings, standards removal, historic mural censorship. Do you have any faith that giving them extra funds, with a vague mandate for improving "social/emotional wellness", will produce positive results? San Francisco Republican Party John Dennis, ChairMan Howard Epstein Richard Worner Lisa Remmer Joseph Bleckman Yvette Corkrean William Kirby Shireman Stephanie Jeong Clinton Griess Leonard Lacayo Stephen Martin - Pinto 5660P, org If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

John Arntz, Director Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2022 AUG 22 PM 2: 35 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTION Time/Date Stamp For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Emai (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

lattest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity) Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco S Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of Format words B, I, BI per line VOTE NO on Proposition G. Proposition G guts other City services for 15 years., removing \$60 million per year of needed funding from priorities like police and public transit. SFUSD's recent agenda has shown little to no interest in "student success". Over the past two years, they've given us school closures, re-namings, standards removal, historic mural censorship. Do you have any faith that giving them extra funds, with a vague mandate for improving "social/emotional wellness", will produce positive results? San Francisco Republican Party John Dennis, Chairman Howard Epstein Richard Worner Lisa Remmer Joseph Bleckman Yvette Corkrean William Kirby Shireman Stephanie Jeong Clinton Griess Leonard Lacayo Martin-Pinto SEGDP, ORG If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	FILED
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	2022 AUG 22 PM 2: 35
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information	Timerbate Statis
Proposition (Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proponent am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make e Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; of Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure. 	ommittee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Pronot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a h	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make ended that received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure. 	ommittee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization	ion:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Stephanie Jeong Titl	e (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	- X
Signature E	mail
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	n and the Organization Section)
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Em	ail
Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ ___ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opporlent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting se that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption c Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) CL (NTON GRIESS Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature III Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author-section and the Organization Section Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold Italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. # of Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines **Format** words B, I, BI per line VOTE NO on Proposition G. Proposition G guts other City services for 15 years., removing \$60 million per year of needed funding from priorities like police and public transit. SFUSD's recent agenda has shown little to no interest in "student success". Over the past two years, they've given us school closures, re-namings, standards removal, historic mural censorship. Do you have any faith that giving them extra funds, with a vague mandate for improving "social/emotional wellness", will produce positive results? San Francisco Republican Party John Dennis, Chair MAN Howard Epstein Richard Worner Lisa Remmer Joseph Bleckman Yvette Corkrean William Kirby Shireman Stephen Martin-Pinto Stephanie Jeong Clinton Griess Leonard Lacayo SFGOP.org If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet BERARTMENT with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electron 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO

CITE LEAL WILLIIN

Section 1: Argument Information Proposition G Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ___ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition G_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the

measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Organization (Entity) / (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Taxpayer Association Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization 1 * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Emai Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter. Ser Geren +Z

Full Name (Print)

Mailing Address

Signature

Phone

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument: Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013? Yes No If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1.	Paid argum ndicate wh	4: Information for Paid Arguments nents must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also require the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1.	The true s	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1.	Is the true	e source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
2. Jame J. For J. 3. Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument with the desired formatting, or underline that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text be formatted and in the left column, mark 15' for bold, "I' for italics, or 15I' for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines For several decades now, San Francisco has had one of the lowest percentages of school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth population has only decreased further in the last ten years. Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unlified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FV) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$1' million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY 2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the falling School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which will			
3. Section 5: Argument Text his text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request hat specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left octum, mark 'B' for bold, 'I' for italics, or 'BI' for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format — Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines — For several decades now, San Francisco has had one of the lowest percentages of school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth population has only decreased further in the last ten years. Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38I In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that timits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogan		source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
The text of your argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request hat specific argument text be formatted in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "8" for bold, "I' for italics, or "Bi" for bold italics. Other special formatting is to permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format B, I, BI For several decades now, San Francisco has had one of the lowest percentages of school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth population has only decreased further in the last ten years. Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approv	2.	Viane Wifsey D. 16	
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may require that specific argument with be induced in bold, italic, or bold liate (per Type your argument with the desired formating, or underline the ingument text to be formatited and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is obtopermitted. Include author information in argument text. **Exercised Within the Vertical Lines** **Format** **Format** **For several decades now, San Francisco has had one of the lowest percentages of school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth population has only decreased further in the last ten years. **Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! **Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. **Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$80 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy strait limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogan		5: Argument Toyt	
For several decades now, San Francisco has had one of the lowest percentages of school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth population has only decreased further in the last ten years. Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association	The text of hat specificargument to not permitted	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You not argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or un ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for ed. Include author information in argument text.	nderline the rmatting is
For several decades now, San Francisco has had one of the lowest percentages of school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth population has only decreased further in the last ten years. Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G!			
Cold, hard facts don't sway the Board of Supervisors and their tampering with our City's Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association	5, 1, 51	VOTE NO on G – It's a GIANT MONEY GRAB	
Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to hand out our tax dollars through a "Community School Approach" – what pap! Rather than taking a hard look in the mirror at their anti-family policies over the years and fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the falling School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G!		school-age children and teens of any major city. The 2020 Census show that SF's youth	
fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation of good government. Prop G would require the City to appropriate specified amounts of money to the new Fund each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		Constitution and embedding an entirely new "set-aside" fund for SF Unified School District to	13 12
each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35 million handout to the failing School District under the fiction of handing out grants to students! This blatant violation of voter-adopted policy that limits set-asides which reduce General Fund dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		fixing the subpar school system the Board of Supervisors creates a NEW set-aside in violation	14
dollars that could otherwise be properly allocated during the annual budget process. Such non-compliance doesn't faze City Hall which willfully, arrogantly ignores voter-approved mandates and good fiscal policy. City Hall needs a basic education about its failed policies and inability to be fiscally responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		each year. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023-2024, the City would appropriate \$11 million to the Fund, \$35 million in FY 2024-25, and \$45 million in FY2025-26. The City would continue to appropriate \$60 million to the fund through FY2037-38! In deficit years, Prop G demands the City grab funds from Reserve and savings accounts for disasters to meet the require \$35	15315
responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			12
responsible. Let's start educating these "geniuses": VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION G! San Francisco Taxpayers Association If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			115
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	BI		14
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		San Francisco Taxpayers Association	3
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
			257
Total Word Count		Total Word Count	

Office Use Only		
Total # of words=257 X \$2/word =514	+ \$200 publication fee = 7/4	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature	Check #	VA
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	. 4

. .