CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

An argument submitted on behalf of an organization must be signed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only

2022 AUG 22 AM 8:30

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO O-1

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition O

Proponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐ Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐ Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Select one of the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual ☒

Full Name (Print) Marie Hurabiell Title (If Applicable) Candidate for Community College Board [redacted]

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [redacted]

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Organization (Entity) ☐

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Daphne Alden Phone [redacted]

Mailing Address [redacted]

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:
San Francisco Association of Realtors

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Format B, *I*, *BI*	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line

Vote NO on Prop O to reject fiscal mismanagement.

The cost of government is crushing San Franciscans.

Proponents conveniently fail to mention that San Franciscans already pay a CCSF parcel tax through 2032, **Prop O would be layered on top of that and increase annually.**

Is CCSF a worthy institution?

Absolutely. But it's been irresponsibly mismanaged for a decade, causing endless budget crises under nine Chancellors, almost losing accreditation, and under Enhanced Monitoring by accreditors since 2020.

Students and faculty deserve better.

Is Prop O a good idea?

Absolutely NOT. The problem isn't income, it's fiscal recklessness. And that is not solved with money. CCSF receives substantial state and federal funding, sales, parcel and property tax revenues, bonds of $1.3B, and San Francisco general fund proceeds.

Prop O encourages further irresponsibility.

The current total budget of City College is $250,000,000. If properly balanced, this pays for teachers, counselors, libraries, and everything else students need to thrive, including foundational courses, wrap-around services, social justice, and equity programs.

Instead of restructuring, getting back to basics, and doing the hard work, proponents want a free pass to continue their gross mismanagement indefinitely.

This crisis was not caused by the pandemic as proponents indicate. Auditors in 2019 cited **years of unchecked deficit spending** noting numerous egregious instances such as Trustees voting a 10% raise to the administration while CCSF operated at a 26% loss.

Also misleading, proponents imply increased enrollment as justification for Prop O, but the truth is that enrollment at CCSF has plummeted.

This tax would disastrously allow CCSF Trustees and administration to continue their irresponsible behavior.

Hold the City College Board of Trustees accountable. Demand they stabilize CCSF and ensure its future.

Vote NO on Prop O.

Marie Hurabiell, Candidate for Community College Board

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count 274

Office Use Only

Total # of words= 274 X $2/word = 548	+ $200 publication fee = 748			Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		MS
X $0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Office Use Only
FILED
2022 AUG 22 PM 5:55
Time/Date Stamp
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
PAID NO O-2

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or oppose the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consen Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an elect [illegible] 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition O

Proponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☐ Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐ Paid Argument Against ☒

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition O being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Addr[redacted]

X **Signature** [redacted] Email [redacted]

Organization (Ent[redacted] or section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) San Francisco Taxpayer Association

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☑

* Check if the title or id[redacted]
if you are signing as a

X **Signature** [redacted] Email [redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) ~~Quentin L. Kopp~~ Ben Owen Phone [redacted]

Mailing Address [redacted]

X **Signature** [redacted]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☑ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. Paul Scott
2. Diane Wilsey
3. S.F. Board of Realtors

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, *I*, ***BI***	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
B	VOTE NO ON O – It's OUTRAGEOUS!	2
	City College is beloved, but a parcel tax is inherently unfair because commercial real estate is taxed like a taxpayer's home! (At least property taxation is based on market value.)	13 15
	In the past 20 years, San Francisco voters approved nearly $1.3 billion in public bonds to improve campus facilities. We voted for a $99 parcel tax in 2012 that lasted eight years, and another in 2016 that runs for 15 years, not expiring until 2032! City officials fought to retain the institution's accreditation. City Hall approved funds allowing students to attend classes for free, despite having no authority or responsibility for the College's operation.	13 16 16 11 13
	Taxpayers have been patient. Budget and staff reductions were painful. The College has had NINE chancellors in just eight years, a revolving door. Financial problems abound. In 2023, its accreditation will again be reviewed for renewal. The outcome is very much uncertain.	13 14 9 6
	Yet here it comes again, hat in hand, hoping voters will approve a THIRD regressive parcel tax, this one even higher than the last and levied even before the existing one expires!	16 15
	Homeowners' bills will more than double while some commercial properties' rise 3,900%! The rates increase every year for 20 years, and if City College fails to retain its accreditation, the tax will continue to be collected.	12 15 8
	City College needs leadership and administrative stability, not another public bailout. San Franciscans have been generous, but the College needs to put its financial house in order, prove it can recruit and retain a qualified chancellor whose tenure is longer than a baseball season, pass next year's accreditation review without the intervention of political heavyweights. Then, and only then, should voters be asked to pay higher taxes to support City College. VOTE NO!	11 14 16 11 15
B	San Francisco Taxpayers Association	3 3
		287
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	

Office Use Only

Total # of words= 287 X $2/word = $574		**+ $200 publication fee = 774**		**Staff Initials**
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X $0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		