CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO EPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS s John Arntz, Director

Office Use Only

2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 31

| information. If your argument has with required signatures and inform | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS | |
|--|---|--|
| For an argument submitted on be completed by a principal officer of voter. | Time/Date Stamp | |
| | ridual or organization other than the author supports or oppose h or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Conse | |
| | ce the possibility of transcription error by sending an ele the Department at publications@sfgov.org. | ctronic copy of your ballot argument text within |
| Section 1: Argument In | nformation | |
| Proposition <u>A</u> | | _ |
| Proponent Argument 🔀 | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |

Section 2: Author Information

Opponent Argument

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition _ _ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; .
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for Ð that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

| Individual (or principal officer of Organization) | 0 | |
|---|---|--|
|---|---|--|

| Full Name (Print) Appha Salai | Title (If Applicable) Dotnor II Supervisor |
|---|--|
| San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email |
| Organization (Entity) | both the individual Author section and the organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | |
| Who should be listed as an Author for your Organizati | on? |
| Only the Organization Both the Officer | and the Organization |
| * Check if the title or identifying information is for ident if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of | |
| Signature | Email |
| Section 3: Submitter Information | |
| The submitter is the person who delivers the argument a submission, the Department will contact the submitter | and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with |
| R. P. | |
| Full Name (Print) BILL BAVENES | Phone |
| Mailing Address | |
| Signature | Email |

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

| Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013? |
|---|
| Yes No No If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: |
| 2. |
| 3, |

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

| | Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | • | # of words per line |
|---|--|-----|---------------------------|
| | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | | per im |
| | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | | |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the | | |
| | City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their | 1.0 | |
| | annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | 48 | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these | | |
| | retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about | 52 | |
| | 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of | | |
| | 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living | 1.2 | |
| | benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San | 42 | |
| | Francisco. | | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or | | |
| | prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's | 1. | |
| | why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | 44 | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers | | |
| | earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | 7 | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safai | | |
| | | | |
| | Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton | ~ | |
| | Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan | 1 | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey | 2 | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar | s) | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman | s | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin 73 | s | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston | 5) | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen | 5) | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani | 5) | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen | 5) | |
| | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten inform | s | |
| - | Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton | | |

| Total # of words= | X \$2/word = | + \$200 publication fee = | Staff Initials |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| # of signatures submit | ted in lieu of publication fee | Receipt # | |
| X \$0.50/signature | | Check # | |
| Adjusted Fee Total | | Amount Paid | |

| Ballot Argument Control Sheet B | | Office Use Only 2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 31 |
|---|---|--|
| Control Sheet A must be submitted for information. | every ballot argument, with required signatures a | DEPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS |
| For an argument submitted on behalf of a principal officer of the organization w | of an organization, the "Individual" section must a ho must be a registered San Francisco voter. | Iso be completed by Time/Date Stamp |
| If your argument has more than one and information for all additional author | thor, you must also submit Control Sheet B with rs. | required signatures |
| Section 1: Argument In | formation | |
| Proposition <u>A</u> | | Label |
| Proponent Argument | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |
| Section 2: Additional A | | |
| | nent and Opponent Arguments | |
| | | nt Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I s a person who, with respect to a measure: |
| Has received or been that committee; or | promised any compensation or thing of v | e or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; value from such a committee to perform consulting services for literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the |
| | jury that I am an Author of the Opponer . A Supporter is defined as a person wh | nt Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am o with respect to a measure: |
| Has received or been that committee; or | promised any compensation or thing of | e or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; value from such a committee to perform consulting services for literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the |
| | icate whether the Author is an individ | lual or an organization: |
| Individual (or principal offic | er of Organization) 🗡 | |
| Full Name (Print) | JE CHAN | Title (If Applicable) SUPERVISOR |
| San Francisco Address (Whe | e you are Registered) | |
| Signature | | Email |
| Organization (Entity) | (If selected, complete both the Indiv | idual Author section and the Organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | | |
| Who should be listed as an A | thor for your Organization? | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the Organiz | ation |
| * Check if the title or identifyin | g information is for identification purpose | es only, |
| | lual and not of behalf of an organization | |
| Signature | | Email |

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Full Name | (Print) Title (If Applicable) | |
|---|---|---|------------------------|
| Organization (Entry) [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Entry) [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? [If selected] Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization? * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [If you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. [If selected] Signature | | | |
| Organization (Entity) [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization [Pirt] Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization [| Signature | E meil | |
| Name of Crganization (Phin) 'Who should be lated as an Author for your Organization?' Only the Organization | _ | |) |
| Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? City the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is to: identification purposes only, Image: Check if the title or identifying information is to: identification, purposes only, Signature Email Section 3: Argument I exit Email Section 3: Argument with the printed exactly as submitted. Ensure thay your argument with the desired formating, or underline in body fails, or obdic fails, top: -Type your argument with the desired formating is a permitted. Include Author Information in argument text to printe itex to printe itex to printe itex to printe provide a measure. The check of four adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years sentors need it the mest. Today, about 4.400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they pin in or ther futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 par year. Restoring the cost of living theorem on the big including. This workers earned the ubig model to by active and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors analytics. If the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ansha Safail Bord their fluctures. | | |) |
| * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. | | | |
| If you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Only the O | rganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| Section 3: Argument Text Section 3: Argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may reque that specific argument text be printed in bold, Italic, or bold liaic type. Type your argument with the desired formating, or underline th at specific argument text be printed in bold. Italic, or bold liaic type. Type your argument with the desired formating, or underline th at specific argument text be printed in bold. Italic, or bold liaic type. Type your argument with the desired formating, or underline th at specific argument text. Format B, /, B/ Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security Costs are rising and San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need if the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than 550,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ansha Safaí Board of Supervisors President S | | | |
| The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request the specific argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark 'B' for bold, ''I for talks, or 'BI' for bold talks. Other special formatting is tot permitted. Include Author Information in argument text. Format B, I, BI Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retires impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ansha Safai Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ansha Safai Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Ansha Safai Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Ansha Safai Board of | Signature | Email | |
| hat specific argument text be printed in bold, italic or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline th argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is to permitted. Include Author Information In argument text. Format B, I, BI Costs are rising and San Francisco's Retirees Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 7.5. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can hell vertices so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they treceive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ansha Safal Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Rafeel Mandelman Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the theor of the addi | Section 3 | : Argument Text | |
| B, I, BI Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees wordiper line Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4, 400 retirese don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Asha Safai Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Rate Madelman Supervisor Rate Madelman Supervisor Rate Madelman S | hat specific argument te not permitte | argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und xt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text. | lerline the natting is |
| Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees per lit Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the Clty and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safai Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Rafeel Mandelman Supervisor Rafeel Mandelman Supervisor Rafeel Mandelman Supervisor Ban Preston Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Valton Sup | | ◄ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | |
| Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safai Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Connie Stefani Supervisor Connie Stefani Supervisor Connie Stefani Supervisor Connie Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will Interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | D, /, DI | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per line |
| City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Math Dorsey Supervisor Math Dorsey Supervisor Math Dorsey Supervisor Math Dorsey Supervisor Afarel Mandelman Supervisor Afarel Mandelman Supervisor Contheir betanin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton I thandwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Mare Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Shamann Walton | | City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford | |
| 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they | |
| prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton | | 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San | |
| earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton <i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i> | | prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's | |
| Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Jean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | | |
| Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton <i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to</i> <i>the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i> | | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan | |
| Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | Supervisor Myrna Melgar | |
| Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | | |
| Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | | |
| Supervisor Shamann Walton If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | | |
| the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | | |
| the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to | - |
| Total Word Count | | the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. Total Word Count | + |

| Ballot | Argument Control Sheet B | Office Use Only |
|---|--|--|
| Control Sheet A must be submitted for information. | every ballot argument, with required signatures and author | 2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 31 |
| For an argument submitted on behalf c | of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS |
| | the must be a registered San Francisco voter. uthor, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures | Time/Date Stamp |
| and information for all additional author Section 1: Argument In | rs. | |
| Proposition A | | |
| _ | a second second second | Label |
| Proponent Argument | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |
| Section 2: Additional A Declaration Related to Proport | uthor Information nent and Opponent Arguments | |
| | jury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, | |
| Has received or been p that committee; or | or member of a committee that has made or plans to mal promised any compensation or thing of value from such a ame or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in ac | a committee to perform consulting services for |
| | jury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argumen t for A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to | |
| Has received or been p that committee; or | or member of a committee that has made or plans to ma promised any compensation or thing of value from such ame or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in ac | a committee to perform consulting services for |
| | icate whether the Author is an individual or an organ | ization: |
| Individual (or principal offic | ver of Organization) | C |
| Full Name (Print) | Thering Stevana | Title (If Applicable) JUDER VISUR |
| San Francisco Address (When | e vou are Keolsteren | |
| Signature | | Email |
| Organization (Entity) | (It selected, complete both the individual Author se | ction and the Organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | | |
| Who should be listed as an Au | uthor for your Organization? | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | g information is for identification purposes only, | |
| if you are signing as an individ | lual and not of behalf of an organization. | |

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| | (Print) Title (If Applicable) | |
|---|--|---------------|
| | | |
| San Flanc | isco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Liliali | |
| - | tion (Entity) [[](If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) | |
| | rganization (Print) d be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the C | rganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| Section : | 3: Argument Text | |
| he text of y hat specific irgument te | your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or unde ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text. | erline the |
| Format B, /, <i>BI</i> | ◄ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines → Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | # of words |
| D, 1, DI | | per line |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that | |
| | the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year.Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco.You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this | |

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

| Ballot Argument Control Sheet B Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. | | 2022 AUG 18 AM II: 3 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by who must be a registered San Francisco voter. | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTION | |
| If your argument has more than one a and information for all additional auth Section 1: Argument In Proposition A | | Label | |
| Proponent Argument 🖌 | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor Paid Argument Against | |
| Section 2: Additional A | Author Information | | |
| | rjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, v | | |
| | 1 | 10 1 10 1 10 | |

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for
- that committee; or
 Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

I IIII

| Individual (or principal officer of Organization) | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Full Name (Print) AARON PESKEN | Title (If Applicable) SUPERVISOR |
| San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Ema |
| Organization (Entity) [] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author s | section and the Organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | |
| Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email |
| | |

Additional Author Information

DOUND

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| | (or principal officer of Organization) | |
|--|---|---------------|
| Full Name | | |
| San Franc | isco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email | |
| | tion (Entity) [[(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section |) |
| | Organization (Print) Id be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the C | Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Elilal | |
| The text of hat specific argument te | 3: Argument Text your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or unc ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form rd. Include Author information in argument text. | lerline the |
| Format B, <i>I, BI</i> | ◄ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines → Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | # of words |
| <i>D</i> , <i>i</i> , <i>D</i> | Let's Flotect Sall Flaticisco's Relifees | per lin |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | _ |
| | Total Word Count | |

| DEPAR | TMENT OF SAN FRAN | |
|---|--|---|
| | Argument Control Sheet B every ballot argument, with required signatures and author | Cffice Use Only FILED 2022 AUG 18 AM II: 32 |
| For an argument submitted on behalf a principal officer of the organization v | DEPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS | |
| If your argument has more than one a and information for all additional author Section 1: Argument In | | atures |
| Proposition <u>A</u> | | Label |
| Proponent Argument | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |
| Section 2. Additional A | uther Information | |

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) λ

| Full Name (Print) | ORDON MAR | Title (If Applicable) | Supervisor |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| San Francisco Address (When | e you are Registered) | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Signature | | Email | 6.444 |
| Organization (Entity) | (If selected, complete both the | e Individual Author section and the Orgar | nization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Au | uthor for your Organization? | | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the C | Organization | |
| | g information is for identification p lual and not of behalf of an organ | | |
| Signature | | Email | |
| Additional Author Infor | mation | | |

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| • | e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: | |
|--|---|------------------------|
| | (or principal officer of Organization) | |
| Full Name | (Print) Title (If Applicable) Sco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| | | |
| Signature | Email | |
| | ion (Entity) [](If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section |) |
| | rganization (Print) d be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| | | |
| Only the O | rganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| Section 3 | : Argument Text | |
| that specific argument tex not permitted | our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or unc at to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special forr d. Include Author information in argument text. | lerline the natting is |
| Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i> | ◄ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | # of words |
| | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per line |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities: this interpretation is final. | |
| | Total Word Coun | t |

| E CALLE | TMENT OF ELECTIO | |
|--|--|------------------------|
| Ballot | Argument Control Sheet B | Office Use Only |
| | every ballot argument, with required signatures and author | 2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 32 |
| For an argument submitted on behalf or a principal officer of the organization w | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp | |
| If your argument has more than one and and information for all additional autho | | |
| Section 1: Argument In | formation | |
| Proposition A | | Label |
| Proponent Argument 🖌 | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |
| Section 2. Additional A | uther Information | |

Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

D COUNT

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

| Full Name (Print) DEA | N PRESTON | Title (If Applicable) |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| San Francisco Address (W | here you are Registered) | |
| Signature | | Email |
| Organization (Entity) | (If selected, complete both the | e Individual Author section and the Organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Prin | t) | |
| Who should be listed as an | Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the C | Drganization |
| | ying information is for identification p ividual and not of behalf of an organ | |
| Signature | | Email |

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| • | e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: (or principal officer of Organization) | |
|--|---|--|
| Full Name (| Print) Title (If Applicable) | |
| San Francis | sco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email | |
| | ion (Entity) [1] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) |) |
| | ganization (Print) I be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the Or | ganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| la contra c | : Argument Text | an a |
| that specific argument tex not permitted | bur argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und tt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form I. Include Author information in argument text. | erline the natting is |
| Format | ✓ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines> | # of words |
| B, /, <i>BI</i> | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per line |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safaí | |
| | Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan | |
| | Supervisor Matt Dorsey | |
| | Supervisor Myrna Melgar | |
| | Supervisor Rafael Mandelman | |
| | Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston | |
| | Supervisor Dean Presion Supervisor Hillary Ronen | |
| | Supervisor Catherine Stefani | |
| | Supervisor Shamann Walton | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to | - |
| | the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | |

| 145.00 | TMENT OF ELECT | IONS John Arntz, Director |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control Sheet A must be submitted for information. | DEPARTMENT OF ELECTION | |
| For an argument submitted on behalf o a principal officer of the organization w | | |
| If your argument has more than one au and information for all additional author | gnatures | |
| Section 1: Argument In | formation | |
| Proposition A | | Label |
| Proponent Argument 🔽 | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |

DCOUNT

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

| Full Name (Print) Matt Dorsey | Title (If Applicable) Superviser |
|---|---|
| San Francisco Address (Where you are Regist | ered) |
| Signature | Email |
| Organization (Entity) | mplete both the matviation Author section and the Organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | |
| Who should be listed as an Author for your Org | anization? |
| Only the Organization Both the O | Officer and the Organization |
| * Check if the title or identifying information is for if you are signing as an individual and not of be | |
| Signature | Email |
| Additional Author Information | |

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| - | e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: (or principal officer of Organization) | |
|---|---|---------------|
| Full Name | | |
| San Franci | isco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email | |
| _ | Email Email (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) | |
| | Irganization (Print) | |
| Who shoul | d be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the O | rganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| The text of y that specific argument te | B: Argument Text your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or under xt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text. | erline the |
| Format B, <i>I, BI</i> | ← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | # of words |
| 0, ,, 01 | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per line |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why | |
| | retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living | |
| | adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above | |
| | the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. | |
| | Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to | - |
| | the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | |

Total Word Count

| Ballot | Argument Control Sheet B | Office Use Only |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Control Sheet A must be submitted for information. | 2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 32 | |
| For an argument submitted on behalf a principal officer of the organization w | Dieted by DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS | |
| If your argument has more than one a and information for all additional author | | |
| Section 1: Argument In | formation | |
| Proposition <u>A</u> | | Label |
| Proponent Argument | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |

SD COUNTY

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

| Full Name (Print) | vna Molaw | Title (If Applicable) D7 < | Super Visor |
|------------------------------|--|--|-------------|
| San Francisco Address ////bo | A LE P | | |
| Signature | | Email | |
| Organization (Entity) | (Il selected, complete both the individu | al Author section and the Organization S | bection) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | | | |
| Who should be listed as an A | Author for your Organization? | | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the Organizatic | n 🔲 | |
| | ng information is for identification purposes o idual and not of behalf of an organization. | nly, | |
| Signature | | Email | |
| | | | |

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for • that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| • | e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: (or principal officer of Organization) | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Full Name | | |
| | sco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| | | |
| Signature | Email | |
| | tion (Entity) [1] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section rganization (Print) | on) |
| | d be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the O | rganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| | : Argument Text | |
| that specific argument te: | our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You r argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or u xt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special fo d. Include Author information in argument text. | nderline the prmatting is |
| Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i> | ✓ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | # of words |
| 2, , 21 | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per line |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | - |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan | |
| | Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar | |
| | Supervisor Rafael Mandelman | |
| | Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Dean Preston | |
| | Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani | |
| | Supervisor Catherine Sterant Supervisor Shamann Walton | |
| | | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | |
| | Total Word Col | unt |

| (5/ 2 - SA)2) | TMENT OF SAN FRANC | |
|---|--|--|
| | Argument Control Sheet B r every ballot argument, with required signatures and author | Office Use Only 2022 AUG 18 AM 11= 32 |
| a principal officer of the organization | of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed who must be a registered San Francisco voter. | Time/Date Stamp |
| If your argument has more than one a and information for all additional author Section 1: Argument In | | Jres |
| Proposition <u>A</u> | | Label |
| Proponent Argument | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |
| Section 2: Additional | uthor Information | |

Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

| Full Name (Print) | Fail Mandelman | Title (If Applicable) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| San Francisco Address (Wher | re you are Registered) | |
| Signature | | Email |
| Organization (Entity) | (If selected, complete both the Individual | Author section and the Organization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | | |
| Who should be listed as an Au | uthor for your Organization? | |
| | | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| * Check if the title or identifying | g information is for identification purposes only Jual and not of behalf of an organization. | |

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

| | (or principal officer of Organization) | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Full Name | Print) Title (If Applicable) | |
| San Franci | sco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email | |
| Organizat | ion (Entity) [(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section |) |
| | ganization (Print) | |
| Who should | be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the Or | ganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| | | |
| he text of y at specific gument tex ot permitted | : Argument Text our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or unc at to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form I. Include Author information in argument text. | lerline the natting is |
| Format B, <i>I, Bl</i> | Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | # of words |
| o, ,, or | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per lin |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Myrna Melgar | |
| | Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin | |
| | Supervisor Dean Preston | |
| | Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Catherine Stefani | |
| | Supervisor Shamann Walton | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to | |
| | the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | |
| | | |

| | Argument Control Sheet B every ballot argument, with required signatures and author | 2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 32 |
|---|--|--|
| For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. | | DEPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp |
| If your argument has more than one au and information for all additional author Section 1: Argument In | | |
| Proposition A | | Label |
| Proponent Argument 🔀 | Rebuttal to Proponent Argument | Paid Argument in Favor |
| Opponent Argument | Rebuttal to Opponent Argument | Paid Argument Against |

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

| Full Name (Print) Hillary | Ronen | Title (If Applicable) | pervisor District9 |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| San Francisco Address (Where you | are Registered) | | |
| Signature | | Email | |
| Organization (Entity) | ected, complete both the Indr | vidual Author section and the Organizatio | n Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) | | | |
| Who should be listed as an Author fo | r your Organization? | | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer and the Organi. | zation | |
| * Check if the title or identifying inform if you are signing as an individual and | | | |
| Signature | | Email | |
| Additional Author Informatic |)n | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for Ģ that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the . measure.

| • | e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: | |
|--|---|------------------------|
| | (or principal officer of Organization) | |
| Full Name | (Print) Title (If Applicable) | |
| San Franc | sco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email | |
| Organiza | tion (Entity) [](If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section |) |
| | rganization (Print) | |
| Who shoul | d be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the O | rganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | he title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| Section 3 | : Argument Text | |
| that specific argument ter not permitter | our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und kt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text. | lerline the natting is |
| Format B, <i>I</i> , <i>BI</i> | ✓ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines | # of words |
| _, , | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per line |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan | |
| | Supervisor Matt Dorsey | |
| | Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Rafael Mandelman | |
| | Supervisor Aaron Peskin | |
| | Supervisor Dean Preston | |
| | Supervisor Hillary Ronen | |
| | Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Shamann Walton | |
| | | |
| | If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. | |

Total Word Count

| NS John Arntz, Director |
|--------------------------|
| Office Use Only |
| 2022 AUG 18 AM 11: 32 |
| DEPARTMENT OF ELECTION . |
| Time/Date Stamp |
| |
| |
| Label |
| Paid Argument in Favor |
| Paid Argument Against |
| |

Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

SOCOUNTY

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

| Full Name (Print) She | aniana Wa | -1ton | Title (If Applicable) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| San Francisco Address (Whe | ere you are Registered) | | | |
| Signature | | | Email | |
| Organization (Entity) |] (If selected, complete | both the Individual A | uthor section and the Organ | nization Section) |
| Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an A | | on? | | |
| Only the Organization | Both the Officer a | and the Organization | | |
| * Check if the title or identifyin if you are signing as an individ | | | | |
| Signature | | | Email | |
| Additional Author Info | rmation | | | |

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for . that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the . measure.

| | Print) Title (If Applicable) | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| San Franci | sco Address (Where you are Registered) | |
| Signature | Email | |
| Organizat | ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section | 1) |
| ALC: N. 198.4 | ganization (Print) | 7 |
| and the second se | be listed as an Author for your Organization? | |
| Only the O | ganization Both the Officer and the Organization | |
| | ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, | |
| Signature | Email | |
| ection 3 | : Argument Text | |
| at specific gument tex of permitted | our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text. | derline the matting is |
| Format B, <i>I, BI</i> | ✓ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines> | # of words |
| 2, I, DI | Let's Protect San Francisco's Retirees | per lir |
| | Yes on Proposition A to Ensure Retirement Security | 1.1 |
| | Costs are rising and San Francisco has always been expensive. That's why retirees of the City and County of San Francisco were provided a cost of living adjustment (COLA) to their annual pension. This modest increase for the lowest wage retirees means the ability to afford housing and basic necessities like food and transportation. | |
| | In 1996, voters approved a measure, Proposition C, to provide this modest COLA to these retirees. In 2011, the COLA was removed in the years seniors need it the most. Today, about 4,400 retirees don't know from year to year whether they will get a modest increase as they plan for their futures. | |
| | The retirees impacted by this situation are generally older, including many above the age of 75. An overwhelming majority make less than \$50,000 per year. Restoring the cost of living benefit would ensure equity for low wage workers that served the City and County of San Francisco. | |
| | You can help retirees so they don't have to choose between paying for food, housing, or prescription drugs due to the modest pension they receive and the high cost of living. That's why the Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to place this measure on the ballot. | |
| | Voting Yes on Prop A would mean restoring a benefit that these senior retired city workers earned throughout their years of service. It's the right thing to do! | |
| | Please join us in voting Yes on Prop A. | |
| | Supervisor Ahsha Safaí Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Matt Dorsey | |
| | Supervisor Myrna Melgar | |
| | Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Aaron Peskin | |
| | | |