and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional

An argument submitted on behalf of an organization must be signed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

Signature |

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the autopposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a signed Consent Form is required.	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument
Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by send 24 hours after submission to the Department at <a href="mailto:publications@sfgov.org">publications@sfgov.org</a> .	ling an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within
Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition	
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	0
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argam</b> not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a pe	gument for Proposition 🖒 being submitted and that I erson who, with respect to a measure:
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or p</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literar measure.</li> </ul>	from such a committee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Arg</b> not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or p</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literal measure.</li> </ul>	from such a committee to perform consulting services for
Select one of the following to indicate whether the Author is an individu	al or an organization:
Individual X	
Full Name (Print) AARON PESKEN	Title (If Applicable) SUPERVISOR
San Francisco Addre	
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity)	
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	ual Author section and the Organization Section)
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes onl if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	у,
Signature	Email
Section 3: Submitter Information	<b>发展区外国际区域中国</b>
The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting mater a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.	ials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with
Full Name (Print) Ben Genewitz	Pho
Mailing Address	

Time/Date Stamp

Section 4: Information for Paid Argument	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	8

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?
Yes No No If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:
<u>. 1</u>
2.
3.

### Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

### Format B, I, BI

### Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines

# of words per line

We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?

It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.

We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.

Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.

You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?

The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.

Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.

Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

283)

**Total Word Count** 

Office Use Only				
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication f	ee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitt	ted in lieu of publication fee	Re	ceipt#	
X \$0.50/signature	*	Ch	eck#	
Adjusted Fee Total		Am	ount Paid	

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.  Section 1: Argument Information	Time/Date Staffip
Proposition <u>S</u>	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argument</b> for F am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, wi	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adv measure.</li> </ul>	expenditures in opposition to the measure; committee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Argument</b> for Pr not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adv measure.</li> </ul>	committee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organiz	ation:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) EN Home, No Stor	itle (If Applicable) Former Controlle
San Francisco Addre Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author sect	ion and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	-
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature E	mail

## Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

	ne following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:	
	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name		
	isco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_	tion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section	1)
	Organization (Print) Id be listed as an Author for your Organization?	-
Only the O	Both the Officer and the Organization	
	the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	3: Argument Text	
The text of y hat specific argument te not permitte	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or uncurve to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form d. Include Author information in argument text.	lerline the
Format B, /, <i>BI</i>	<b>★</b> Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines ————	# of words
	We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?	per line
	It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.	
	We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.	
	Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.	
	You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?	
	The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.	
	Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.	
	Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin	
	Supervisor Catherine Stefani	
	Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston	
	Supervisor Hillary Ronen	
	Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to	
	the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.  Total Word Count	

## **Ballot Argument Control Sheet B**

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors

### Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

**Proponent Argument** 

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only DEPARTHENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp abel

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

### Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition <u>R</u> being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_ \_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	λ
Full Name (Print) Cannen Cho	Title (If Applicable) C. + 4 Admin
San Francisco Address (	
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author se	ection and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Signature	Email

### Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature | Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request **Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines** # of per line We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question - do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not? It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy - which is exactly what

## Section 3: Argument Text

that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Fo	_	nat
В,	I,	ВІ

the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.

We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.

Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.

You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?

The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.

Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.

Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count** 

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office use only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures	Time/Date Stamp
and information for all additional authors.  Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition B	
Proposition	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	The second second
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argument</b> for Pram not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	roposition being submitted and that I h respect to a measure:
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure.</li> </ul>	ommittee to perform consulting services for
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Argument</b> for Pronot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a	oposition being submitted and that I am measure:
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a c that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure.</li> </ul>	ommittee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organiza	ation:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Catherine Stefani Ti	tle (If Applicable) DQ Supervisor
San Francisco Addres	
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section	on and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature Er	nail
Additional Author Information	
Auditional Author Information	

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

	e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:	
	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name		
San Franci	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
<u>-</u>	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)	
	ganization (Print) I be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
The text of yethat specific argument tex	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or under to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatted Author information in argument text.	erline the atting is
B, /, <i>BI</i>	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words
	We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?	per line
	It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.	
	We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.	š
- a 1	Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.	
	You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?	
	The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.	
	Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.	
	Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin	
	Supervisor Catherine Stefani	
	Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston	
	Supervisor Hillary Ronen	
	Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to	
	the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.  Total Word Count	

## Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors

## Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition

Proponent Argument Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Aga

# Section 2: Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Connie	chan	Title (If App
San Francisco Address (Where you	Lare Regis	

icable) Supervisor, District

Signature |



(If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Organization (Entity)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	_

Signature |



Email

## Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

-	e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:	*
	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (		
San Francis	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
<u>Organizat</u>	<b>ion (Entity)</b> [If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)	)
	ganization (Print) I be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
	, ,	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
that specific argument tex not permitted	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und tt to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special form I. Include Author information in argument text.	erline the natting is
Format B, /, <i>BI</i>	<b>←</b> Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines ———	# of words
	We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?	per line
	It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.	
	We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.	
	Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.	
	You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?	,
	The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.	
	Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.	
	Former City Controller Ed Harrington	
	City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin	
	Supervisor Catherine Stefani	
	Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston	
	Supervisor Hillary Ronen	
	Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	1
	Total Word Count	1

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2022 AUG	Office Use Only 22 PM 5: 18
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author	
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	NT OF ELECTIONS
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures	Time/Date Stamp
and information for all additional authors.  Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	β
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argument</b> for Proponent am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	oposition <u>U</u> being submitted and that I respect to a measure:
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make e</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adve</li> </ul>	ommittee to perform consulting services for
measure.	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Argument</b> for Pronot a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a r	
<ul> <li>Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make a</li> <li>Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or</li> <li>Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse measure.</li> </ul>	ommittee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organiza	tion:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Prestor Tit	le (If Applicable)
	ic (II Applicable)
San Francisco Address (\	
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section	on and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	-
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature En	nail
Additional Author Information  Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_ \_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition \_ not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual	(or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name	(Print) Title (If Applicable)	
San Francis	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
Organizat	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)	
	rganization (Print)	
Who should	be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only,	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
The text of ye that specific argument tex	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or under to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatt. Include Author information in argument text.	erline the
Format	≪ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words
B, /, <i>Bl</i>	We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?	per line
	It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.	
	We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.	
	Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.	
	You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?	
	The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.	
	Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.	
	Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani	
	Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Matt Dorsey	
	Supervisor Rafael Mandelman  If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
<b></b>	Total Word Count	

### Ballot Argument Control Sheet B 2022 AUG 22 PM 5: 18 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors. Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label Paid Argument in Favor Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments being submitted and that I I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)			
rv			
_			

### Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition \_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature Signature Email Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # of B, I, BI words We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question - do we want to per line waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not? It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right. We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department. Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.

You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco? The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets. Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets –

not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B. Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Supervisor Connie Chan Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

**Total Word Count** 



John Arntz, Director

### Office Use Only Ballot Argument Control Sheet B AUG 22 PM 5: 18 Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS information. For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition Label **Proponent Argument** Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Scheruson Full Name (Print) San Francisco Address (W Signature | Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Ind Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization \* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature | Email

## Additional Author Information

**Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** 

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
  measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition \_\_\_\_\_ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or

  Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advectes for the adoption of the
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
  measure

•	e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:  (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name		
	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature		
	Email  ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)	)
	ganization (Print)	,
	b be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the O	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
The text of y that specific argument text not permitted	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You ma argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or und to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special forn Include Author information in argument text.	erline the natting is
Format B, /, <i>BI</i>	≪ Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words
 	We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?	per line
	It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.	
	We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.	
	Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.	
	You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?	
	The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true. Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.	
	Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.	
	Former City Controller Ed Harrington City Administrator Carmen Chu Supervisor Aaron Peskin	
	upervisor Catherine Stefani	
	upervisor Connie Chan	
	upervisor Dean Preston upervisor Hillary Ronen	
	upervisor Matt Dorsey	
5	upervisor Rafael Mandelman	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.  Total Word Count	



Ballot	Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for information.	r every ballot argument, with required signatures and author	2012 AUG 22 PM 5: 18
For an argument submitted on behalf a principal officer of the organization v	of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be complete who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	ed by  OEP ARTMENT OF ELECTIONS  Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one a and information for all additional author	uthor, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signal ors.	tures Time/Date Stamp
Section 1: Argument Ir		
Proposition	z.	Label
Proponent Argument	Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument	Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional A	Author Information	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF
I attest under the penalty of per	rjury that I am an Author of the <b>Proponent Argumen</b> measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person w	
<ul> <li>Has received or been that committee; or</li> </ul>	or member of a committee that has made or plans to promised any compensation or thing of value from some or likeness to appear on campaign literature or	uch a committee to perform consulting services for
	rjury that I am an Author of the <b>Opponent Argument</b> e. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respe	
<ul> <li>Has received or been that committee; or</li> </ul>	or member of a committee that has made or plans to promised any compensation or thing of value from some or likeness to appear on campaign literature or	uch a committee to perform consulting services for
Complete the following to ind	icate whether the Author is an individual or an or	rganization:
Individual (or principal office	per of Organization)	
Full Name (Print)	atad Mandelman	Title (If Applicable) Suretuson, O
San Francisco Address (\ Signature		
Organization (Entity)	(II Selected, complete both the maiyidaal Autho	r section and the Organization occiton)
Name of Organization (Print)	,	,
Who should be listed as an A	uthor for your Organization?	
Only the Organization	Both the Officer and the Organization	
	g information is for identification purposes only, dual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature		Email
Additional Author Info		AND AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART
	nent and Opponent Arguments	
	rjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argumen	

- am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: • Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
  - Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
  - Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

•	e following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: <u>(or principal officer of Organization)</u>	
Full Name (		
	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
	Not read too (Title o you also reagle to reagl	
Signature	Email	
	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section	on)
	ganization (Print) I be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
Section 3	: Argument Text	
The text of y that specific argument tex	our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or at to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special to the Include Author information in argument text.	underline the
Format	<b>←</b> Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines —	# of words
B, /, <i>BI</i>	We all want cleaner streets, but this comes down to a simple question – do we want to	per line
	waste a minimum of \$60 million each decade or not?	
	It is not unusual for a politician to argue for more bureaucracy – which is exactly what	
	the opponents are asking for here. But simply hiring more bureaucrats accomplishes	
	nothing but wasting money and ultimately requiring higher taxes. That's why a diverse coalition of civic leaders believes that we have an opportunity for Oversight Done Right.	
	We need Oversight Done Right. San Francisco city government has been shamed by a	
	series of corruption scandals. The answer is focused oversight to make sure your tax dollars are not wasted or stolen, not another new city department.	
	Independent auditors (not politicians!) estimate that creating yet another department	
	will waste at least \$60 million dollars each decade if we don't make this change.	
	You could clean a lot of streets for \$60 million. And that's the choice here – do we want	
	paper pushers or broom pushers? Do we want our hard-earned dollars going to people working at desks or people working to clean up San Francisco?	
	The opponents say nearly all other large cities have Sanitation Departments—not true.	
	Of the largest cities in the country only a few have Sanitation Departments and where they have them their primary role is garbage collection—not cleaning streets.	
	Our city government should be doing a better job of picking up waste on our streets – not wasting our money. That's why we urge you to join the extraordinary coalition of neighborhood groups and leaders in support of Proposition B.	
	Former City Controller Ed Harrington	
	City Administrator Carmen Chu	
	Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani	
	Supervisor Connie Chan	
	Supervisor Dean Preston	
	Supervisor Mett Dever	
	Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information	ı to
	the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.  Total Word Co	
I .	I Oldi Wold Ol	