

Signature |

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS AUgolin Artitz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Time/Date Stamp



Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Arg	ument Inforn	nation	and a state of	72 P. C. C. C. C.	
Proposition <u>E</u>					
Proponent Argume	ent 🔃	Rebuttal to Propone	ent Argument	Paid Argume	nt in Favor
Opponent Argume	nt 💢	Rebuttal to Oppone	nt Argument	Paid Argume	nt Against
Section 2: Aut			THE WEST	Little Charles Hall	
	•	and Opponent Argur			
I attest under the pe am not a Non-suppo	nalty of perjury to orter of this meas	nat I am an Author of t ure. A Non-supporter	the Proponent Arg is defined as a pe	gument for Proposition rson who, with respect to a	_ being submitted and that I measure:
 Has receive that comment Has author measure. 	ed or been promi ttee; or ized their name o	sed any compensation	n or thing of value	from such a committee to pure or in advertising that ad	in opposition to the measure; erform consulting services for lyocates for the defeat of the
				ument for Proposition respect to a measure:	_ being submitted and that I am
 Has receive that committee 	ed or been promittee; or	sed any compensation	n or thing of value		in support of the measure; erform consulting services for lvocates for the adoption of the
Complete the follow	ving to indicate	whether the Author	is an individual o	r an organization:	
Individual (or pri	ncipal officer of	Organization)			
Full Name (Print)	Steven G	325		Title (If Applicab	ole Director
San Francisco Add	dress				
Organization (F	ntity) Y (If se	elected complete bo	oth the Individual	Author section and the O	rganization Section)
Name of Organiza	7	STON ST	Grow		
Who should be list	ed as an Author	for your Organization?)		
Only the Organizat	tion 🚺	Both the Officer and	the Organization		
		rmation is for identificand not of behalf of an		у,	
Signature	>				
Section 3: Sub The submitter is the a submission, the D	person who deliv	vers the argument and	supporting mater	ials to the Department. If the	ere is a question or issue with
Full Name (Print)	Anika	Steig	2	Phone	
Mailing Address					

S	e	ction	4:	In	foi	'n	na	at	io	n	fo	r	Pai	d,	Ar	g	un	1er	nts	
								-												

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?
Yes No No If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

BI	,	# of words per lir
	Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing	
	Prop E, put on the ballot by Supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don't like.	
	Prop E's poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.	
A feet to the state of the stat	Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors.	
	Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible According to the City's Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.	
	Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.	
	We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.	
	Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.	
	GrowSF Housing Action Coalition Nor Cal Carpenters Union SPUR YIMBY Action	

Office Use Only				
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication	n fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitte	ed in lieu of publication fee	F	Receipt#	
X \$0.50/signature		(Check#	
Adjusted Fee Total			Amount Paid	

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B	Office Use Only
Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.	
For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.	Time/Date Stamp
If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.	Simulation of the second of
Section 1: Argument Information	New Williams and annual
Proposition <u>E</u>	Label
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument	Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Additional Author Information	COLUMN TOWN THE
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	to the state of th
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Pro am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make e Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adverse. 	ommittee to perform consulting services for
measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Pro	100
not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a r	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make e Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a contract that committee; or 	
 Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adver- measure. 	
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization	tion:
Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	010000
Full Name (Print) Nicholas Josefavitz Tit	le (If Applicable) MICF of Idi
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	
Organization (Entity	
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
Source organization Egg	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing again individual and not of babell of an arranization.	
Signature Signature	
Em	nail
Additional Author Information	SOUTH RANGE OF STREET
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Pro am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with	
 Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make e Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee or 	T 100
that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in adver-	rtising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

• Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
 measure

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or	or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Pr	Print) Title (If Applicable)	
San Francisco	co Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email	
Organizatio	on (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section	on)
	panization (Print)	7080800
Who should b	be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Orga	anization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	e title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	
	Argument Text	
that specific argument text t	or argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You regument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or use to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for Include Author information in argument text.	inderline the
Format	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of
B, /, <i>BI</i>		words per line
	Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing	
	Prop E, put on the ballot by Supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don't like.	it
	Prop E's poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.	
	Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors.	ne
	Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible According to the City's Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.	
	Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.	T.
	We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure	re.
	Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.	
	GrowSF Housing Action Coalition Nor Cal Carpenters Union	
	SPUR YIMBY Action	
<u> </u>	If handwitten information are revision in unabour Department staff will interpret the handwitten information	to
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Co.	unt



SAN FRANCISCO HEF

tative

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS John Arntz, Director Ballot Argument Control Sheet B DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter. Time/Date Stamp If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors Section 1: Argument Information Proposition _E Label Paid Argument in Favor Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument Against Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Section 2: Additional Author Information **Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments** being submitted and that I I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition 🗲 being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Doniel Calamuri	Title (If Applicable) Senior Represer
San Francisco Address	
Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author s	ection and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) \(\text{V} \) \(\text{CA} \) \(\text{CAPPM-EVS} \) \(\text{V} \) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	nion
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Signature	
Additional Author Information	CHARLES CONTROL

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

• Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print)	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature	Email
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author	or section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print)	
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.]
Signature Signature	Email
Section 3: Argument Text	是不能包括的表现的对象的。 1000年
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your ar that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your ar	

argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Fo	rr	nat
В,	1,	ВI

Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines -

words per line

Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing

Prop E, put on the ballot by Supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don't like.

Prop E's poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.

Poison Pill #1 - Bureaucratic Roadblocks

Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors.

Poison Pill #2 - Infeasible

According to the City's Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.

Poison Pill #3 - Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing

Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.

We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.

Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.

GrowSF Housing Action Coalition Nor Cal Carpenters Union SPUR YIMBY Action

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition _

Proponent Argument Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Office Use Only 2022 AUG 18 AM 9: 51 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp Label

Paid Argument in Favor

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee: or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Corey Smith Title (If Applicable) Exerctive Divertor	V
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Signature Signature	
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)	_
Name of Organization (Print) HOLGING ACTION COALTHON Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization	
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an	
Signature Signature	
Additional Author intermation	

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition _ _ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Title (If Applicable) Full Name (Print) SFICA San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) ANTHON HOUSINA Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization? Both the Officer and the Organization Only the Organization * Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization. Email Grey Chousing cehonce-lition Signature | Section 3: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text. # of **Format** Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines words B, I, BI per line Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing Prop E, put on the ballot by Supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don't like. Prop E's poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans. Poison Pill #1 - Bureaucratic Roadblocks Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors. Poison Pill #2 - Infeasible According to the City's Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built. Poison Pill #3 - Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date. We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure. Please join us in opposing this misleading measure. GrowSF Housing Action Coalition Nor Cal Carpenters Union YIMBY Action If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final. **Total Word Count**

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

measure.

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)
Full Name (Print) Lawa Foote Title (If Applicable) Executive Director
San Francisco Address (
Signature Signature
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Why Albaya Albaya Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only.
if you are signing as
Signature Signature
Additional Author Information
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I

am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:
Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;

 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or

Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

• Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual ((or principal officer of Organization)	
Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)	
San Francis	sco Address (Where you are Registered)	
Name of Or	ion (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) ganization (Print) be listed as an Author for your Organization?	<u> </u>
Only the Or	ganization Both the Officer and the Organization	
	ne title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, gning as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.	
Signature	Email	X
The text of your that specific a argument text	: Argument Text our argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may re argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underlic to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatted. Include Author information in argument text.	ine the
Format B, /, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	In the ballot by Supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that w construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill by holding up projects they don't like. Prop E's poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans. Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors. Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible According to the City's Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built. Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date. We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure. Please join us in opposing this misleading measure. GrowSF Housing Action Coalition Nor Cal Carpenters Union SPUR YIMBY Action	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	