Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition
- [ ] Proponent Argument
- [ ] Opponent Argument
- [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
- [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument
- [ ] Paid Argument in Favor
- [ ] Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition [ ] being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) [Redacted]
San Francisco Address [Redacted]
Signature [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) [ ]
Name of Organization (Print) [Redacted]

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

- [ ] Only the Organization
- [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) [Redacted]
Mailing Address [Redacted]
Signature [Redacted]

Phone [Redacted]
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments
Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Yes [ ] No [X]
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:
1. 
2. 
3. 

Section 5: Argument Text
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Include author information in argument text.

Format 
B/BI

Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines

# of words per line

Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing
Prop E, put on the ballot by Supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don’t like.

Prop E’s poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.

Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks
Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 409 Stevenson Project that would have built 496 units of housing on a vacant parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors.

Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible
According to the City’s Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.

Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing
Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.

We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.

Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.

GrowSF
Housing Action Coalition
Nor Cal Carpenters Union
SPUR
YIMBY Action

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count

Office Use Only
Total # of words= X $2/word= + $200 publication fee = Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee = Receipt #
X $0.50/signature = Check #
Adjusted Fee Total = Amount Paid
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition: E

Proponent Argument [X]  Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [X]
Opponent Argument [ ]  Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ]

Paid Argument in Favor [ ]  Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [X]

Full Name (Print)  Nicolas Josefowitz  Title (If Applicable)  Chief of Policy
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]
Signature [ ]
Organization (Entity) [ ]
Name of Organization (Print)  SPUR
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [X]  Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [ ]
if you are signing as an authorized agent of both the Officer and the Organization.

Signature [ ]
Email [ ]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing

Prop E, put on the ballot by SupervisorsConnie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filled with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don’t like.

Prop E’s poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.

Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks
Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors.

Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible
According to the City’s Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.

Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing
Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.

We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.

Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.

GrowSF
Housing Action Coalition
NorCal Carpenters Union
SPUR
YIMBY Action
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition: E

PropONENT: Argument: [ ]
Rebuttal to PropONENT Argument: [ ]

OPPPONENT: Argument: [X]
Rebuttal to OPPONENT Argument: [ ]
Paid Argument in Favor: [ ]
Paid Argument Against: [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to PropONENT and OPPONENT Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the PropONENT Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the OPPONENT Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization): [ ]

Full Name (Print): Daniel Calamaro
Title (If Applicable): Senior Representative
San Francisco Address:
Organizations (Entity): [X] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print): NorCal Carpenters Union
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization: [X]
Both the Officer and the Organization: [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature:

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to PropONENT and OPPONENT Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the PropONENT Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the OPPONENT Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
• Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
• Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)** [ ]

Full Name (Print) [ ]

Title (If Applicable) [ ]

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]

Signature [ ]

Email [ ]

**Organization (Entity)** [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) [ ]

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [ ]

Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. [ ]

If you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [ ]

Email [ ]

**Section 3: Argument Text**

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted.

Include Author information in argument text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines</th>
<th># of words per line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop E, put on the ballot by Supervisors Susie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is filed with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the Board of Supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don’t like.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop E’s poison pills demonstrate that Supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 469 Stevenson Project that would have built 496 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same Supervisors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to the City’s Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrowSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Action Coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nor Cal Carpenters Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPUR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIMBY Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count [ ]
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet B must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E.

Proponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument in Favor [ ]
Opponent Argument [x] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) [Smith]
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)
Signature [ ]

Organization (Entity) [x] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) [Housing Action Coalition]
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [x] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an agent.

Signature [ ]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee;
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines</th>
<th># of words per line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B, I, BI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposition E Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing

Prop E, put on the ballot by supervisors Connie Chan and Aaron Peskin, is tilted with poison pill provisions that prevent new construction. Prop E contains a loophole that allows the board of supervisors to continue to kill housing by holding up projects they don’t like.

Prop E’s poison pills demonstrate that supervisors Chan and Peskin will continue to exert control and block desperately needed new housing for San Franciscans.

Poison Pill #1 – Bureaucratic Roadblocks

Prop E, the Chan-Peskin measure subjects 100% affordable projects to CEQA review and litigation, more of the same bureaucratic roadblocks that have stopped affordable housing such as the 489 Stevenson project that would have built 495 units of housing on a valet parking lot, but was opposed by these same supervisors.

Poison Pill #2 – Infeasible

According to the City’s Planning Department Housing Affordability Strategies Feasibility Study, the number of affordable units required under Prop E is infeasible. Supervisors Chan and Peskin are aware the amount required in their measure will prevent housing from being built.

Poison Pill #3 – Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing

Prop E requires contractors to apply exclusionary workforce criteria to mixed-income housing projects. Large percentages of workers must have completed apprenticeships. Statewide, less than 1 in 10 residential construction workers qualify. State streamlining law containing this requirement for mixed-income housing has been in effect for nearly 5 years, and not a single unit has been built to date.

We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.

Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.

GrowSF
Housing Action Coalition
Nor Cal Carpenters Union
SPUR
YIMBY Action

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent Argument</th>
<th>Rebuttal to Proponent Argument</th>
<th>Paid Argument in Favor</th>
<th>Paid Argument Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E, being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) Laura Foote Title (If Applicable) Executive Director

San Francisco Address

Signature

Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) YIMBY Action

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only if you are signing as an officer.

Signature

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition E being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition E, being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
• Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
• Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual or principal officer of Organization [ ]

Full Name (Print) [ ]

Title (If Applicable) [ ]

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]

Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Organization (Entity) [X] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) [ ]

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, [ ] if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.
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Poison Pills Block Affordable Housing

Poison Pill #1 — Bureaucratic Roadblocks

Poison Pill #2 — Infeasible

Poison Pill #3 — Exclusionary Workforce Criteria Blocks Housing

We are longtime advocates for affordable housing who oppose Prop E, the Chan-Peskin anti-housing measure.

Please join us in opposing this misleading measure.

GrowSF
Housing Action Coalition
Nor Cal Carpenters Union
SPUR
YIMBY Action
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