



Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Office Use Only
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

OPP - L

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition L

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition L being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Larry Marso

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (If checked, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED]

Email

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Larry Marso

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes No

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>Vote NO on Proposition L ...</p> <p>This shouldn't be on the ballot.</p> <p>The 1/2% sales tax we have already continues until 2033: 10 more years. Transportation funding is long-term and secure.</p> <p>Why renew it early? New priorities? No, the City can direct sales taxes to new projects next year without voter approval, according the Controller.</p> <p>The reason? TO BORROW MORE MONEY. Right now, the SFCTA can only borrow against the next 10 years of sales taxes. Last year, the tax raised \$85 million, but obligations ballooned to \$560 million! Spending is out of control, and the SFCTA has maxed out its credit card.</p> <p>Proposition L authorizes borrowing another \$1.91 billion. Fiscal insanity! San Francisco's entire debt is under \$3 billion.</p> <p>Doesn't raise taxes? If we raise the limit, keep borrowing, make only minimum payments ... eventually, the City must raise taxes.</p> <p>Voters just rejected the \$400 million MUNI bond. The politicians came right back and quadrupled down. City Hall is not listening to voters!</p> <p>Proposition L continues a pattern of colossal overreach, cost overruns and failure that results in transit fiascoes.</p> <p>This is a regressive tax, afflicting the lowest income San Franciscans, at a time of recession and struggle on our streets.</p> <p>The "federal grants" pitch is false marketing. In 2003, they said "\$5 for every \$1!" Now a preposterous "\$9". It flopped then, it will flop again. The \$550 billion federal infrastructure bill is not adjusted for inflation. Not a single San Francisco project selected, so far. A new Congress beckons.</p> <p>Send a message to City Hall: adapt and retool transit for post-pandemic work-from-home, reduced commutes, ridership and tourism.</p> <p>1/3 of the voters can defeat this.</p> <p>Larry Marso, George Wooding and the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods</p>	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	275

Office Use Only

Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =		Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #		
X \$0.50/signature		Check #		
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid		



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

SAN FRANCISCO
John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Office Use Only
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition L

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition L being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) George S. Wooding

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Organization (Entirely in the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition L being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>Vote NO on Proposition L . . .</p>	
	<p>This shouldn't be on the ballot.</p>	
	<p>The ½% sales tax we have already continues until 2033: 10 more years. Transportation funding is long-term and secure.</p>	
	<p>Why renew it early? New priorities? No, the City can direct sales taxes to new projects next year without voter approval, according the Controller.</p>	
	<p>The reason? TO BORROW MORE MONEY. Right now, the SFCTA can only borrow against the next 10 years of sales taxes. Last year, the tax raised \$85 million, but obligations ballooned to \$560 million! Spending is out of control, and the SFCTA has maxed out its credit card.</p>	
	<p>Proposition L authorizes borrowing another \$1.91 billion. Fiscal insanity! San Francisco's entire debt is under \$3 billion.</p>	
	<p>Doesn't raise taxes? If we raise the limit, keep borrowing, make only minimum payments . . . eventually, the City must raise taxes.</p>	
	<p>Voters just rejected the \$400 million MUNI bond. The politicians came right back and quadrupled down. City Hall is not listening to voters!</p>	
	<p>Proposition L continues a pattern of colossal overreach, cost overruns and failure that results in transit fiascoes.</p>	
	<p>This is a regressive tax, afflicting the lowest income San Franciscans, at a time of recession and struggle on our streets.</p>	
	<p>The "federal grants" pitch is false marketing. In 2003, they said "\$5 for every \$1!" Now a preposterous "\$9". It flopped then, it will flop again. The \$550 billion federal infrastructure bill is not adjusted for inflation. Not a single San Francisco project selected, so far. A new Congress beckons.</p>	
	<p>Send a message to City Hall: adapt and retool transit for post-pandemic work-from-home, reduced commutes, ridership and tourism.</p>	
	<p>1/3 of the voters can defeat this.</p>	
	<p>Larry Marso, George Wooding and the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	
		<p>Total Word Count</p>



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Office Use Only
2022 AUG 18 AM 8:08
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition L

Proponent Argument

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument

Paid Argument in Favor

Opponent Argument

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument

Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition L being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Charles N. Hoal Title (If Applicable) President

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted] Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Coalition For San Francisco Neighborhoods

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted] Email [Redacted]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition L being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature 

Email

Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature 

Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	<p>Vote NO on Proposition L . . .</p> <p>This shouldn't be on the ballot.</p> <p>The $\frac{1}{2}$ sales tax we have already continues until 2033: 10 more years. Transportation funding is long-term and secure.</p> <p>Why renew it early? New priorities? No, the City can direct sales taxes to new projects next year without voter approval, according the Controller.</p> <p>The reason? TO BORROW MORE MONEY. Right now, the SFCTA can only borrow against the next 10 years of sales taxes. Last year, the tax raised \$85 million, but obligations ballooned to \$560 million! Spending is out of control, and the SFCTA has maxed out its credit card.</p> <p>Proposition L authorizes borrowing another \$1.91 billion. Fiscal insanity! San Francisco's entire debt is under \$3 billion.</p> <p>Doesn't raise taxes? If we raise the limit, keep borrowing, make only minimum payments . . . eventually, the City must raise taxes.</p> <p>Voters just rejected the \$400 million MUNI bond. The politicians came right back and quadrupled down. City Hall is not listening to voters!</p> <p>Proposition L continues a pattern of colossal overreach, cost overruns and failure that results in transit fiascoes.</p> <p>This is a regressive tax, afflicting the lowest income San Franciscans, at a time of recession and struggle on our streets.</p> <p>The "federal grants" pitch is false marketing. In 2003, they said "\$5 for every \$1!" Now a preposterous "\$9". It flopped then, it will flop again. The \$550 billion federal infrastructure bill is not adjusted for inflation. Not a single San Francisco project selected, so far. A new Congress beckons.</p> <p>Send a message to City Hall: adapt and retool transit for post-pandemic work-from-home, reduced commutes, ridership and tourism.</p> <p>$\frac{1}{3}$ of the voters can defeat this.</p> <p>Larry Marso, George Wooding and the Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods</p>	
	<p><i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i></p>	<p>Total Word Count</p>