



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Amtz, Director

Ballot Argument Assignment Form

Under certain circumstances, the individual or entity entitled to author a proponent, opponent, or rebuttal argument, may assign the right to author the argument to another individual or entity.

If an eligible author assigns the right to author the proponent, opponent, or rebuttal argument to someone else a completed and signed Assignment Form is required.

Anyone assigned to author an argument must be eligible under SFMEC §545 to author an argument.

For details related to the assignment of the right to submit proponent, opponent, and rebuttal arguments see SFMEC §550(c)(d).

Office Use Only
2022 AUG 22 PM 2:51
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition ☒

Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Section 2: Proponent/Opponent Information

By signing below, I assign the right to submit the ballot argument for Proposition ☒ and confirm that I am entitled to assign the right to submit the argument to someone else.

Full Name (Print) Sarah New-SF Apartment Association Title (If Applicable) Director

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Section 3: Assignment Information

By signing below, I accept the assignment to submit the ballot argument for Proposition ☒ and confirm that I am an eligible author.

Full Name (Print) London Nicole Breed

Phone

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition ☒

Proponent Argument ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Section 2: Proponent/Opponent Information

By signing below, I assign the right to submit the ballot argument for Proposition ____ and confirm that I am entitled to assign the right to submit the argument to someone else.

Full Name (Print)

Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Section 3: Assignment Information

By signing below, I accept the assignment to submit the ballot argument for Proposition ____ and confirm that I am an eligible author.

Full Name (Print)

Phone

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B, with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Office Use Only
2022 AUG 22 PM 2:51
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument O

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition O

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition O being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition O being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) London Breed

Title (If Applicable) Mayor

San Francisco [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [REDACTED]

Email _____

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Dale A Carlson

Phone [REDACTED]

Mailing Address [REDACTED]

Signature [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
<div>Handwritten signature</div>		
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		
Total Word Count		

Office Use Only			
Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1.
2.
3.

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	← Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines →	# of words per line
	While we all value the educational opportunities City College offers, let's review recent history.	
	In the past 20 years, we've approved nearly \$1.3 billion in bonds for the school's facilities and allocated money from the City's General Fund to make City College classes tuition free.	
	In the past eight years, City College has had NINE chancellors, a never-ending series of budget nightmares, and came very close to losing its accreditation.	
	This is the third parcel tax proposed for City College in the past 10 years. The one were currently paying doesn't expire until 2032!	
	Now we're asked to approve another that's much, much higher and that will increase annually for the next 20 years, in addition to the one we're already paying.	
	The Controller determined that the City's costs for collecting and managing the tax will exceed the administrative allowance the measure provides. "The cost to government to administer this parcel tax would exceed the one percent administrative cost allowance by \$6 million in one-time spending and \$3 million per year to administer."	
	And there isn't even a plan for how City College will spend the additional funds!	
	Enough is enough.	
	It's time for the trustees and administrators to demonstrate they're capable of providing the leadership, foresight and financial stability desperately needed, before coming to the voters for yet another bailout. It's time to hold them accountable.	
	It's not the time for Proposition O. Vote No.	
	Mayor London Breed Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Judge Quentin Kopp (ret.)	
	<i>If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.</i>	240
	Total Word Count	

Office Use Only

Total # of words=	X \$2/word =	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee		Receipt #	
X \$0.50/signature		Check #	
Adjusted Fee Total		Amount Paid	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition _____

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Office Use Only
2022 AUG 22 PM 2:51 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Title (If Applicable) Judge (Ret.)

San Francisco Address _____

Signature _____

Email _____

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) _____

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature _____

Email _____

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition _____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Title (If Applicable)

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature  Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐
if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature  Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark “B” for bold, “I” for italics, or “BI” for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. **Include Author information in argument text.**

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	While we all value the educational opportunities City College offers, let's review recent history.	
	In the past 20 years, we've approved nearly \$1.3 billion in bonds for the school's facilities and allocated money from the City's General Fund to make City College classes tuition free.	
	In the past eight years, City College has had NINE chancellors, a never-ending series of budget nightmares, and almost lost its accreditation.	
	This is the third parcel tax proposed for City College in the past 10 years. The one we're currently paying doesn't expire until 2032!	
	Now we're asked to approve another that's much, much higher and that will increase annually for the next 20 years, in addition to the one we're already paying.	
	The Controller has found that the City's costs for collecting and managing the tax will exceed the administrative allowance the measure provides. "The cost to government to administer this parcel tax would exceed the one percent administrative cost allowance by \$6 million in one-time spending and \$3 million per year to administer."	
	And there is no plan for how City College will spend the additional funds!	
	Enough is enough.	
	It's time for the trustees and administrators to demonstrate they're capable of providing the leadership, foresight and financial stability desperately needed, before coming to the voters for yet another bailout. It's time to hold them accountable.	
	It's not the time for Proposition O. Vote No.	
	Mayor London Breed Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Judge Quentin L. Kopp (ret.)	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition O

Proponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒

Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐

Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐

Paid Argument Against ☐

Office Use Only
2022 AUG 22 PM 2:51 DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS Time/Date Stamp
Label

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☒

Full Name (Print) Aaron Peskin

Title (If Applicable) Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Email

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐

Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Email

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;

- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) Catherine Stefani Title (If Applicable) Supervisor

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Redacted]

Signature [Redacted] Email [Redacted]

Organization (Entity) ☐ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☐

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐ if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature [Redacted] Email

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of words per line
	While we all value the educational opportunities City College offers, let's review recent history.	
	In the past 20 years, we've approved nearly \$1.3 billion in bonds for the school's facilities and allocated money from the City's General Fund to make City College classes tuition free.	
	In the past eight years, City College has had NINE chancellors, a never-ending series of budget nightmares, and came very close to losing its accreditation.	
	This is the third parcel tax proposed for City College in the past 10 years. The one were currently paying doesn't expire until 2032!	
	Now we're asked to approve another that's much, much higher and that will increase annually for the next 20 years, in addition to the one we're already paying.	
	The Controller determined that the City's costs for collecting and managing the tax will exceed the administrative allowance the measure provides. "The cost to government to administer this parcel tax would exceed the one percent administrative cost allowance by \$6 million in one-time spending and \$3 million per year to administer."	
	And there isn't even a plan for how City College will spend the additional funds!	
	Enough is enough.	
	It's time for the trustees and administrators to demonstrate they're capable of providing the leadership, foresight and financial stability desperately needed, before coming to the voters for yet another bailout. It's time to hold them accountable.	
	It's not the time for Proposition O. Vote No.	
	Mayor London Breed Supervisor Aaron Peskin Supervisor Catherine Stefani Judge Quentin Kopp (ret.)	
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	