Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition 822
- Proponent Argument
- Opponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Opponent Argument
- Paid Argument in Favor
- Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition 8 being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition 8 being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization)

Full Name (Print) Howard Chabner

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)

Signature

Organization (Entity) (if selected, complete both the individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print)

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization

Both the Officer and the Organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Rasmus M Steinbrech

Mailing Address

Signature

Phone: 

Email: 

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes [ ] No [x]

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. 
2. 
3. 

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

For decades, San Franciscans have enjoyed a compromise that allowed everyone access to JFK Drive. The road has been open to cars on weekdays with protected bike lanes and pedestrian walkways and closed on Sundays, holidays and some Saturdays.

Permanent closure of JFK Drive is not progressive or inclusive. Golden Gate Park has over 1,000 acres of open space and miles of trails. Nine roadways in the Park have already been permanently converted into recreational spaces.

Closing the most crucial access route is not a way to expand open space; it is a way to limit access to the existing attractions. A road is not a park; it is how people can access the park.

The closure has also eliminated nearly 1,000 free public parking spaces and pushed traffic into the neighborhoods surrounding the park. These small, residential streets are now clogged with cars and unsafe for residents to walk or bike on.

Closing JFK Drive is not the way to improve street safety, in fact, it has only increased bicycle on pedestrian incidents. Closing the road and denying access doesn't make sense when there are other simple solutions like reducing speed limits and adding protected crosswalks and speed bumps.

San Francisco is a city of inclusion, yet the closure of JFK Drive has left seniors, people with disabilities, families and residents who live far from the park out in the cold. Golden Gate Park belongs to all of us.

We urge you to vote No on Prop J.
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)
Concerned Residents of the Sunset (CRS)
District 11 Council
East Mission Improvement Association (EMIA)
Save Our Amazing Richmond (SOAR)
OMI Cultural Participation Project
OMI Neighbors in Action

If handwritten information or a signature is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count

Office Use Only

Total # of words = X $2/word = + $200 publication fee = Staff Initials

# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee = Receipt #
X $0.50/signature = Check #
Adjusted Fee Total = Amount Paid
Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an electronic copy of your ballot argument text within 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent Argument</th>
<th>Rebuttal to Proponent Argument</th>
<th>Paid Argument in Favor</th>
<th>Paid Argument Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 2: Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

**Individual (or principal officer of Organization)**

Full Name (Print): Charles N. Held
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered): [Redacted]
Signature: [Redacted]
Email: [Redacted]

**Organization (Entity)**

[Redacted] (if selected, complete both the individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Organization (Print): Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [X] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. [ ]

Signature: [Redacted]
Email: [Redacted]

Section 3: Submitter Information

The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print): Dason M. Steinweck
Mailing Address: [Redacted]
Signature: [Redacted]
Email: [Redacted]
Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments

Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

The true source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:

Access for All

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?

Yes [x] No [ ]

If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:

1. **Dr. W. T. W.** ($200,000)
2. Corp. of Bath 040 0000 ($105,730)
3. **Jason Morgan** ($50,000)

Section 5: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text.

Format B, I, BI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines</th>
<th># of words per line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promenade - 6223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For decades, San Franciscans have enjoyed a compromise that allowed everyone access to JFK Drive. The road has been open to cars on weekdays with protected bike lanes and pedestrian walkways and closed on Sundays, holidays and some Saturdays.

Permanent closure of JFK Drive is not progressive or inclusive. Golden Gate Park has over 1,000 acres of open space and miles of trails. Nine roadways in the Park have already been permanently converted into recreational spaces.

Closing the most crucial access route is not a way to expand open space; it is a way to limit access to the existing attractions. A road is not a park; it is how people can access the park.

The closure has also eliminated nearly 1,000 free public parking spaces and pushed traffic into the neighborhoods surrounding the park. These small, residential streets are now clogged with cars and unsafe for residents to walk or bike on.

Closing JFK Drive is not the way to improve street safety, in fact, it has only increased bicycle on pedestrian incidents. Closing the road and denying access doesn’t make sense when there are other simple solutions like reducing speed limits and adding protected crosswalks and speed bumps.

San Francisco is a city of inclusion, yet the closure of JFK Drive has left seniors, people with disabilities, families and residents who live far from the park out in the cold. Golden Gate Park belongs to all of us.

We urge you to vote No on Prop J.

> Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)
> Concerned Residents of the Sunset (CRS)
> District 11 Council
> East Mission Improvement Association (EMIA)
> Save Our Amazing Richmond (SOAR)
> OMI Cultural Participation Project
> OMI Neighbors in Action
> Howard Chavarria

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count 250

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office Use Only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of words=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X $0.50/signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Fee Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition
- Proponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Proponent Argument
- Opponent Argument
- Rebuttal to Opponent Argument
- Paid Argument in Favor
- Paid Argument Against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an Individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

**Full Name (Print)** Patricia Abac

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [Censored]

**Signature** [Censored]

**Email** [Censored]

Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) Concerned Residents of the Sunset

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

- Only the Organization [ ]
- Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. [ ]

**Signature** [Censored]

**Email** [Censored]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition ____ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:
- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) [Mark Elgie]
Title (If Applicable) President
San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered)
Signature

Organization (Entity) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization section)

Name of Organization (Print) East Mission Improvement Association
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization [X] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. If you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.

Signature

Section 3: Argument Text

The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include Author Information in argument text.

---

For decades, San Franciscans have enjoyed a compromise that allowed everyone access to JFK Drive. The road has been open to cars on weekdays with protected bike lanes and pedestrian walkways and closed on Sundays, holidays and some Saturdays. Permanent closure of JFK Drive is not progressive or inclusive. Golden Gate Park has over 1,000 acres of open space and miles of trails. Nine roadways in the Park have already been permanently converted into recreational spaces. Closing the most crucial access route is not a way to expand open space; it is a way to limit access to the existing attractions. A road is not a park; it is how people can access the park. The closure has also eliminated nearly 1,000 free public parking spaces and pushed traffic into the neighborhoods surrounding the park. These small, residential streets are now clogged with cars and unsafe for residents to walk or bike on.

We urge you to vote No on Prop J.
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)
Concerned Residents of the Sunset (CRS)
District 11 Council
East Mission Improvement Association (EMIA)
Save Our Amazing Richmond (SOAR)
OMI Cultural Participation Project
OMI Neighbors in Action

[Mark Elgie, Chair]

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.

Total Word Count
Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet B must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition _J_

Proponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Proponent Argument ☒ Paid Argument in Favor ☐

Opponent Argument ☐ Rebuttal to Opponent Argument ☐ Paid Argument Against ☐

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition __ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition __ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization:

Indicate (or principal officer of Organization) ☐

Full Name (Print) ♦ Maurice Rivers ♦ Title (If Applicable) ♦ Executive Director ♦

Signature ☐ Email ☐

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) ☐

Organization (Entity) ☒ (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) ♦ OMNI Cultural Participation Project ♦

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization ☐ Both the Officer and the Organization ☒

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, ☐

if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature ☐ Email ☐

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Proponent Argument** for Proposition __ being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the **Opponent Argument** for Proposition __ being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
For decades, San Franciscans have enjoyed a compromise that allowed everyone access to JFK Drive. The road has been open to cars on weekdays with protected bike lanes and pedestrian walkways and closed on Sundays, holidays and some Saturdays. Permanent closure of JFK Drive is not progressive or inclusive. Golden Gate Park has over 1,000 acres of open space and miles of trails. Nine roadways in the Park have already been permanently converted into recreational spaces. Closing the most crucial access route is not a way to expand open space; it is a way to limit access to the existing attractions. A road is not a park; it is how people can access the park. The closure has also eliminated nearly 1,000 free public parking spaces and pushed traffic into the neighborhoods surrounding the park. These small, residential streets are now clogged with cars and unsafe for residents to walk or bike on. Closing JFK Drive is not the way to improve street safety, in fact, it has only increased bicycle on pedestrian incidents. Closing the road and denying access doesn’t make sense when there are other simple solutions like reducing speed limits and adding protected crosswalks and speed bumps.

San Francisco is a city of inclusion, yet the closure of JFK Drive has left seniors, people with disabilities, families and residents who live far from the park out in the cold. Golden Gate Park belongs to all of us.

We urge you to vote No on Prop J.
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)
Concerned Residents of the Sunset (CRS)
District 11 Council
East Mission Improvement Association (EMIA)
Save Our Amazing Richmond (SOAR)
OMI Cultural Participation Project
OMI Neighbors in Action

If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.
control sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

if your argument has more than one author, you must also submit control sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

proponent argument       rebuttal to proponent argument
opponent argument        rebuttal to opponent argument

Paid argument in favor
Paid argument against

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an author of the proponent argument for proposition being submitted and that I am not a non-supporter of this measure. A non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an author of the opponent argument for proposition being submitted and that I am not a supporter of this measure. A supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the author is an individual or an organization:

Individual (for principal officer of organization)

Full Name (Print) [redacted] Title (if Applicable) President

San Francisco Address (where you are registered)

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Organization (entity)

If selected, complete both the individual author section and the organization section

Name of Organization (Print) [redacted] Who should be listed as an author for your organization?

Only the organization

Both the officer and the organization

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature [redacted] Email [redacted]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an author of the proponent argument for proposition being submitted and that I am not a non-supporter of this measure. A non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an author of the opponent argument for proposition being submitted and that I am not a supporter of this measure. A supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
For decades, San Franciscans have enjoyed a compromise that allowed everyone access to JFK Drive. The road has been open to cars on weekdays with protected bike lanes and pedestrian walkways and closed on Sundays, holidays and some Saturdays. Permanent closure of JFK Drive is not progressive or inclusive. Golden Gate Park has over 1,000 acres of open space and miles of trails. Nine roadways in the park have already been permanently converted into recreational spaces. Closing the most crucial access route is not a way to expand open space; it is a way to limit access to the existing attractions. A road is not a park; it is how people can access the park.

The closure has also eliminated nearly 1,000 free public parking spaces and pushed traffic into the neighborhoods surrounding the park. These small, residential streets are now clogged with cars and unsafe for residents to walk or bike on. Closing JFK Drive is not the way to improve street safety, in fact, it has only increased bicycle on pedestrian incidents. Closing the road and denying access doesn’t make sense when there are other simple solutions like reducing speed limits and adding protected crosswalks and speed bumps.

San Francisco is a city of inclusion, yet the closure of JFK Drive has left seniors, people with disabilities, families and residents who live far from the park out in the cold. Golden Gate Park belongs to all of us.

We urge you to vote No on Prop J.
Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)
 Concerned Residents of the Sunset (CRS)
 District 11 Council
 East Mission Improvement Association (EMIA)
 Save Our Amazing Richmond (SOAR)
 OMI Cultural Participation Project
 OMI Neighbors in Action

Howard Chadrac,
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

Ballot Argument Control Sheet B

Control Sheet B must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

Section 1: Argument Information

Proposition 5

Proponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Proponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument in Favor [ ]
Opponent Argument [ ] Rebuttal to Opponent Argument [ ] Paid Argument Against [ ]

Section 2: Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition 5 being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition 5 being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure.

Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an Individual or an Organization:

Individual (or principal officer of Organization) [ ]

Full Name (Print) MARIE HUMBERT Title (If Applicable) Co-Lead

San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) [ ]

Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Organization (Recognized) [ ] (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)

Name of Organization (Print) SOAP- D1

Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?

Only the Organization [ ] Both the Officer and the Organization [ ]

* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only. If you are signing as an individual and not on behalf of an organization.

Signature [ ] Email [ ]

Additional Author Information

Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition 5 being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure;
- Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or
- Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure.

I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition 5 being submitted and that I am not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure:

- Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure;
For decades, San Franciscans have enjoyed a compromise that allowed everyone access to JFK Drive. The road has been open to cars on weekdays with protected bike lanes and pedestrian walkways and closed on Sundays, holidays and some Saturdays.

Permanent closure of JFK Drive is not progressive or inclusive. Golden Gate Park has over 1,000 acres of open space and miles of trails. Nine roadways in the Park have already been permanently converted into recreational spaces.

Closing the most crucial access route is not a way to expand open space; it is a way to limit access to the existing attractions. A road is not a park; it is how people can access the park.

The closure has also eliminated nearly 1,000 free public parking spaces and pushed traffic into the neighborhoods surrounding the park. These small, residential streets are now clogged with cars and unsafe for residents to walk or bike on.

Closing JFK Drive is not the way to improve street safety, in fact, it has only increased the likelihood of pedestrian incidents. Closing the road and denying access doesn’t make sense when there are other simple solutions like reducing speed limits and adding protected crosswalks and speed bumps.

San Francisco is a city of inclusion, yet the closure of JFK Drive has left seniors, people with disabilities, families and residents who live far from the park out in the cold. Golden Gate Park belongs to all of us.

We urge you to vote No on Prop J.

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods (CSFN)
Concerned Residents of the Sunset (CRS)
District 11 Council
East Mission Improvement Association (EMIA)
Save Our Amazing Richmond (SOAR)
OMI Cultural Participation Project
OMI Neighbors in Action

[Handwritten Signature]

Howard Chamnor