Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author 12 AUG 22 PM 1: 48 information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opposes the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Consent Form is required.

Signature |

ARTHENT OF ELECTIONS
Time/Date Stamp

PAID NO

Proposition L Proposition Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Paid Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argument Paid Argument Against \(\sqrt{2} \) Section 2: Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: I as a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am on a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined-as a person who with respect to a measure: I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am an Easurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Apolicable) Signature Companization (Print) Name of Organization (Print)
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argument Paid Argument in Favor Paid Argument Paid
Section 2: Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am on a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Namer (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Apolicable) San Francisco Address Officer of Organization) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
Section 2: Author Information Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I a not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Namer (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Mhere you are Registered). Signature Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I and a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name* (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Applicable) Signature
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Proponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I a not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Namer(Print) David Pilpel Title (If Applicable) Signature Email Organization (Print) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined as a person who, with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I a not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Namer (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Applicable) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the measure. I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Opponent Argument for Proposition being submitted and that I a not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person who with respect to a measure: Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Namer (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Applicable) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section)
not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined-as a person who with respect to a measure: ■ Is a treasurer, officer, or member of a committee that has made or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; ■ Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or ■ Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Apolicable) Signature Ermail Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for that committee; or Has authorized their name or likeness to appear on campaign literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the measure. Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an individual or an organization: Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) David Pilpel San Francisco Address Where you are Registered Signature Corganization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
Individual (or principal officer of Organization) Full Name (Print) David Pilpel Title (If Applicable) San Francisco Address (Where you are Registered) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
San Francisco Address (Mara you are Registered) Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
Signature Email Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
Organization (Entity) (If selected, complete both the Individual Author section and the Organization Section) Name of Organization (Print)
Name of Organization (Print)
Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purposes only, if you are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization.
Signature Email
Section 3: Submitter Information The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supporting materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue witle
a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Email

Section 4: Information for Paid Arguments
Paid arguments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also required to
indicate whether the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and the author
information in the Voter Information Pamphlet.

Is the true source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013? Yes No V If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1. 2. 3. Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed sadily as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text to printed in bold, flalic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I for italics, or "Bl" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel	The true s	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1. 2. 3. Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, fallic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text be formated and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "Bl" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format B, I, BI Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel	-		
If the true source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below: 1. 2. 3. Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, fallic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text be formated and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "Bl" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format B, I, BI Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel	Yes \square	No 🗸	
Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark 'B' for bold, 'I' for italics, or 'Bl' for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good. The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel			
Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark 'Br' for bold, 'I' for italics, or 'Bl' for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines Reep Text Within the Vertical Lines Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel			
Section 5: Argument Text The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "8" for bold, "1" for italics, or "Bi" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Reep Text Within the Vertical Lines Format B, I, B			
The text of your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit, You may request that specific argument with the desired formatting, or underline the argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italies, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel		5: Argument Text	
argument text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "Bl" for bold italics. Other special formatting is not permitted. Include author information in argument text. Format Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines # soft words per line	The text of	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You m	ay request
Format B, I, BI Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	argument to	c argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or un ext to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for	matting is
Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel	not permitte	ed. Include author information in argument text.	
Please vote NO on Proposition L. The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel	100 100 100 100 100	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	
The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel			per line
The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel		Please vote NO on Proposition L.	
prematurely renew the tax for another 30 years. While money is always needed for transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel		rouse vote the ontroposition 2.	
transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good: The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel		The existing transportation sales tax will continue for 11 years. Proposition L would	
The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable. Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel		· DEPARTMENT OF SECURITY OF SE	
Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel		transportation infrastructure projects, the results aren't always good.	
Projects and service changes, like Geary, Market, Taraval, and Van Ness, plus Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel		The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) is arrogant and unaccountable	
Slow Streets, are approved and implemented despite public objections. The tax plan here only funds broad categories, not specific projects, allowing too much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected artransportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel			
much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
much discretion. MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		much discretion.	
delivery. Voters just rejected a transportation bond in June and this tax should be defeated as well. Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		MTA needs serious governance reform, focused on basic and efficient service	
as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
as well. Please vote NO on Proposition E. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you. David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		as well.	
David Pilpel If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		Please vote NO on Proposition L. Thank you.	
If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.		David Pilpel	
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			^
to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.			/,,,'\
The state of the s			116/
		The state of the s	

Office Use Only		
Total # of words=1	0 publication fee = 4372	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt #	2
X \$0.50/signature	Check#	-4
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	

John Arntz, Director

Ballot Argument Control Sheet A

Control Sheet A must be submitted for every ballot argument, with required signatures and author information. If your argument has more than one author, you must also submit Control Sheet B with required signatures and information for all additional authors.

For an argument submitted on behalf of an organization, the "Individual" section must also be completed by a principal officer of the organization who must be a registered San Francisco voter.

If an argument states that an individual or organization other than the author supports or opport the ballot measure, or agrees with or endorses the argument, a completed and signed Cons Form is required.

Facilitate typesetting, and reduce the possibility of transcription error by sending an ele 24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgov.org.

a submission, the Department will contact the submitter.

Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp

Mailing Address

Signature

SAN FRANCISCO FILED
2022 AU Fine Date Samo 5: 55

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS

PAID NO L-2

24 hours after submission to the Department at publications@sfgo	v.org.
Section 1: Argument Information	
Proposition L	
Proponent Argument Rebuttal to Proponent Argume	Paid Argument in Favor
Opponent Argument Rebuttal to Opponent Argume	nt Paid Argument Against
Section 2: Author Information	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T
Declaration Related to Proponent and Opponent Arguments	
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Propo am not a Non-supporter of this measure. A Non-supporter is defined	
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing that committee; or 	ade or plans to make expenditures in opposition to the measure; of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for gn literature or in advertising that advocates for the defeat of the
I attest under the penalty of perjury that I am an Author of the Oppor not a Supporter of this measure. A Supporter is defined as a person	nent Argument for Proposition L being submitted and that I am who with respect to a measure:
 Has received or been promised any compensation or thing that committee; or 	ade or plans to make expenditures in support of the measure; of value from such a committee to perform consulting services for gn literature or in advertising that advocates for the adoption of the
Complete the following to indicate whether the Author is an indi- Individual (or principal officer of Organization)	vidual or an organization:
Full Name (Print) Quentin L. Kopp	Title (If Applicable)
San Francisco Addre	
Organization (Ent	Email lal Author section and the Organization Section)
Name of Organization (Print) Who should be listed as an Author for your Organization?	<u>n</u>
Only the Organization Both the Officer and the Organ	nization
* Check if the title or identifying information is for identification purportifyou are signing as an individual and not of behalf of an organization	
Signature Signature	Emai
Section 3: Submitter Information The submitter is the person who delivers the argument and supportin	g materials to the Department. If there is a question or issue with

Ser Corenit Zenone

Paid argur indicate w	4: Information for Paid Arguments ments must include information about the true source of funds for the publication of the argument. It is also require the true source of funds is a recipient committee. This information will be printed below the argument and in the Voter Information Pamphlet.	red to the author
The true	source of funds for the printing fee of this argument:	
Is the true	e source of funds a recipient committee, as defined by CA Gov. Code §82013?	
Yes If the true	No No No Source(s) of funds is a recipient committee, list the three largest contributors below:	
<u>1.</u> 2.	Paul Scott	
3.	3. F. Board of Regitors	
	5: Argument Text	
that specifi argument t	your argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Ensure that your argument meets the legal word limit. You r ic argument text be printed in bold, italic, or bold italic type. Type your argument with the desired formatting, or u text to be formatted and in the left column, mark "B" for bold, "I" for italics, or "BI" for bold italics. Other special for ted. Include author information in argument text.	nderline the
Format	Keep Text Within the Vertical Lines	# of
B, /, <i>BI</i>	VOTE NO ON L – It's a LOSER!	words per line
	L is for LOSER! Our City government is LOST. They want you to pay for the city's LOST perception of who should pay for City Hall mistakes.	16
	They brought you the still unfinished, over budget, fire-in-the-tunnel Central Subway. All of 1.6 miles going nowhere a Muni bus doesn't already go. Four problem-plagued years of disruptive construction, an ongoing delayed start-up to this very date, and at a whopping cost approaching TWO BILLION dollars of your tax money. And now they want MORE of YOUR tax dollars.	941011
	This is a Muni with empty buses running at all hours of the night. The buses and streetcars with few passengers are plagued with crime and people who should be taken off the streets. It's no wonder the general public avoids taking public transit and City government believes more money for the transit system will make it all better.	144512
B	This City "leadership" is LOST and so is Prop. L. VOTE NO!	12
	Judge Quentin L. KOPP (Ret.)	3
	If handwritten information or a revision is unclear, Department staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities; this interpretation is final.	
	Total Word Count	

Office Use Only		SECTION AND ADDRESS.
Total # of words= 6 X \$2/word = 356	+ \$200 publication fee =	Staff Initials
# of signatures submitted in lieu of publication fee	Receipt#	
X \$0.50/signature	Check#	hr
Adjusted Fee Total	Amount Paid	100